

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Ohio; Federal or state grant programs

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Georgina Rovirosa, New York (1) 212-438-7983; georgina.rovirosa@standardandpoors.com

### **Secondary Contact:**

Jeffrey M Panger, New York (1) 212-438-2076; jeff.panger@standardandpoors.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

## Summary:

# Ohio; Federal or state grant programs

### Credit Profile

US\$156.39 mil major new st infra proj rev bnds ser 2014-1A dtd 12/16/2014 due 12/15/2024

*Long Term Rating* AA/Stable New

US\$62.175 mil major new st infra proj rev rfdg bnds ser 2014-1B dtd 12/16/2014 due 06/15/2020

*Long Term Rating* AA/Stable New

Ohio (Major New State Infrastructure Project)

*Long Term Rating* AA/Stable Affirmed

#### Ohio major new state infrastructure proj

*Unenhanced Rating* AA(SPUR)/Stable Affirmed

#### Ohio (Major New State Infrastructure Project)

*Unenhanced Rating* AA(SPUR)/Stable Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has assigned its 'AA' rating to Ohio's series 2014-1A grant anticipation revenue vehicle (GARVEE) bonds and to the proposed series 2014-1B refunding GARVEE bonds, secured by anticipated federal highway program receipts. At the same time, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AA' rating on Ohio's GARVEE bonds outstanding. The outlook is stable.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following credit strengths:

- Strong historical maximum annual debt service (MADS) coverage of 8.60x based on actual 2014 federal receipts. It has been no lower than 5.07x since 2004 based on current MADS;
- A covenant by Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) to replace any deficiencies in the pledged federal highway receipts with its lawfully available funds;
- Sound bond provisions, which include an additional bonds test requiring that the average Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) receipts ODOT receives during the previous three years equal 5x MADS on existing and proposed bonds; and
- A long history of the state maximizing its share of Title 23 federal aid transportation grants, with the department typically using all of its obligation authority in each federal fiscal year, receiving additional obligation authority that the FHWA has redistributed from other states, and effectively managing the reimbursement process.

In our opinion, offsetting credit factors include the narrow pledge of FHWA reimbursements to the state; the possibility of a decrease in pledged revenues resulting from a decline in funds for states from the Highway Trust Fund (HTF) or in Congress-appropriated amounts; changes to the federal aid highway program; delays to congressional reauthorization; or ODOT's failure to prudently manage the reimbursement process.

Bond proceeds will finance 18 highway and bridge projects across Ohio. ODOT's Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan, which officials submitted to the U.S. Department of Transportation, includes the projects. After the proposed 2014 bonds are issued, Ohio will have approximately \$925 million in GARVEE debt outstanding, and it might issue \$300 million more through 2018. The state's GARVEE program is direct, secured by a first lien on FHWA payments of debt service to ODOT, held in the State Infrastructure Bank, and subject to biennial appropriation by the Ohio General Assembly. Like most GARVEE bond issuances, a debt service reserve fund does not secure the bonds. The Ohio GARVEE program has no exposure to swaps or variable-rate debt.

An agreement between the state treasury and ODOT provide important credit strengths, including ODOT covenanting to deposit all bond debt service for the federal fiscal year with the State Infrastructure Bank before making any other payment from FHWA receipts. In the event that sufficient deposits have not been made 45 days before a scheduled debt service payment, ODOT covenants to make the required deposit from its lawfully available money. This back-up pledge is subject to state appropriation and consists primarily of ODOT funds that were budgeted or appropriated but not spent during the fiscal year.

Unlike some other GARVEE programs, debt service on the Ohio GARVEE bonds is not paid directly to the trustee; rather, funds must pass through ODOT and the State Infrastructure Bank. Nor does the state use a memorandum of agreement with the FHWA to clarify the process by which it receives debt service. Because bondholders benefit from the back-up pledge of state money, Standard & Poor's does not consider the lack of these features to be a significant credit concern.

ODOT's practice of not issuing GARVEE bonds for a specific list of projects, but rather for the program's immediate needs, will require the additional GARVEE bonds in the next three fiscal years. The state may substitute projects with others, provided the FHWA has approved them. In connection with the series 2012-1 bonds, the state changed the ABT to 5x MADS coverage based on the highest annual obligation authority in the three most recent federal fiscal years. Ohio is issuing the series 2014-1 bonds under the old ABT and the modification will take effect upon maturity of series 2010-2 bonds in fiscal 2021.

Coverage of projected MADS on the entire GARVEE program including existing and projected debt through 2018, is very strong, in our view. It is 7.4x based on fiscal 2014 obligation authority (the level at which annual eligible highway grants are capped) and 8.6x based on fiscal 2014 receipts. The estimated obligation authority for fiscal 2014 would need to drop dramatically for pro forma MADS coverage to fall under 1x, a possibility that Standard & Poor's considers remote.

We believe potential delays in authorizations, changes in law, declining Highway Trust Fund (HTF) balances, or Congressional or administrative modifications to grant programs will not end the long-standing practice of federal aid for transportation on which we base our grant anticipation ratings. However, program rule changes, constrained funding sources, and federal budget pressures could lead to lower authorization and appropriation levels and diminish coverage, which we currently view as very strong for most transportation grant-backed bonds we rate.

On July 31, 2014, Congress approved a bill to provide \$10.8 billion in short-term funding to address the dwindling balance in the HTF. If no action had been taken, funding for states and other transportation agencies would have been

curtailed by 28% as of Aug. 1, and the HTF would have run out of money that was promised to states for highway and transit programs by September 2014. However, this patch provides only enough money to sustain the highway and transit programs through May 2015. We will continue to monitor the situation. Congress is continuing to seek a long-term funding solution.

Although Standard & Poor's believes this congressional action alleviates the immediate pressure on GARVEE issuers, the delay and uncertainty regarding renewal authorizations to the surface transportation bill highlight the key credit weakness of GARVEE ratings. Although the likelihood that federal transportation funding will be discontinued is remote, in our view, we carefully evaluate the risks to state programs that leverage these funds, including the timing of receipts, level of funding, and erosion in dollars either due to lower authorized or appropriated levels or programmatic changes that negatively affect recipients. In our opinion, both the history of the program and its vital role in preserving and expanding the national highway system, as well as the significant funding needs facing surface transportation and the lack of resources to fund those needs, support continued reauthorization of the program for the foreseeable future. We will closely monitor the sector both during and after reauthorization to evaluate how issuers of federal grant-backed bonds alter their debt programs.

As a result of the application of the U.S. Federal Future Flow Securitization criteria, the maximum indicative rating level for GARVEEs or grant anticipation notes secured solely by federal aid funds is one notch below the rating on the U.S. (AA+/Stable/A-1+).

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our expectations that the long-standing federal aid highway program will continue to receive significant funding and that Ohio will continue to receive its historical share of annual Title 23 distributions. Should there be a significant decline in debt service coverage or should the state fail to prudently manage the reimbursement process, we could downgrade the bonds. We do not expect to raise the rating during the outlook period.

## **Related Criteria And Research**

### **Related Criteria**

- USPF Criteria: Methodology And Assumptions: Rating U.S. Federal Transportation Grant-Secured Obligations, May 29, 2009
- USPF Criteria: Federal Future Flow Securitization, March 12, 2012

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).