## Ohio Office of Budget and Management

State of Ohio **Bob Taft Governor** 



# OHIO

$\mathbb{C}$	0	M I	P R 1	EH	$\mathbf{E}$	N S	IV	$\mathbf{E}$
A		N	N		U	A	1	L
F	I	N	A	N	C	I	A	L
R		E	P		0	R	)	T

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## STATE OF OHIO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### Infrastructure Assets Accounted for Using the Modified Approach

#### **Pavement Network**

The Ohio Department of Transportation conducts annual condition assessments of its Pavement Network. The State manages its pavement system by means of annual, visual inspections by trained pavement technicians. Technicians rate the pavement using a scale of 1 (minimum) to 100 (maximum) based on a Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). This rating examines items such as cracking, potholes, deterioration of the pavement, and other factors. It does not include a detailed analysis of the pavement's subsurface conditions.

Ohio accounts for its pavement network in two subsystems: *Priority*, which comprises interstate

highways, freeways, and multi-lane portions of the National Highway System, and *General*, which comprises two-lane routes outside of cities.

For the Priority Subsystem, it is the State's intention to maintain at least 75 percent of the pavement at a PCR level of at least 65, and to allow no more than 25 percent of the pavement to fall below a 65 PCR level. For the General Subsystem, it is the State's intention to maintain at least 75 percent of the pavement at a PCR level of at least 55, and to allow no more than 25 percent of the pavement to fall below a 55 PCR level.

#### **Condition Assessment Data for the Pavement Network**

	Jonaida	J.1. 7.100000.	nont Bata 10	1 1110 1 11101	1101111 110111101	<u> </u>	
Priority Subsystem:		Calendar Year 2003		Calendar Year 2002		Calendar Year 2001	
	PCR	Lane- Miles	%	Lane- Miles	%	Lane- Miles	%
Excellent	85-100	7,679	62.81%	7,483	61.29%	6,753	55.74%
Good	75-84	2,451	20.05	2,498	20.46	2,688	22.19
Fair	65-74	1,618	13.24	1,849	15.14	2,162	17.85
PoorLe	ess than 65	477	3.90	380	3.11	511	4.22
		12,225	100.00%	12,210	100.00%	12,114	100.00%
General Subsystem:		Calendar Year 2003		Calendar Year 2002		Calendar Year 2001	
	PCR	Lane- Miles	%	Lane- Miles	%	Lane- Miles	%
Excellent	85-100	12,634	41.77%	11,997	39.57%	10,635	34.89%
Good	75-84	6,378	21.09	6,496	21.43	6,547	21.47
Fair	55-74	10,910	36.07	11,278	37.20	12,393	40.65
Poor Le	ess than 55	324	1.07	546	1.80	912	2.99
		30,246	100.00%	30,317	100.00%	30,487	100.00%

## Comparison of Estimated-to-Actual Maintenance and Preservation Costs (dollars in thousands)

	Fiscal Year 2004	Fiscal Year 2003	Fiscal Year 2002
Priority Subsystem:			
EstimatedActual	\$195,333 273,318	\$243,722 273,834	\$251,216 319,518
General Subsystem:			
EstimatedActual	\$133,236 227,437	\$135,149 209,530	\$110,956 151,978



## STATE OF OHIO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### Infrastructure Assets Accounted for Using the Modified Approach (Continued)

### **Bridge Network**

The Ohio Department of Transportation conducts annual inspections of all bridges in the State's Bridge Network. The inspections cover major structural items such as piers and abutments, and assign a general appraisal condition rating from 0 (minimum) to nine (maximum) based on a composite measure of these major structural items.

It is the State's intention to maintain at least 85 percent of the square feet of deck area at a general appraisal condition rating level of at least five, and to allow no more than 15 percent of the number of square feet of deck area to fall below a general appraisal condition rating level of five.

#### **Condition Assessment Data for the Bridge Network**

		Calendar Year 2003		Calendar Year 2002		Calendar Year 2001	
_	General Appraisal Rating	Square Feet of Deck Area	%	Square Feet of Deck Area	%	Square Feet of Deck Area	%
Excellent	7-9	47,045,574	57.19%	45,143,958	56.01%	43,395,068	53.56%
Good	5-6	32,972,057	40.08	33,066,880	41.02	34,898,954	43.08
Fair	3-4	2,224,378	2.71	2,387,969	2.96	2,687,455	3.32
Poor	0-2	17,970	.02	8,788	.01	30,112	.04
		82,259,979	100.00%	80,607,595	100.00%	81,011,589	100.00%

## Comparison of Estimated-to-Actual Maintenance and Preservation Costs (dollars in thousands)

_	Fiscal Year 2004	Fiscal Year 2003	Fiscal Year 2002	
Estimated	\$147,779	\$180,358	\$192,105	
Actual	208,381	229,077	210,084	