DAC Bond

NEW ISSUE
(Book-Entry Only)

Ratings (see "RATINGS")
Standard & Poor's: AAA
Fitch: AA+
Moody's: Aa1

In the opinion of Bricker & Eckler LLP, Bond Counsel, under existing law, (i) assuming continuing compliance with certain covenants and the accuracy of certain representations, interest on the Series R Highway Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not an item of tax preference for the purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, and (ii) interest on, and any profit made on the sale, exchange or other disposition of, the Series R Highway Bonds are exempt from all Ohio state and local taxation, except the estate tax, the domestic insurance company tax, the dealers in intangible tax, the tax levied on the basis of total equity capital of financial institutions, and the net worth base of the corporate franchise tax. Interest on the Series R Highway Bonds may be subject to certain federal taxes imposed only on certain corporations, including the corporate alternative minimum tax on a portion of that interest. For a more complete discussion, see "TAX MATTERS" herein.

$249,005,000
STATE OF OHIO
General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds
Series R
(Full Faith and Credit/Highway User Receipts)

Dated: Date of Issuance **Due: On May 1 in the years shown on the inside cover**

Purpose: The $249,005,000 General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds, Series R (the "Series R Highway Bonds") are general obligations of the State of Ohio (the "State"), issued to pay (i) costs of highway capital improvements and (ii) certain costs of issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds.

Security and Sources of Payment: The full faith and credit, revenue and taxing power (excluding net State lottery proceeds) of the State, including, specifically, fees, excises and license taxes relating to the registration, operation or use of vehicles on the public highways or to fuels used for propelling such vehicles, are pledged to the payment of the principal of and interest and any premium on the Series R Highway Bonds. See **THE HIGHWAY BONDS — Security for the Highway Bonds.**

Payment: Principal of and interest on the Series R Highway Bonds will be payable to the registered owner of Series R Highway Bonds (initially, The Depository Trust Company or its nominee ("DTC")). The principal is payable on presentation and surrender of a Series R Highway Bond to the principal office of the bond registrar, initially the State Treasurer of Ohio (the "Treasurer"). Interest will be transmitted on each interest payment date (May 1 and November 1, beginning November 1, 2014).

Optional Redemption: The Series R Highway Bonds maturing on or after May 1, 2025, are subject to optional redemption on any date on or after May 1, 2024, in whole or in part, at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

Book-Entry: The Series R Highway Bonds will be initially issued as one fully registered bond for each interest rate within a maturity under a book-entry system and registered initially in the name of DTC. There will be no distribution of Series R Highway Bonds to the ultimate purchasers.

This cover page is for reference only. It is not a summary of the Series R Highway Bonds. Prospective purchasers should read the entire Official Statement.

The Series R Highway Bonds are offered when, as and if issued by the State, acting by and through the Treasurer, and accepted by the Underwriters, subject to the opinion on certain legal matters relating to their issuance by Bricker & Eckler LLP, Bond Counsel, and certain other conditions. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Roetzel & Andress, A Legal Professional Association. Public Financial Management, Inc. is serving as Financial Advisor to the Treasurer. The Series R Highway Bonds are expected to be available for delivery through DTC on or about June 11, 2014.

BofA Merrill Lynch

Fifth Third Securities, Inc. **US Bancorp** **Raymond James**

The date of this Official Statement is May 29, 2014.

$249,005,000
STATE OF OHIO
General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds
Series R
(Full Faith and Credit/Highway User Receipts)

PRINCIPAL MATURITY SCHEDULE

Maturity (May 1)	Principal Amount	Interest Rate	Yield	CUSIP No.* 677521
2015	$16,605,000	4.000%	0.130%	6T8
2016	16,600,000	4.000	0.320	6U5
2017	16,600,000	5.000	0.610	6V3
2018	1,345,000	2.000	0.930	6W1
2018	15,255,000	4.000	0.930	7J9
2019	16,600,000	5.000	1.250	6X9
2020	1,760,000	2.000	1.550	6Y7
2020	1,230,000	4.000	1.550	7K6
2020	13,610,000	5.000	1.550	7P5
2021	16,600,000	5.000	1.810	6Z4
2022	440,000	3.000	2.020	7A8
2022	16,160,000	5.000	2.020	7L4
2023	16,600,000	5.000	2.230	7B6
2024	925,000	3.000	2.350	7C4
2024	15,675,000	5.000	2.350	7M2
2025	16,600,000	5.000	2.450†	7D2
2026	16,600,000	5.000	2.550†	7E0
2027	16,600,000	5.000	2.640†	7F7
2028	16,600,000	5.000	2.750†	7G5
2029	1,110,000	3.000	3.100	7H3
2029	15,490,000	5.000	2.840†	7N0

* Copyright Standard & Poor's. CUSIP data herein are assigned by Standard & Poor's, CUSIP Service Bureau, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., an independent company not affiliated with the State or the Treasurer. The State and the Treasurer are not responsible for the selection or uses of these CUSIP numbers, and no representation is made as to their correctness. These CUSIP numbers may also be subject to change after the issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds.

† Yield calculated to the first optional redemption date of May 1, 2024.

REGARDING THE USE OF THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

This Official Statement does not constitute an offering of any security, other than the original offering of the State of Ohio General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds, Series R (the "Series R Highway Bonds") identified on the cover. No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the State or the Underwriters to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained herein and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by any of the foregoing. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Series R Highway Bonds, by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. Information set forth herein has been furnished by the State and other sources which are believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness by, and is not to be construed as a representation of, the Underwriters. References in this Official Statement to statutes, laws, rules, regulations, resolutions, agreements, reports and documents do not purport to be comprehensive or definitive, and all such references are qualified in their entirety by reference to the particular document, the full text of which may contain qualifications of and exceptions to statements made herein.

This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Series R Highway Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose. This Official Statement has been approved by the State, acting by and through the Treasurer, and its use and distribution for the purpose set forth above have been authorized by the State and the Treasurer.

The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder, under any circumstances, shall create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the State since the date hereof.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement: The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, their respective responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

The information approved and provided by the State in this Official Statement is the information relating to the particular subjects provided by the State or State agencies for the purpose of this Official Statement. Reliance for such purpose should not be placed on any other information publicly provided, in any format including electronic, by any State agency for other purposes, including general information provided to the public or to portions of the public.

Upon issuance, the Series R Highway Bonds will not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or any state securities law and will not be listed on any stock or other securities exchange. Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other federal, state, municipal or other governmental entity or agency, except the Treasurer, will have passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this Official Statement or approved the Series R Highway Bonds for sale.

In connection with the offering of the Series R Highway Bonds, the Underwriters may over-allot or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of the Series R Highway Bonds at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SELECTED SUMMARY STATEMENT ii
THE SERIES R HIGHWAY BONDS 1
General 1
Optional Redemption of Series R Highway Bonds 2
BOOK-ENTRY METHOD 2
General 2
Revision of Book-Entry System; Replacement Series R Highway Bonds 5
PURPOSE AND APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS 7
Sources and Uses of Funds 7
THE BONDS GENERALLY 7
Constitutional and Statutory Authorization 7
The Highway Capital Improvements Program 8
Sources of Payment 9
Bond Debt Service Requirements 12
Defeasance 13
TAX MATTERS 13
Original Issue Discount and Original Issue Premium 15
LITIGATION 16
LEGAL OPINIONS 16
RATINGS 16
FINANCIAL ADVISOR 17
UNDERWRITING 17
TRANSCRIPT AND CLOSING CERTIFICATES 18
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE COMMITMENT 18
ELIGIBILITY FOR INVESTMENT AND AS PUBLIC FUNDS SECURITY 21
CONCLUDING STATEMENT 21

APPENDIX A – INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF OHIO

EXHIBIT A – PROPOSED TEXT OF LEGAL OPINION FOR SERIES R HIGHWAY BONDS

SELECTED SUMMARY STATEMENT

The following summary supplements certain of the information on the cover page and summarizes selected other information in this Official Statement relating to the General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds, Series R (the "Series R Highway Bonds") of the State of Ohio (the "State"). It is not intended as a substitute for the more detailed discussions in this Official Statement, to which reference should be made.

ISSUER. The State, by the State Treasurer of Ohio (the "Treasurer").

AUTHORIZATION. The Series R Highway Bonds, which are authorized and issued on behalf of the State by the Treasurer, are the eighteenth series of bonds (collectively, the "Highway Obligations") issued pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution, and are being issued under Ohio Revised Code Sections 151.01 and 151.06.

SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT. The Series R Highway Bonds are general obligations of the State. Principal of and interest on the Series R Highway Bonds are payable from and secured by a pledge of the full faith and credit, revenue and taxing power (excluding net State lottery proceeds) of the State, and fees, excises and license taxes levied by the State relating to registration, operation or use of vehicles on public highways, or to fuels used for propelling such vehicles. As long as the Series R Highway Bonds are outstanding, those pledged excises and taxes are to be levied and collected in amounts sufficient to pay the principal of and the interest on the Series R Highway Bonds and certain other bonds as described herein.

PURPOSE. The Series R Highway Bonds are issued to pay costs of highway capital improvements and costs of issuing the Series R Highway Bonds.

OPTIONAL REDEMPTION. The Series R Highway Bonds maturing on or after May 1, 2025, are subject to optional redemption on any date on or after May 1, 2024, in whole or in part, at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

FORM AND MANNER OF MAKING PAYMENTS. The Series R Highway Bonds will be initially issued as one fully registered bond for each interest rate within a maturity, under a book entry system and registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"). There will be no distribution of Series R Highway Bonds to the ultimate purchasers. Series R Highway Bonds in certificated form as such will not be transferable or exchangeable, except for transfer to another nominee of DTC or as otherwise described in this Official Statement.

Principal and interest will be payable to the registered owner. The principal will be payable on May 1 of each year listed on the inside cover for the Series R Highway Bonds upon presentation and surrender at the office of the Bond Registrar. Interest will be transmitted by the Bond Registrar on each interest payment date (May 1 and November 1, beginning November 1, 2014) to the registered owner as of the 15th day of the month preceding the interest payment date.

TAX MATTERS. In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law (i) assuming compliance with certain covenants and the accuracy of certain representations, interest on the Series R Highway Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, and (ii) interest on, and any profit made on the sale, exchange or other disposition of the Series R Highway Bonds, are exempt from the Ohio state and local taxation, except the estate tax, the domestic insurance company tax, the dealers in intangible tax, the tax levied on the basis of total equity capital of financial

institutions, and the net worth base of the corporate franchise tax. Interest on the Series R Highway Bonds may be subject to certain federal taxes imposed on certain corporations, including the corporate alternative minimum tax on a portion of that interest.

BOND REGISTRAR. The Treasurer.

BOND COUNSEL. Bricker & Eckler LLP.

UNDERWRITERS. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representative of the Underwriters shown on the cover (collectively, the "Underwriters"). The Series R Highway Bonds have been purchased by the Underwriters at a price of $290,315,373.88.

FINANCIAL ADVISOR. Public Financial Management, Inc.

Questions regarding this Official Statement or the Series R Highway Bonds should be directed to the Office of Debt Management, State Treasurer of Ohio, 30 East Broad Street, 9th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3461 (telephone 614-466-3930).

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement has been prepared by the State, acting by and through the Treasurer, to provide certain information in connection with the original issuance and sale of the Series R Highway Bonds (the "Series R Highway Bonds"). The Series R Highway Bonds are to be issued for the purpose of (i) paying costs of highway capital improvements, and (ii) paying costs of the issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds.

All financial and other information presented in this Official Statement has been provided by the State from its official records, except for information expressly attributed to other sources. The presentation of information, including tables of receipts from taxes and other sources, is intended to show recent historical information and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial or other positions of the State. No representation is made that financial and other historical data will be the same in the future.

Reference to provisions of Ohio law, whether codified in the Ohio Revised Code or uncodified, or of the Ohio Constitution, are to those provisions as now in effect. Those provisions may from time to time be amended, repealed or supplemented.

As used in this Official Statement, "Fiscal Year" means the State's Fiscal Year, currently the twelve-month period from July 1 through June 30.

THE SERIES R HIGHWAY BONDS

General

The Series R Highway Bonds will be issued pursuant to the Ohio Constitution, Ohio Revised Code Sections 151.01 and 151.06 (the "Act"), and the Bond Order issued by the Treasurer.

The Series R Highway Bonds will be initially issued as one fully registered bond for each interest rate within a maturity under a book-entry system (see **BOOK-ENTRY METHOD**), will be dated the date of their issuance, and will bear interest at the rates per annum and mature as set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Interest will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months.

The Treasurer will initially serve as the authenticating agent, bond registrar and paying agent for the Series R Highway Bonds (the "Bond Registrar"), and the Bond Order provides that the Treasurer may designate a successor Bond Registrar.

The principal of the Series R Highway Bonds will be payable to the Holder upon presentation and surrender at the principal office of the Bond Registrar.

Interest on the Series R Highway Bonds will be payable by the Bond Registrar by check or draft, mailed on each May 1 and November 1 (each an "Interest Payment Date"), beginning November 1, 2014 to the Holder of record on the Register as of the 15th day of the month next preceding the Interest Payment Date. So long as the Series R Highway Bonds are immobilized in the custody of a depository pursuant to a book-entry system, interest on the Highway Bonds may be paid by wire transfer to the depository on each Interest Payment Date.

The Treasurer as Bond Registrar will be responsible for the payment of the principal and interest on the Series R Highway Bonds (the "Bond Service Charges"). The Bond Registrar will maintain and

keep at his principal office all books and records necessary for the registration, exchange, transfer and authentication of the Series R Highway Bonds.

Optional Redemption of Series R Highway Bonds

The Series R Highway Bonds maturing on or after May 1, 2025, are subject to optional redemption on any date on or after May 1, 2024, in whole or in part, at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

Selection of Series R Highway Bonds to be Redeemed. If fewer than all outstanding Series R Highway Bonds are called for optional redemption at one time, the maturity or maturities of those Series R Highway Bonds to be called (in denominations of $5,000 or whole multiples of $5,000) will be selected by, and in a manner determined by, the Treasurer.

If less than all of the outstanding Series R Highway Bonds of one maturity held under a book-entry system are to be called for redemption, the Bond Registrar will give notice of redemption only to DTC as registered owner. The selection of the book-entry interests in such Series R Highway Bonds to be redeemed, and notice of call to the owners of those interests called, is the sole responsibility of DTC and its Direct Participants and those working through those Direct Participants.

If there is to be a partial redemption when Series R Highway Bonds of denominations greater than $5,000 are then outstanding, each $5,000 unit of principal will be treated by the Bond Registrar as if it were a separate bond of the denomination of $5,000.

Notice of Call for Redemption. If fewer than all outstanding Series R Highway Bonds are called for optional redemption at one time, the maturity or maturities of those Series R Highway Bonds to be called (in denominations of $5,000 or whole multiples of $5,000) will be selected by, and in a manner determined by, the Treasurer.

If less than all of the outstanding Series R Highway Bonds of one maturity held under a book-entry system are to be called for redemption, the Bond Registrar will give notice of redemption only to DTC as registered owner. The selection of the book-entry interests in such Series R Highway Bonds to be redeemed, and notice of call to the owners of those interests called, is the sole responsibility of DTC and its Direct Participants and those working through those Direct Participants.

If there is to be a partial redemption when Series R Highway Bonds of denominations greater than $5,000 are then outstanding, each $5,000 unit of principal will be treated by the Bond Registrar as if it were a separate bond of the denomination of $5,000.

BOOK-ENTRY METHOD

General

The information set forth in the following numbered paragraphs is based on information provided by The Depository Trust Company in its "Sample Offering Document Language Describing DTC and Book-Entry-Only Issuance" (labeled 06-2013). As such, the Treasurer believes it to be reliable, but the Treasurer takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of that information. It has been adapted to the Series R Highway Bonds issue by substituting "Series R Highway Bonds" for "Securities," "Treasurer" for "Issuer," "Bond Registrar" for "registrar" and by the addition of the italicized language set forth in the text. See also the additional information following those numbered paragraphs.

1. The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, NY, will act as securities depository for the Series R Highway Bonds. The Series R Highway Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Series R Highway Bonds (*and interest rate within a maturity*), each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

2. DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com. (*This internet site is included for reference only, and the information in this internet site is not incorporated by reference in this Official Statement.*)

3. Purchases of Series R Highway Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Series R Highway Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Series R Highway Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Series R Highway Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Series R Highway Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Series R Highway Bonds is discontinued.

4. To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Series R Highway Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Series R Highway Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Series R Highway Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Series R Highway Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial

Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

5. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Series R Highway Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults and proposed amendments to the Series R Highway Bond documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Series R Highway Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Series R Highway Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the Bond Registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

6. Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Series R Highway Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

7. Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Series R Highway Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the Treasurer as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Series R Highway Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

8. Redemption proceeds, distributions and dividends (*and Bond Debt Service payments*) on the Series R Highway Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts, upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the Treasurer or the Bond Registrar, on the payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Bond Registrar, or the Treasurer, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds, distributions and dividends (*and Bond Debt Service payments*) to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the Treasurer or the Bond Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

9. (*Not applicable to the Series R Highway Bonds*.)

10. DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the Treasurer or the Bond Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Series R Highway Bonds are required to be printed (*or otherwise produced*) and delivered.

11. The Treasurer may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Series R Highway Bonds will be printed (*or otherwise produced*) and delivered to DTC. (See also **Revision of Book-Entry System; Replacement Series R Highway Bonds**.)

12. The information above in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the Treasurer believes to be reliable, but the Treasurer takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Direct Participants and Indirect Participants may impose service charges on Beneficial Owners in certain cases. Purchasers of book-entry interests should discuss that possibility with their brokers.

The Treasurer and the Bond Registrar have no role in the purchases, transfers or sales of book-entry interests. The rights of Beneficial Owners to transfer or pledge their interests, and the manner of transferring or pledging those interests, may be subject to applicable state law. Beneficial Owners may want to discuss with their legal advisors the manner of transferring or pledging their book-entry interests.

The Treasurer and the Bond Registrar have no responsibility or liability for any aspects of the records or notices relating to, or payments made on account of, beneficial ownership, or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records relating to that ownership.

The Treasurer and the Bond Registrar cannot and do not give any assurances that DTC, Direct Participants, Indirect Participants or others will distribute to the Beneficial Owners payments of debt charges on the Series R Highway Bonds made to DTC as the registered owner, or redemption, if any, or other notices, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or that DTC, Direct Participants or Indirect Participants will serve or act in a manner described in this Official Statement.

For all purposes under the Series R Highway Bond proceedings (except the Continuing Disclosure Commitment under which others as well as DTC may be considered an owner or holder of the Series R Highway Bonds, see Continuing Disclosure Commitment), DTC will be and will be considered by the Treasurer and the Bond Registrar to be the owner or holder of the Series R Highway Bonds.

Beneficial Owners will not receive or have the right to receive physical delivery of Series R Highway Bonds, and, except to the extent they may have rights as Beneficial Owners or holders under the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, will not be or be considered by the Treasurer and the Bond Registrar to be, and will not have any rights as, owners or holders of Series R Highway Bonds under the Series R Highway Bond proceedings.

Reference herein to "DTC" includes when applicable any successor securities depository and the nominee of the depository.

Revision of Book-Entry System; Replacement Series R Highway Bonds

The Trust Agreement provides for issuance of fully-registered Series R Highway Bonds (the "Replacement Series R Highway Bonds") directly to owners of Series R Highway Bonds other than DTC only in the event that DTC (or a successor securities depository) determines not to continue to act as securities depository for the Series R Highway Bonds. Upon occurrence of this event, the Treasurer may in its discretion attempt to have established a securities depository book-entry relationship with another securities depository. If the Treasurer does not do so, or is unable to do so, and after the Bond Registrar has made provision for notification of the Beneficial Owners of the Series R Highway Bonds by appropriate notice to DTC, the Treasurer and the Bond Registrar will authenticate and deliver

Replacement Series R Highway Bonds of any one maturity, in authorized denominations, to or at the direction of any persons requesting such issuance, and, if the event is not the result of the Treasurer's action or inaction, at the expense (including legal and other costs) of those requesting.

Debt charges on Replacement Series R Highway Bonds will be payable when due without deduction for the services of the Bond Registrar as paying agent. Principal of and any premium on Replacement Series R Highway Bonds, will be payable when due to the registered owner upon presentation and surrender to the Bond Registrar. Interest on Replacement Series R Highway Bonds will be payable on the interest payment date by the Bond Registrar by transmittal to the registered owner of record on the Trust Agreement as of the 15th day of the calendar month preceding the interest payment date. Replacement Series R Highway Bonds will be exchangeable for other Replacement Series R Highway Bonds of authorized denominations, and transferable, at the designated corporate trust office of the Bond Registrar without charge (except taxes or governmental fees). Exchange or transfer of then-redeemable Replacement Series R Highway Bonds is not required to be made: (i) between the 15th day preceding the mailing of notice of redemption of Replacement Series R Highway Bonds and the date of that mailing, or (ii) of a particular Replacement Series R Highway Bond selected for redemption (in whole or part).

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

PURPOSE AND APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS

The Series R Highway Bonds are to be issued for the purpose of (i) paying the cost of highway capital improvement projects, and (ii) paying certain costs of issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds.

The Series R Highway Bond Order provides that the proceeds from the sale of the Series R Highway Bonds shall be allocated as follows:

(a) a portion of the proceeds of the Series R Highway Bonds to the Cost of Issuance Fund; and

(b) the balance of the proceeds of the Series R Highway Bonds to the Highway Capital Improvement Fund.

Sources and Uses of Funds

The proceeds of the Series R Highway Bonds will be applied for the following uses and in the respective estimated amounts:

Sources of Funds:	
Par Amount of Series R Highway Bonds	$249,005,000.00
Net Original Issue Premium	42,448,656.10
Total Sources of Funds	$291,453,656.10
Uses of Funds:	
Deposit to Highway Capital Improvement Fund	$290,000,000.00
Costs of Issuance*	1,453,656.10
Total Uses of Funds	$291,453,656.10

*Includes Underwriters' discount, financial advisor fees, certain legal fees, printing costs and other costs of issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds.

THE BONDS GENERALLY

Constitutional and Statutory Authorization

Constitutional Authorization. Section 2m of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution, adopted by the electors on November 7, 1995, is the constitutional authority for the issuance of Highway Obligations, including the Series R Highway Bonds. Section 2m provides that the General Assembly may provide by law for the issuance of tax-supported Highway Obligations the Holders of which are given the right to have excises and taxes levied by the General Assembly for the payment of the Bond Service Charges thereon. Section 2m further provides that such Highway Obligations shall be incurred for the purpose of financing or assisting in the financing of the cost of highway capital improvements of the State. Outstanding Series of Highway Obligations, the Series R Highway Bonds and any subsequently issued Highway Obligations have been, are and will be issued pursuant to this constitutional authorization and implementing legislation. Section 2m further provides that not more than $220,000,000 principal amount of Highway Obligations, plus the principal amount of Highway Obligations that in any prior Fiscal Years could have been but were not issued within the $220,000,000 Fiscal Year limit, may be issued in any Fiscal Year pursuant to Section 2m and that the total principal amount of Highway Obligations

outstanding under Section 2m may not exceed $1,200,000,000; provided, however, that the principal amount of Highway Obligations issued to retire or refund Highway Obligations previously issued shall not be counted against the Fiscal Year or total issuance limitations to the extent that such principal amount does not exceed the principal amount of Highway Obligations to be refunded.

Section 2m further provides that each series of Highway Obligations issued under Section 2m must mature in not more than 30 years from the date of issuance, or, if issued to retire or refund Highway Obligations previously issued under Section 2m, within 30 years from the date the Highway Obligations to be retired or refunded were originally contracted.

Statutory Authorization. The General Assembly implemented Section 2m of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution, by enacting the Act, and, from time to time, the General Assembly enacts laws authorizing the issuance of Highway Obligations and appropriating the proceeds for purposes for which those Highway Obligations may be issued. The Act authorizes the Treasurer, on behalf of the State, to issue the Highway Obligations authorized by the General Assembly.

The Act provides that the net proceeds of Highway Obligations (including the Series R Highway Bonds) are to be deposited in the Highway Capital Improvement Fund, created by Ohio Revised Code Section 5528.53 (the "Highway Capital Improvement Fund"). See **PURPOSE AND APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS**. The Highway Capital Improvement Fund, and the moneys in it, are not pledged to the payment of Bond Service Charges on the Highway Bonds.

The General Assembly has authorized the issuance of Highway Obligations pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $220,000,000 in the 2014-2015 biennium, plus any carry-over in amounts authorized but not issued in prior fiscal years, the net proceeds of which are to be deposited in the Highway Capital Improvement Fund. In total, the General Assembly has authorized $3,115,000,000 of Highway Obligations, of which and excluding the Series R Highway Bonds, $2,444,405,000 has heretofore been issued, leaving a remaining statutory authorization of $670,595,000, all subject to the constitutional limitation that not more than $1,200,000,000 original principal amount of such obligations may be outstanding at any one time. The principal amount of Highway Obligations currently outstanding is $612,630,000. The Treasurer has determined, based on a certification of the Director of Transportation of the State, that the Series R Highway Bonds must be issued in a principal amount of approximately $249,005,000 in order to assure sufficient moneys to the credit of the Highway Capital Improvement Fund to pay expected costs of highway capital improvements. Finally, pursuant to the Bond Order, the Treasurer has authorized the issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds in the aggregate principal amount of $249,005,000 for the purpose of making a deposit in the Highway Capital Improvement Fund. Upon issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds, $861,635,000 of Highway Obligations will be outstanding.

The Highway Capital Improvements Program

The proceeds of the Series R Highway Bonds and other moneys from time to time in the Highway Capital Improvement Fund (including proceeds of other Highway Obligations) will be used to pay costs of highway capital improvements authorized by the General Assembly.

Specifically, proceeds of Highway Obligations are issued for the purpose of paying costs of construction, reconstruction, or other improvements of highways, including those on the state highway system and urban extensions thereof, those within or leading to public parks or recreation areas and those within or leading to municipal corporations and shall include, without limitation, the cost of acquisition, construction, reconstruction, expansion, improvement, planning and equipping thereof.

The Series R Highway Bonds are the eighteenth Series of Highway Obligations pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution. After the issuance of the Highway Bonds, $2,693,410,000 of new money Highway Obligations will have been issued pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution. Additional Highway Obligations are expected to be issued from time to time.

Sources of Payment

The Series R Highway Bonds are general obligations of the State. The full faith and credit, revenue, and taxing power of the State and the Highway User Receipts, all as described below, are pledged to the timely payment of Bond Service Charges. Payment of Bond Service Charges is not dependent on, or subject or related in any manner to, progress on or the completion or operation of projects financed by the Series R Highway Bonds.

Pledged Excises and Taxes; Covenant. Bond Service Charges are payable from and secured by (i) the pledge by the General Assembly of the full faith and credit, revenue and taxing power of the State (except for net State lottery proceeds), (ii) a pledge of all fees, excises, or license taxes relating to the registration, operation, or use of vehicles on the public highways or to fuels used for propelling those vehicles, but excluding statutory refunds and adjustments ("Highway User Receipts"), and (iii) moneys deposited in the State Highway Capital Improvement Bond Service Fund (the "Bond Service Fund") and the Cost of Issuance Fund (see PURPOSE AND APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS), including all accounts in those funds and all moneys deposited therein and the investment earnings thereon (collectively, and subject to the stated exceptions, the "Pledged Excises and Taxes"). The Act covenants that so long as any of the Highway Obligations are outstanding the State and applicable officers and governmental agencies of the State, including the General Assembly, shall maintain statutory authority for and cause to be levied, collected and applied sufficient pledged excises, taxes, and revenues of the State in amounts sufficient to pay the Bond Service Charges when due. The Pledged Excises and Taxes exclude 50% of the State income, estate and inheritance tax receipts which, pursuant to Section 9 of Article XII of the Ohio Constitution, must be returned to the county, school district, city, village or township in which such taxes originate. Additionally, Section 2 of Article XII of the Ohio Constitution limits the amount of the aggregate levy for all State and local purposes of ad valorem property taxes, without a vote of the electors or municipal charter provision, to 1% of the property's true value in money, and Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.02 further limits the amount of such aggregate levy to 1% of the property's tax valuation (presently 35% of its true or "market" value). The State itself currently does not levy any ad valorem taxes on real or tangible personal property.

Otherwise, the Pledged Excises and Taxes are unlimited as to amount to the extent required to pay the Bond Service Charges.

Substitution of Fees, Excises and Taxes. The General Assembly may from time to time repeal or reduce any excise, tax, or other source of revenue pledged to the payment of Bond Service Charges, and may levy any new or increased excise, tax, or revenue source to meet the pledge of the State's full faith and credit, revenue, and taxing power to the payment of debt service on outstanding obligations. However, the General Assembly cannot impair the State's obligation to levy, charge, and collect sufficient pledged excises, taxes, and revenues to pay debt service on such obligations.

No Diversion of Highway User Receipts. Section 5a of Article XII, Ohio Constitution, prohibits the use of Highway User Receipts for other than the costs of administration of the laws levying such taxes; statutory refunds and adjustments; payments of Highway Obligations; construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repair of public highways and bridges and other statutory highway purposes; traffic enforcement by the State; and hospitalization of indigent persons injured in motor

vehicle accidents on the public highways. Highway User Receipts are not and cannot be used, or pledged to the payment of bonds or any other obligations issued, for any other purpose.

History of Highway User Receipts and Debt Service Coverage. The following table sets forth the amounts of the Highway User Receipts available in recent Fiscal Years for the payment of Bond Service Charges on Highway Bonds and the Highway Obligations previously issued and applies historical and current program debt service against available Highway User Receipts to derive coverage ratios for State Fiscal Years 2005 through and including 2013.

Fiscal Year	Highway User Receipts Available for Debt Service Requirements*	Total Fiscal Year Debt Service****	Coverage Ratio
2005	$2,483,833,507.00	178,797,263	13.89x
2006	2,669,145,764.00	181,073,874	14.74x
2007	2,576,989,814.00**	198,718,747	12.97x
2008	2,703,698,759.00	205,977,409	13.13x
2009	2,576,368,324.00**	197,451,814	13.05x
2010	2,585,478,680.00	170,263,970	15.19x
2011	2,642,695,323.00	149,309,581	17.70x
2012	2,593,933,652.10**	140,859,293	18.42x
2013	2,726,651,064.00***	135,452,052	20.13x

Source: State of Ohio

* Reflects total Highway User Receipts minus permissible statutory deductions or refunds.

** The decreases in Highway User Receipts in Fiscal Years 2007, 2009 and 2012 were due in large part to the timing of motor fuel tax receipts that were received at the end of those fiscal years but not recorded until the following Fiscal Year.

***Increase is due in part to $75.6 million of commercial activity tax receipts attributable to sales of motor fuel in the State of Ohio that beginning December 7, 2012 are required to be expended on public highways.

****Excludes debt service on the Series R Highway Bonds. Debt service presented is gross, without taking into account any Direct Payments received on Build America Bonds.

Based on the most recent annual data (FY2013), approximately 68% of Highway User Receipts are generated by Motor Fuel and Use Taxes while the remaining 32% is primarily from Registration and License fees.

The State, acting pursuant to Section 2i of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution and acts of the General Assembly, has issued and expects to issue in the future, special obligations for State Department of Transportation and Department of Public Safety facilities (see STATE DEBT in APPENDIX A), and also to issue special obligations or commit to provide "availability payments" in support of certain State highway improvement projects. The Bond Service Charges on these special obligations and those availability payments may be paid from biennial appropriations of Highway User Receipts remaining after the payment of Bond Service Charges on the Series R Highway Bonds and other Highway Obligations, but these special obligations or payments will not be secured by a pledge of the full faith and credit, revenue and taxing power of the State, and their owners or Holders will have no right to have taxes or excises levied by the General Assembly for the payment of Bond Service Charges. Annual debt service payments on those special obligations are appropriated and paid from Highway User Receipts remaining *after* the payment of Bond Service Charges on the Highway Obligations, including, but not limited to the Series R Highway Bonds.

Highway Capital Improvement Bond Service Fund - Pledge. The Bond Service Fund has been established pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 151.06 as a trust fund in the State Treasury pledged to the payment of Bond Service Charges on all Highway Obligations (including the Series R Highway Bonds). The Act provides that moneys in the Bond Service Fund are immediately subject to the lien of that pledge without further action. The Act provides that moneys in the Bond Service Fund shall be disbursed on the order of the Treasurer; provided that no further order is required for the payment of Bond Service Charges on the Highway Obligations (including the Series R Highway Bonds) when due.

The Act further provides that a sufficient amount of moneys of the State is committed and, without necessity for further appropriation, shall be paid into the Bond Service Fund for the purpose of paying when due the Bond Service Charges on the Highway Obligations (including the Series R Highway Bonds). The Act requires the Treasurer, by July 15 of each Fiscal Year, to certify or cause to be certified to the Office of Budget and Management of the State ("OBM") the total amount of moneys required during that Fiscal Year to meet in full all Bond Service Charges on outstanding Highway Obligations that are not payable from the proceeds of refunding or renewal obligations. The Treasurer is also required to make supplemental certifications to OBM for each date Bond Service Charges are due and at such other times during each Fiscal Year as may be provided in the bond proceedings or requested by OBM. Moneys from Highway User Receipts are required to be deposited in the Bond Service Fund in September through February in monthly amounts equal to one-sixth of the certified annual amount of Bond Service Charges on Highway Obligations. If on the 10th calendar day prior to their due date, moneys to the credit of the Bond Service Fund are insufficient to meet in full all payments of Bond Service Charges on that due date, no fewer than eight days before that due date, OBM is to transfer to that Bond Service Fund from the Pledged Excises and Taxes sufficient revenues to pay those Bond Service Charges when due.

Moneys to the credit of the Bond Service Fund may be invested in notes, bonds or other direct obligations of the United States or of any agency or instrumentality of the United States, or in no-front-end-load money market mutual funds consisting exclusively of those obligations, or in repurchase agreements (including those issued by any fiduciary) secured by those obligations, or in collective investment funds consisting exclusively of those obligations; obligations of the State or any political subdivision of the State; certificates of deposit of any national bank located in Ohio and any State bank subject to inspection by the State superintendent of financial institutions; or the Treasurer's pooled investment program. The income from those investments is to be credited to the Bond Service Fund.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Bond Debt Service Requirements

The following table sets forth the annual debt requirements for the Series R Highway Bonds along with outstanding program debt service as of the date of this Official Statement and Total Program Debt Service upon sale of the Series R Highway Bonds.

Fiscal Year	Series R Highway Bonds Debt Service			Outstanding Highway Obligations Debt Service*			Total Highway Obligations Debt Service**		
	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
2015	$ 16,605,000.00	$10,498,400.00	$27,103,400.00	$86,480,000.00	$26,621,776.00	$113,101,776.00	$103,085,000.00	$37,120,176.00	$140,205,176.00
2016	16,600,000.00	11,146,500.00	27,746,500.00	69,085,000.00	23,007,802.50	92,092,802.50	85,685,000.00	34,154,302.50	119,839,302.50
2017	16,600,000.00	10,482,500.00	27,082,500.00	53,715,000.00	20,312,202.50	74,027,202.50	70,315,000.00	30,794,702.50	101,109,702.50
2018	16,600,000.00	9,652,500.00	26,252,500.00	41,935,000.00	18,119,980.00	60,054,980.00	58,535,000.00	27,772,480.00	86,307,480.00
2019	16,600,000.00	9,015,400.00	25,615,400.00	43,415,000.00	16,401,933.10	59,816,933.10	60,015,000.00	25,417,333.10	85,432,333.10
2020	16,600,000.00	8,185,400.00	24,785,400.00	44,765,000.00	14,576,462.30	59,341,462.30	61,365,000.00	22,761,862.30	84,126,862.30
2021	16,600,000.00	7,420,500.00	24,020,500.00	46,545,000.00	12,674,342.30	59,219,342.30	63,145,000.00	20,094,842.30	83,239,842.30
2022	16,600,000.00	6,590,500.00	23,190,500.00	47,685,000.00	10,625,201.60	58,310,201.60	64,285,000.00	17,215,701.60	81,500,701.60
2023	16,600,000.00	5,769,300.00	22,369,300.00	49,235,000.00	8,511,030.80	57,746,030.80	65,835,000.00	14,280,330.80	80,115,330.80
2024	16,600,000.00	4,939,300.00	21,539,300.00	50,835,000.00	6,312,851.80	57,147,851.80	67,435,000.00	11,252,151.80	78,687,151.80
2025	16,600,000.00	4,127,800.00	20,727,800.00	37,900,000.00	3,932,470.00	41,832,470.00	54,500,000.00	8,060,270.00	62,560,270.00
2026	16,600,000.00	3,297,800.00	19,897,800.00	13,015,000.00	2,051,750.00	15,066,750.00	29,615,000.00	5,349,550.00	34,964,550.00
2027	16,600,000.00	2,467,800.00	19,067,800.00	13,670,000.00	1,401,000.00	15,071,000.00	30,270,000.00	3,868,800.00	34,138,800.00
2028	16,600,000.00	1,637,800.00	18,237,800.00	14,350,000.00	717,500.00	15,067,500.00	30,950,000.00	2,355,300.00	33,305,300.00
2029	16,600,000.00	807,800.00	17,407,800.00	-	-	-	16,600,000.00	807,800.00	17,407,800.00
Total	**$249,005,000.00**	**$96,039,300.00**	**$345,044,300.00**	**$612,630,000.00**	**$165,266,302.90**	**$777,896,302.90**	**$861,635,000.00**	**$261,305,602.90**	**$1,122,940,602.90**

* Does not reflect any Direct Payments received on Build America Bonds as provided in Section 6431 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Defeasance

The Highway Bonds will be deemed to have been paid or caused to be paid and no longer deemed outstanding if there is held in trust, by the Bond Register or the Bond Register's agent, for and irrevocably committed to the purpose either or a combination of the following: moneys or direct obligations of the United States, verified by an independent public accounting firm of national reputation (or equivalent expert) to be of such maturities and interest payment dates and to bear such interest or other investment income as will be, without further investment or reinvestment of either the principal or the investment earnings (likewise to be held in trust and committed, except as described below) sufficient for the payment at and to maturity of all applicable Debt Service.

Any moneys held in cash may be invested only in direct obligations of the United States, the maturities or redemption (at the holder's option) dates of which will coincide as nearly as practicable with, but will not be later than, the times at which those moneys will be required for those payment purposes.

Any income or interest earned by, or increment to, those investments, to the extent not required for those payment purposes, may be transferred to the applicable bond service fund or the State's General Revenue Fund.

TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Bricker & Eckler LLP, Bond Counsel, under existing law, (i) interest on the Series R Highway Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, and (ii) interest on, and any profit made on the sale, exchange or other disposition of, the Series R Highway Bonds are exempt from all Ohio state and local taxation, except the estate tax, the domestic insurance company tax, the dealers in intangibles tax, the tax levied on the basis of the total equity capital of financial institutions, and the net worth base of the corporate franchise tax. Bond Counsel will express no opinion as to any other tax consequences regarding the Series R Highway Bonds.

The opinions on tax matters will be based on and will assume the accuracy of certain representations and certifications made by the Treasurer, on behalf of the State, and the compliance with certain covenants by the Treasurer to be contained in the transcript of proceedings and which are intended to evidence and assure the foregoing, including that the Series R Highway Bonds are and will remain obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Bond Counsel has not and will not independently verify the accuracy of such certifications and representations made by the Treasurer or the continuing compliance with those covenants.

The opinion of Bond Counsel is based on current legal authority and covers certain matters not directly addressed by that authority. It represents Bond Counsel's legal judgment as to the exclusion of interest on the Series R Highway Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes but is not a guaranty of that conclusion. The opinion is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or any court. Bond Counsel will express no opinion as to (i) the effect of future changes in the Code and the applicable regulations under the Code or (ii) the interpretation and the enforcement of the Code or those regulations by the IRS.

The Code prescribes a number of qualifications and conditions for the interest on state and local government obligations to be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes, some of which require future or continued compliance after issuance of the obligations. Noncompliance

with these requirements may cause the loss of such status and result in the interest on the Series R Highway Bonds being included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to the date of issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds. The Treasurer has covenanted to take such actions required for the interest on the Series R Highway Bonds to be and to remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes, and not to take any actions which would adversely affect that exclusion.

A portion of the interest on the Series R Highway Bonds earned by certain corporations may be subject to the federal corporate alternative minimum tax. In addition, interest earned on the Series R Highway Bonds may be subject to the federal branch profits tax imposed on certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States and to a federal tax imposed on excess net passive income of certain S corporations.

Under the Code, the exclusion of interest from gross income for federal income tax purposes may have certain federal income tax consequences with respect to items of income, deductions, or credits for certain taxpayers, including among them financial institutions, certain insurance companies, recipients of Social Security and Railroad Retirement benefits, those that are deemed to incur or continue indebtedness to acquire or carry tax-exempt obligations, and individuals otherwise eligible for the earned income credit. The applicability and extent of these and other tax consequences will depend upon the particular tax status or other items of income and expenses of the owners of the Series R Highway Bonds. Bond Counsel will express no opinions regarding those consequences.

Payments of interest on tax-exempt obligations, including the Series R Highway Bonds, are generally subject to IRS Form 1099-INT information reporting requirements. If the owner of a Series R Highway Bond is subject to backup withholding under those requirements, then payments of interest will also be subject to backup withholding. Those requirements do not affect the exclusion of such interest from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

Bond Counsel's engagement with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds ends with the issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds, and, unless separately engaged, Bond Counsel is not obligated to defend the Treasurer, the State or the owners of the Series R Highway Bonds regarding the federal tax status of interest thereon in the event of an audit examination by the IRS. The IRS has a program to audit tax-exempt obligations to determine whether the interest thereon is includible in gross income for federal income tax purposes. If the IRS does audit the Series R Highway Bonds, under current IRS procedures, the IRS will treat the State of Ohio as the taxpayer and the Beneficial Owners of the Series R Highway Bonds will have only limited rights, if any, to obtain and participate in judicial review of such audit. Any action of the IRS, including but not limited to selection of the Series R Highway Bonds for audit, or the course or result of such audit, or an audit of other obligations presenting similar tax issues, may affect the market value or marketability of those Series R Highway Bonds.

From time to time legislative proposals are pending in Congress or the Ohio legislature that would, if enacted, alter or amend one or more of the federal or state tax matters discussed herein in certain respects or that would adversely affect the market value of the Series R Highway Bonds. In addition, federal or state judicial decisions may be rendered, or administrative actions taken by taxing authorities, which could also impact the federal or state tax matters discussed herein or that would adversely affect the market value of the Series R Highway Bonds. Neither the form nor enactment of any of such proposals can be predicted, and there can be no assurance that any such proposals or any judicial decisions or administrative actions, will not apply, either retroactively or prospectively, to the Series R Highway Bonds.

Investors should consult their own financial and tax advisers to analyze the importance of these risks.

Prospective purchasers of the Series R Highway Bonds upon their original issuance at prices other than the respective prices indicated on the Cover, and prospective purchasers of the Series R Highway Bonds at other than their original issuance, should consult their own tax advisers regarding other tax considerations such as the consequences of market discount, as to all of which Bond Counsel expresses no opinion.

Original Issue Discount and Original Issue Premium

The Series R Highway Bonds maturing on May 1, 2029 that bear interest at 3.00% per annum ("Discount Bonds") as indicated on the inside front cover of this Official Statement were offered and sold to the public at an original issue discount ("OID"). OID is the excess of the stated redemption price at maturity (the principal amount) over the "issue price" of a Discount Bond. The issue price of a Discount Bond is the initial offering price to the public (other than to bond houses, brokers or similar persons acting in the capacity of underwriters or wholesalers) at which a substantial amount of the Discount Bonds of the same maturity is sold pursuant to that offering. For federal income tax purposes, OID accrues to the owner of a Discount Bond over the period to maturity based on the constant yield method, compounded semiannually (or over a shorter permitted compounding interval selected by the owner). The portion of OID that accrues during the period of ownership of a Discount Bond (i) is interest excluded from the owner's gross income for federal income tax purposes to the same extent, and subject to the same considerations discussed above, as other interest on the Series R Highway Bonds, and (ii) is added to the owner's tax basis for purposes of determining gain or loss on the maturity, redemption, prior sale or other disposition of that Discount Bond. The amount of OID that accrues each year to a corporate owner of a Discount Bond is taken into account in computing the corporation's liability for federal alternative minimum tax. A purchaser of a Discount Bond in the initial public offering at the price for that Discount Bond stated on the inside front cover who holds that Discount Bond to maturity will realize no gain or loss upon the retirement of that Discount Bond.

All of the Series R Highway Bonds except the Discount Bonds ("Premium Bonds") as indicated on the inside front cover were offered and sold to the public at a price in excess of their stated redemption price at maturity (the principal amount). That excess constitutes Bond premium. For federal income tax purposes, Bond premium is amortized over the period to maturity of a Premium Bond, based on the yield to maturity of that Premium Bond (or, in the case of a Premium Bond callable prior to its stated maturity, the amortization period and yield may be required to be determined on the basis of an earlier call date that results in the lowest yield on that Premium Bond), compounded semiannually. No portion of that Bond premium is deductible by the owner of a Premium Bond. For purposes of determining the owner's gain or loss on the sale, redemption (including redemption at maturity) or other disposition of a Premium Bond, the owner's tax basis in the Premium Bond is reduced by the amount of Bond premium that is amortized during the period of ownership. As a result, an owner may realize taxable gain for federal income tax purposes from the sale or other disposition of a Premium Bond for an amount equal to or less than the amount paid by the owner for that Premium Bond. A purchaser of a Premium Bond in the initial public offering at the price for that Premium Bond stated on the inside front cover who holds that Premium Bond to maturity (or, in the case of a callable Premium Bond, to its earlier call date that results in the lowest yield on that Premium Bond) will realize no gain or loss upon the retirement of that Premium Bond.

Owners of Discount and Premium Bonds should consult their own tax advisers as to the determination for federal income tax purposes of the amount of OID or Bond premium properly accruable or amortizable in any period with respect to the Discount or Premium Bonds and as to other federal tax consequences and the treatment of OID and Bond premium for purposes of state and local taxes on, or based on, income.

LITIGATION

There is no litigation pending contesting the validity of the Series R Highway Bonds or the proceedings for their authorization, issuance, sale, execution and delivery. An opinion of the Attorney General of the State to the effect that to, the best of the knowledge of the Attorney General, no litigation is pending contesting the validity of the Highway Bonds or the proceedings for the authorization, issuance, sale, execution and delivery thereof will be delivered to the Underwriters at the time of original delivery of the Series R Highway Bonds.

The State is a party to various legal proceedings seeking damages or injunctive or other relief and generally incidental to its operations, but unrelated to the Series R Highway Bonds or the security for the Series R Highway Bonds. The ultimate disposition of these proceedings is not now determinable, but in the opinion of the Attorney General will not have a material adverse effect on the Highway Bonds or the security for the Series R Highway Bonds.

LEGAL OPINIONS

Legal matters incident to the issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds and with regard to their tax-exempt status (see **TAX MATTERS**) are subject to the legal opinions of Bricker & Eckler LLP, Bond Counsel. Signed legal opinions dated as of, and premised on the transcript of proceedings examined and the law in effect on, the date of original delivery of the Highway Bonds will be delivered to the Underwriters at the time of that original delivery.

The proposed text of the legal opinions for the Series R Highway Bonds is included as **EXHIBIT A**, respectively, hereto. Such opinion will speak only as of its date, and subsequent distribution of such opinions by recirculation of this Official Statement or otherwise shall create no implication that Bond Counsel has reviewed or expresses any opinion concerning any of the matters referred to in its opinion subsequent to the date of such opinion.

Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Roetzel & Andress, A Legal Professional Association.

RATINGS

The Series R Highway Bonds have been rated "AAA" by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("Standard & Poor's"), "AA+" by Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") and "Aa1" by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's").

The ratings in effect from time to time reflect only the views of the rating organization. The explanation of its views of its rating's meaning and significance may be obtained from the respective rating agency. The State furnished to each rating agency certain information and materials relating to the Series R Highway Bonds, the State and the Treasurer, some of which may not be included in this Official Statement. Generally, rating agencies base their ratings on that information and materials, and on their own investigations, studies, and assumptions.

There can be no assurance that a rating when assigned will continue for any given time, or that a rating will not be lowered or withdrawn by a rating agency if in its judgment circumstances so warrant. Any downward change in or withdrawal of a rating, or change in rating outlook or other actions of a rating agency, may have an adverse effect on the marketability and market price of the Series R Highway Bonds.

The rating agencies maintain a stable rating outlook associated with the Series R Highway Bonds. The ratings outlooks in effect from time to time reflect only the views of the particular rating organization. An explanation of its view of the meaning and significance of its rating outlook may be obtained from the respective rating agency.

FINANCIAL ADVISOR

Public Financial Management, Inc. is serving as the financial advisor to the State in connection with the State's issuance of the Series R Highway Bonds. The financial advisor is not obligated to undertake, and has not undertaken to make, an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or fairness of the information contained in this Offering Statement. Public Financial Management, Inc. is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities.

UNDERWRITING

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, as representative of the Underwriters, has agreed in the Bond Purchase Agreement, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the Series R Highway Bonds from the State at the following price:

The Series R Highway Bonds at a price of $290,315,373.88 (consisting of the par amount thereof, plus net original issue premium of $42,448,656.10, less underwriting discount of $1,138,282.22).

The Underwriters are obligated to purchase all the Series R Highway Bonds if any are purchased. The Series R Highway Bonds may be offered and sold by the Underwriters to certain dealers at prices lower than the public offering prices, and the public offering prices may be changed from time to time.

The Underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage services. Certain of the Underwriters and their respective affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory and investment banking services for the Treasurer, for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, the Underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities, which may include credit default swaps) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities activities may involve securities and instruments of the Treasurer.

The Underwriters and their respective affiliates may also communicate independent investment recommendations, market color or trading ideas and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of such assets, securities or instruments and may at any time hold, or recommend to clients that they should acquire, long and/or short positions in such assets, securities and instruments.

"US Bancorp" is the marketing name of U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries, including U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc. ("USBII"), which is serving as an Underwriter for the Series R Highway Bonds.

TRANSCRIPT AND CLOSING CERTIFICATES

A complete transcript of proceedings and no-litigation certificate (as described above) will be delivered by the Treasurer when Highway Bonds are delivered to the original purchaser. At that time the Treasurer will furnish to the original purchaser a certificate relating to the accuracy and completeness of this Official Statement (including matters set forth in or contemplated by it).

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE COMMITMENT

The State, acting by and through the Treasurer and Director of OBM, has committed, for the benefit of the Holders and Beneficial Owners, in accordance with SEC Rule 15c2-12 (the "Rule"), to provide or cause to be provided such financial information and operating data (the "Annual Information"), audited financial statements and notices, in such manner, as may be required for purposes of paragraph (b)(5)(i) of the Rule (the "Commitment"), as described below.

The State of Ohio is in compliance in all material respects with all its prior continuing disclosure undertakings applicable to State general obligations (including the Highway Obligations) and other State direct obligations payable from its General Revenue Fund or net State lottery proceeds and with the Rule.

The State will provide to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the "MSRB") through its Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system:

- Annual Information for each State Fiscal Year (beginning with Fiscal Year 2014) not later than the 90th day following the end of the Fiscal Year (or, if that is not a State business day, the next State business day), consisting of annual financial information and operating data of the type included under the heading **THE SERIES R HIGHWAY BONDS – History of Highway User Receipts and Debt Service Coverage** and in **APPENDIX A** of this Official Statement under the captions **FISCAL MATTERS, STATE DEBT**, **STATE EMPLOYEES AND RETIREMENT SYSTEMS**, and **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES**. The Annual Information may be provided in part by cross-reference to other documents, such as the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, and subsequent final official statements.

- When and if available, audited general purpose financial statements of the State for each Fiscal Year. The Treasurer expects that those financial statements will be prepared, that they will be available separately from the Annual Information, and that the accounting principles to be applied in their preparation will, except as may otherwise then be stated, be as described under and by reference in **APPENDIX A** under **FISCAL MATTERS – Accounts and Controls**; **Financial Reports**.

  It will provide to the MSRB through the EMMA system, in a timely manner, notice of:

  - The occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds, within the meaning of the Rule, within 10 business days of the occurrence of the event:

    - principal and interest payment delinquencies

    - non-payment related defaults, if material

- unscheduled draws on any debt service reserves or on credit enhancements (Credit Enhancement Facility) reflecting financial difficulties

- substitution of credit or liquidity providers (Credit Enhancement Facility providers), or their failure to perform

- adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the IRS of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notice of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Series R Highway Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax-exempt status of the Series R Highway Bonds

- modifications to rights of registered owners or Beneficial Owners, if material

- Series R Highway Bond calls, if material, and tender offers

- defeasances

- release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Series R Highway Bonds, if material

- bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person

- consummation of a merger, consolidation or acquisition involving an obligated person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the obligated person, other than in the ordinary course of business, or the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material

- appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material

- rating changes

- The failure to provide the Annual Information within the specified time.

- Any change in the accounting principles applied in the preparation of the annual financial statements or in the Fiscal Year, any failure of the General Assembly to appropriate moneys for the purpose of paying costs to be incurred by the State in performing the Continuing Disclosure Agreement for the applicable fiscal period (biennium), and termination of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement.

There are no debt service reserves, or credit enhancements or credit or liquidity providers, for the Series R Highway Bonds or any property (except the Bond Service Fund) securing their repayment.

The Treasurer reserves the right to modify the Commitment, and to obtain the waiver of noncompliance with any provision of the Commitment, as may be necessary or appropriate:

- To achieve its compliance with any applicable federal securities law or rule.

- To cure any ambiguity, inconsistency or formal defect or omission.

- To address any change in circumstances arising from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature, or status of the Treasurer.

Any such amendment or waiver will not be effective unless the Commitment (as amended or taking into account the waiver) would have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the primary offering of the Series R Highway Bonds, after taking into account any applicable amendments to or official interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances, and until the Treasurer shall have received either:

- A written opinion of bond, disclosure or other qualified independent special counsel selected by the Treasurer that the amendment or waiver would not materially impair the interest of holders or Beneficial Owners of the Series R Highway Bonds; or

- The written consent to the amendment, or waiver, by the holders of at least a majority of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Series R Highway Bonds.

The Commitment will be solely for the benefit of the holders and Beneficial Owners of the Series R Highway Bonds including holders of book-entry interests in them. The right to enforce the provisions of the Commitment may be limited to a right of the holders or Beneficial Owners to enforce to the extent permitted by law (by mandamus, or other suit, action or proceedings at law or in equity) the obligations and duties under it.

In order to provide certain continuing disclosure with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds in accordance with the Rule, the State has entered into a Disclosure Dissemination Agent Agreement (the "Disclosure Dissemination Agreement") for the benefit of the holders of the Series R Highway Bonds with Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C. (DAC), under which the State has designated DAC as Disclosure Dissemination Agent (the "Disclosure Dissemination Agent").

The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has only the duties specified in the Disclosure Dissemination Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent's obligation to deliver the information at the times and with the contents described in the Disclosure Dissemination Agreement is limited to the extent the State has provided that information to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent as required by that Disclosure Dissemination Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has no duty with respect to the content of any disclosures or notice made pursuant to the terms of the Disclosure Dissemination Agreement or duty or obligation to review or verify any information in the Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, notice of Notice Event or Voluntary Report (each as defined in the Disclosure Dissemination Agreement), or any other information, disclosure or notices provided to it by the State, and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall not be or be deemed to be acting in any fiduciary capacity for the State, the holders of the Series R Highway Bonds or any other party. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has no responsibility for any failure to report to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent a Notice Event or a duty to determine the materiality thereof, or to determine or liability for failing to determine whether the State has complied with the Disclosure Dissemination Agreement, and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon certification of the State at all times.

The performance by the State, as the only obligated person with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds, of the Commitment will be subject to the biennial appropriation by the General Assembly of moneys for that purpose.

The Commitment will remain in effect only for such period that the Series R Highway Bonds are Outstanding under the Bond Order and the State remains an obligated person with respect to the Series R Highway Bonds within the meaning of the Rule.

ELIGIBILITY FOR INVESTMENT AND AS PUBLIC FUNDS SECURITY

Provided that the matter as to a particular investor is governed by Ohio law, and subject to any applicable limitations under other provisions of Ohio law, the Series R Highway Bonds are lawful investments for banks, savings and loan associations, credit union share guaranty corporations, trust companies, trustees, fiduciaries, insurance companies, (including domestic for life and domestic not for life), trustees or other officers having charge of sinking and bond retirement or other special funds of the State and its political subdivisions and taxing districts, the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, the administrator of workers' compensation subject to the approval of the workers' compensation board, and State retirement systems (Teachers, Public Employees, Public School Employees, and Police and Fire).

The Act also provides that the Series R Highway Bonds are acceptable under Ohio law as security for repayment of the deposit of public moneys.

Holders and Beneficial Owners should make their own determination as to such matters as the legality of investment in, or the ability to pledge, book-entry interests.

CONCLUDING STATEMENT

All quotations in this Official Statement from, and summaries and explanations of, the Ohio Constitution, the Ohio Revised Code, the Bond Order and any other documents or laws, do not purport to be complete. Reference is made to the pertinent provisions of the Constitution, Ohio Revised Code, the Bond Orders and any other documents or laws for complete statements of their provisions. Copies of the Bond Orders are available upon request from the Office of Debt Management, 30 East Broad Street, 9th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3461 (telephone 614-466-3930).

To the extent that any statements in this Official Statement involve matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly stated to be such, those statements are made as such and not as representations of fact or certainty, and no representation is made that any of those statements will be realized. Information in this Official Statement has been derived by the Treasurer from official and other sources and is believed by the Treasurer to be reliable, but information other than that obtained from official records of the State has not been independently confirmed or verified by the Treasurer, and its accuracy is not guaranteed. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the State or the Treasurer and the Holders or Beneficial Owners of any of the Highway Bonds.

This Official Statement has been prepared, approved, executed and delivered by the Treasurer in his official capacity for and on behalf of the State.

STATE OF OHIO

Dated: May 29, 2014

By: /s/ *Josh Mandel*
State Treasurer of Ohio

(THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX A

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF OHIO

(THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Appendix A

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF OHIO

The following discusses certain matters relating to State finances, debt, economy and employment, population, agriculture, resources, tax bases and related subjects. It has been provided by the State from its official records, except for information expressly attributed to other sources, to summarize and describe current and recent historical information. It is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial or other positions of the State. No representation is made that past experience, as might be shown by this financial and other information, will necessarily continue in the future.

FISCAL MATTERS

General

Consistent with the constitutional provision that no appropriation may be made for a period longer than two years, the State operates on the basis of a fiscal biennium for its appropriations and expenditures. Under current law that biennium for operating purposes runs from July 1 in an odd-numbered year to June 30 in the next odd-numbered year (e.g., the current fiscal biennium began July 1, 2013 and ends June 30, 2015). Within a fiscal biennium, the State operates on the basis of a July 1 to June 30 Fiscal Year. The biennium for general capital appropriations purposes runs from July 1 in an even-numbered year to June 30 in the next even-numbered year. Consistent with the fiscal biennium for operating purposes, the Governor is generally required to submit the Executive Budget to the General Assembly in February of each odd-numbered year. Appropriations legislation reflecting that Executive Budget is then introduced for committee hearings and review first in the House and then in the Senate, with that appropriations legislation as approved by the General Assembly then presented to the Governor for his approval (with possible line item vetoes). See **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium** for discussion of the 2014-15 biennial appropriations.

Authority for appropriating State moneys subject to appropriation rests in the bicameral General Assembly, which consists of a 99-member House of Representatives (elected to two-year terms) and a 33-member Senate (elected to overlapping four-year terms). Members of both houses are subject to term limits, with a maximum of eight consecutive years in either. The Governor has veto power, including the power to make line-item vetoes in bills making appropriations. Vetoes may be overridden by a three-fifths vote of each house.

The Constitution requires the General Assembly to "provide for raising revenue, sufficient to defray the expenses of the state, for each year, and also a sufficient sum to pay the principal and interest as they become due on the state debt." The State is effectively precluded by law from ending a Fiscal Year or a biennium in a "deficit" position. State borrowing to meet casual deficits or failures in revenues or to meet expenses not otherwise provided for is limited by the Constitution to $750,000.

Most State operations are financed through the General Revenue Fund (GRF). Personal income and sales and use taxes are the major sources of GRF tax revenue. The last complete fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 with a GRF fund balance (after year-end transfers) of $1.11 billion. The State has a "rainy day" fund (the Budget Stabilization Fund (BSF)) which under current law and until used may carry a balance of up to 5% of the GRF revenue for the preceding Fiscal Year. The current BSF balance is $1.48 billion, which equals 5% of Fiscal Year 2013 GRF revenue.

The Revised Code provides that if the Governor ascertains that the available revenue receipts and balances for the GRF or other funds for the then current Fiscal Year will in all probability be less than the appropriations for that Fiscal Year, the Governor shall issue such orders to State agencies as will prevent their expenditures and incurred obligations from exceeding those revenue receipts and balances. As discussed under **Recent and Current Finances**, the Governor implemented this directive in the 2008-09 biennium as had been done several times in prior fiscal biennia.

Listed in the tables below under **Recent Receipts and Disbursements** are the major categories of State revenue sources, including taxes and excises, and the amounts received from those categories. There is no present constitutional limit on the rates of those State levied taxes and excises (except for taxes on intangible property which the State does not currently levy).

At present the State itself does not levy ad valorem taxes on real or tangible personal property. Those taxes are levied by political subdivisions and local taxing districts. The Constitution has since 1934 limited the

amount of the aggregate levy of ad valorem property taxes on particular property, without a vote of the electors or municipal charter provision, to 1% of true value in money, and statutes limit the amount of that aggregate levy without a vote or charter provision to 10 mills per $1 of assessed valuation -- commonly referred to in the context of Ohio local government finance as the "ten-mill limitation." See **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES** for a discussion of the phase-out of local tangible personal property taxes in 2006 through 2009.

The Constitution directs or restricts the use of certain revenues. Highway fees and excises, including gasoline taxes, are limited in use to highway-related purposes. Not less than 50% of the receipts from State income taxes must be returned to the originating political subdivisions and school districts. State net lottery profits are allocated to elementary, secondary, vocational and special education program purposes, including application to debt service on obligations issued to finance capital facilities for a system of common schools.

Constitutional amendments relating to taxation, revenues, expenditures, debt or other subjects may be proposed by action of three-fifths of the members elected to each house of the General Assembly or by initiative petition signed by electors numbering at least 10% of the total number of votes last cast for the office of governor. Adoption of a proposed amendment requires approval by a majority of electors voting on it at a statewide election. The Ohio Constitution expressly provides that the General Assembly has no power to pass laws impairing the obligation of contracts.

Accounts and Controls; Financial Reports

With each office performing specific functions relating to State expenditures, the Office of Budget and Management (OBM) and the Treasurer of State account for and report on the State's fiscal affairs.

OBM maintains records of the appropriations made by the General Assembly, and its Director, appointed by the Governor, certifies the availability of unencumbered appropriations as a condition of contract validity. OBM fiscal functions include the development and oversight of operating and capital budgets as well as the review, processing, and reporting of financial transactions for most State departments and agencies (excluding, among others, higher education institutions). The OBM Director's certification is required for all expenditure vouchers before OBM may issue State warrants. Upon certification, OBM updates its accounting records to reflect the level of vouchered expenditures. The Treasurer of State maintains the cash and investments that comprise the State treasury and invests State funds. The Treasurer redeems the warrants issued by OBM when presented for payment by financial institutions and monitors the amounts and the timing of payments to determine the State's cash flow position for investment purposes.

State financial reporting practices have been and are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP basis). Each Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) includes the State's Basic Financial Statements (BFS) for that Fiscal Year as examined by the Auditor of State. The most recent CAFRs are accessible via OBM's home page on the Internet at http://obm.ohio.gov/SectionPages/FinancialReporting/, and copies may be obtained by contacting OBM, 30 E. Broad Street, 34th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215, phone (614) 466-4034. The Fiscal Year 2012 CAFR received the Government Finance Officers Association certificate of achievement for excellence in financial reporting.

The BFS are presented in accordance with a fund classification system prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The GAAP basis financial statement presentation is comprehensive in scope and includes organizations and activities defined within Ohio's reporting entity that are not subject to the State's appropriation process. The "General Fund" as reported in the BFS includes more than just the GRF; it also encompasses the Budget Stabilization Fund and those reimbursement-supported funds that account for activities administered by State agencies and departments and for which special revenue or proprietary fund classifications are considered inappropriate.

In accordance with State law, financial statements and analyses (with supporting schedules) of State agencies' transactions, based on official records maintained by OBM, are incorporated into the Governor's Executive Budget. That budget, along with other information, is the subject of extended hearings and reviews in the General Assembly during the biennial appropriation process. See **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium** regarding the 2014-15 biennial appropriations.

Recent Receipts and Disbursements

The following summary statements, prepared by OBM based on its accounting records, include (i) governmental and proprietary appropriated funds, cash receipts and cash disbursements, and (ii) GRF cash basis activity. The governmental and proprietary appropriated funds encompass the General Fund (which includes the GRF and BSF), as well as special revenue, debt service, capital projects, and enterprise fund types, all as defined and included in each BFS.

SUMMARY STATEMENT
GOVERNMENTAL AND PROPRIETARY APPROPRIATED FUNDS
($ in Millions)

Cash Receipts

SOURCE OF RECEIPTS	Fiscal Year 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Taxes:					
Personal Income(a)	$8,322.2	$7,886.8	$8,820.1	$9,029.7	$9,869.8
Sales and Use(b)	7,325.8	7,254.3	7,769.0	8,293.6	8,851.5
Corporate Franchise(c)	521.4	142.3	237.2	117.4	262.2
Commercial Activity Tax(d)	1,179.1	1,342.1	1,451.6	1,655.9	1,594.9
Gasoline	1,726.7	1,727.2	1,757.2	1,684.2	1,725.0
Public Utilities and Kilowatt Hour	799.9	721.5	728.0	712.0	702.0
Cigarette	924.8	886.9	855.6	843.2	827.4
Foreign Insurance	265.0	266.4	273.0	283.9	292.5
Highway Use	30.5	29.4	30.1	32.2	36.1
Estate(e)	64.4	55.0	72.1	66.5	105.2
Alcoholic Beverages	58.0	57.1	56.4	58.7	57.6
Liquor Gallonage	35.8	36.5	37.6	39.4	40.7
Domestic Insurance Franchise	160.1	166.5	194.3	194.1	211.6
Other	84.0	83.9	84.1	63.9	84.1
Total Taxes	21,497.7	20,655.9	22,366.3	23,074.8	24,660.6
Licenses, Permits and Fees	2,592.4	3,076.2	3,102.0	3,186.9	3,284.4
Sales, Services and Charges	1,921.2	1,758.2	1,958.9	1,968.0	1,682.7
Federal Government (including ARRA)	18,040.4	21,105.3	22,373.7	19,975.7	19,685.3
Other(f)	3,604.1	3,327.6	3,783.1	3,692.0	4,626.4
Proceeds from Sale of Bonds and Notes	966.1	1,015.2	1,345.1	1,406.6	732.2
Total Cash Receipts	$48,621.8	$50,938.6	$54,929.1	$53,304.1	$54,671.6

(a) Beginning in calendar year 2013 the personal income tax is being reduced by 10% over a three-year period and a deduction allowed for business income of 50% of annual adjusted gross income up to $250,000 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium**). Beginning in calendar year 2005 the personal income tax rate was reduced by 21% (4.2% per year over five years, with the last reduction delayed from tax year 2009 to tax year 2011) (see **FISCAL MATTERS - Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2010-11**).
(b) Reflects a sales and use tax rate of 5.5%. Beginning September 1, 2013, the sales and use tax rate was increased one-quarter percent to 5.75% (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium**).
(c) Beginning in calendar year 2006, except for financial institutions, the State corporate franchise tax rate was phased out at a rate of 20% per year over five years. Beginning in tax year 2014, the financial institutions component was replaced with the new financial institutions tax.
(d) See **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES** for a discussion of the commercial activity tax on gross receipts from doing business in Ohio – commenced in Fiscal Year 2006 at the initial rate of 0.06% and increased each year until reaching the current rate of 0.26% in Fiscal Year 2010.
(e) Eliminated effective January 1, 2013.
(f) Largest components consist of various reimbursements, loan repayments, unclaimed funds, and investment income.

Cash Disbursements

FUND TYPE	Fiscal Year 2009	2010	2011(g)	2012(g)	2013(g)
General Fund:					
General Revenue Fund	$26,783.4	$24,141.4	$26,247.6	$26,394.8	$27,439.3
General Services Fund(h)	1,442.9	1,331.2	6,106.4	5,090.2	4,556.8
Special Revenue Fund(i)	21,144.2	24,597.1	20,225.5	18,708.6	18,251.2
Capital Projects Fund(j)	514.6	472.9	440.0	346.9	273.5
Debt Service Fund(k)	819.3	578.2	633.3	557.0	996.3
Enterprise Fund	1,459.4	1,208.1	1,395.8	1,341.1	1,115.9
Total Cash Disbursements	$52,163.8	$52,328.9	$55,048.6	$52,438.6	$52,633.1

(g) Fiscal Years 2011 through 2013 reflect the reclassification of 161 individual funds from special revenue funds into the general services fund to be consistent with financial reporting changes made in GASB Statement No. 54 and effective for the Fiscal Year 2011 CAFR.
(h) Includes the Internal Service Fund.
(i) Includes local government support disbursements.
(j) Includes amounts disbursed from proceeds of general obligation bonds and certain other State obligations.
(k) Includes the several bond retirement funds for bonds secured by a pledge of taxes and excises.

SUMMARY STATEMENT
GENERAL REVENUE FUND CASH BASIS ACTIVITY
($ in Millions)

	Fiscal Year				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Beginning Cash Balance	$1,682.0	$734.5	$510.3	$844.5	$973.4
Cash Receipts:					
Taxes:					
Personal Income(a)	7,628.0	7,247.2	8,120.3	8,432.9	9,507.8
Sales and Use(b)	7,112.8	7,077.4	7,578.2	8,087.0	8,444.9
Corporate Franchise(c)	520.8	141.8	236.6	117.1	261.9
Commercial Activity Tax(d)	0.0	0.0	0.0	417.1	790.0
Public Utilities and Kilowatt Hour	320.5	293.0	278.7	468.9	461.7
Cigarette	924.8	886.9	855.6	843.2	827.4
Foreign Insurance	249.2	250.8	256.3	266.5	274.6
Other	337.6	336.6	380.5	372.5	447.4
Total Taxes	17,093.7	16,233.6	17,706.1	19,005.2	21,015.7
Federal Government (including ARRA)	6,850.7	6,898.8	8,429.0	7,363.0	7,525.8
Licenses, Permits and Fees	65.8	66.2	59.0	65.3	70.2
Investment Income	137.1	28.7	7.1	5.4	10.5
Other(e)	104.4	300.8	169.8	164.3	534.5
Total Cash Receipts	24,251.7	23,528.1	26,371.1	26,603.2	29,156.7
Cash Disbursements:					
Primary, Secondary and Other Education(f)	7,005.0	6,743.4	6,740.0	6,457.8	6,574.2
Higher Education	2,632.6	2,424.1	2,411.0	2,102.7	2,101.9
Public Assistance and Medicaid	11,108.5	9,421.9	11,425.8	12,465.7	12,794.8
Health and Human Services	1,194.6	1,017.0	1,099.1	964.8	969.8
Justice and Public Protection	2,088.1	1,933.6	1,940.2	1,863.0	1,930.1
Environmental Protection and Natural Resources	89.6	80.3	72.4	70.1	83.5
Transportation	21.4	17.5	13.4	10.3	9.0
General Government	354.4	283.2	275.5	273.0	310.3
Community and Economic Development	146.3	108.3	103.2	90.3	78.2
Tax Relief(g) and Other	1,526.2	1,711.4	1,691.0	1,728.5	1,767.1
Capital Outlay	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1
Debt Service(h)	616.3	400.5	475.9	368.5	820.3
Total Cash Disbursements	26,783.4	24,141.4	26,247.5	26,394.8	27,439.3
Cash Transfers:					
Transfers-in(i)	2,432.8	1,422.2	1,392.1	582.3	402.0
Transfers-out(j)	(848.6)	(1,033.0)	(1,181.5)	(661.8)	(453.6)
Total Cash Transfers (net)	1,584.2	389.2	210.6	(79.5)	(51.6)
Ending Cash Balance	$734.5	$510.3	$844.5	$973.4	$2,639.2

(a) Beginning in calendar year 2013 the personal income tax is being reduced by 10% over a three-year period and a deduction allowed for on business income of 50% of annual adjusted gross income up to $250,000 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances - Current Biennium**). Beginning in calendar year 2005 the personal income tax rate was reduced by 21% (4.2% per year over five years, with the last reduction delayed from tax year 2009 to tax year 2011) (see **FISCAL MATTERS - Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2010-11**).

(b) Reflects a sales and use tax rate of 5.5%. Beginning September 1, 2013, the sales and use tax rate is being increased one-quarter percent to 5.75% (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances - Current Biennium**).

(c) Beginning in calendar year 2006, except for financial institutions, the corporate franchise tax rate was phased out 20% per year over five years. Beginning in tax year 2014, the financial institutions component was eliminated and replaced with a new financial institutions tax.

(d) See **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES** for a discussion of the commercial activity tax (CAT) on gross receipts from doing business in Ohio – commenced in Fiscal Year 2006 at the initial rate of 0.06% and increased each year until reaching the current rate of 0.26% in Fiscal Year 2010. CAT receipts have been directed primarily to make compensating payments to school districts and other local taxing units in connection with the phase-out of the tangible personal property tax in 2006 through 2010, though the acceleration of the phase out of those reimbursements included in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 budget results in a portion of the CAT receipts being deposited into the GRF beginning in Fiscal Year 2012.

(e) Includes fines and penalties, rental receipts, refunds and certain intrastate transfers, including transfers from the Unclaimed Property Trust Fund.

(f) Mainly subsidies to local school districts for primary and secondary education and to colleges and universities for higher education.

(g) State reimbursements to taxing subdivisions for the 12.5% property tax rollback granted to homeowners of real property, for partial real property homestead tax exemptions for the elderly and handicapped (expanded commencing in July 2007), and for revenue reductions resulting from phase-out of local taxes on tangible personal property. The 12.5% property tax roll back was being eliminated for new voter approved local tax levies and eligibility for the homestead tax exemption for new applicants is based on income (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Current Biennium**).

(h) Includes debt service on general obligations with debt service on other obligations reflected in the applicable program. Reflects the restructuring of certain GRF debt service payments into later biennia resulting in net savings of $52.8 million in Fiscal Year 2009, $416.8 million in Fiscal Year 2010, $336.9 in Fiscal Year 2011, and $449.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – 2012-13**).

(i) Includes in all fiscal years transfers from the School District Property Tax Replacement Fund, from liquor profits, interest earnings on tobacco bond proceeds, and in Fiscal Year 2009 $1.01 billion from the BSF.

(j) Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013 transfers out include $246.9 million and $235.1 million to the BSF, respectively.

Recent and Current Finances

Introductory Information

The summary statements above identify receipts from specific taxes and excises that are sources of significant amounts of revenue to the State, and particularly to the GRF. As noted, there are constitutional limitations on the use of some taxes and excises, and mandated allocations of portions of some others. As the statements portray, a substantial amount of total State-level revenue is distributed to local governments and school districts under ongoing programs, including local property tax relief.

Economic activity in Ohio, as in other industrially-developed states, tends to be somewhat more cyclical than in some other states and in the nation as a whole. The GRF ending (June 30) fund balance tends to be reduced during less favorable national economic periods and then increases during more favorable economic periods. The GRF ending cash and fund balances for Fiscal Year 2013 were approximately $2.64 billion and $2.28 billion, respectively, with $995.9 million of that ending fund balance transferred to the BSF. Recent biennium-ending GRF balances were:

Biennium	Cash Balance	Fund Balance(a)	Fund Balance less Designated Transfers(b)
2004-05	$1,209,200,000	$682,632,000	$127,800,000
2006-07	1,432,925,000	215,534,000	215,534,000
2008-09	734,526,000	389,103,000	389,103,000
2010-11	844,467,000	430,707,000	138,816,000
2012-13	2,639,249,000	2,278,202,000	1,110,942,000

(a) Reflects the ending cash balance less amounts encumbered to cover financial commitments made prior to the end of the fiscal year.

(b) Reflects the ending fund balance less any amounts designated for transfer to other funds, including the BSF.

Actions have been and may be taken by the State during less favorable economic periods to ensure resource/expenditure balance (particularly in the GRF), some of which are described below. None of those actions were or are being applied to appropriations or expenditures needed for debt service or lease payments relating to any State obligations.

The appropriations acts for the 2014-15 biennium included all necessary appropriations for debt service on State obligations and for lease payments relating to lease rental obligations issued by the Treasurer of State.

The following is a selective general discussion of State finances, particularly GRF receipts and expenditures, for recent and the current biennia. As evidenced by actions discussed, the State administrations and both houses of the General Assembly have been and are committed to and have taken and are taking actions that ensure a balance of GRF resources and expenditures.

Recent Biennia

2002-03

Ongoing and rigorous consideration was given by the Governor and the General Assembly to revenues and expenditures throughout Fiscal Years 2002-03, primarily as a result of continuing weak economic conditions, with budgetary pressures during this period primarily due to lower than anticipated levels of receipts from certain major revenue sources.

Consideration came in four general time frames – the June 2001 biennial appropriation Act, late fall/early winter 2001, late spring and summer 2002, and late winter/spring 2003. Significant remedial steps included authorization to draw down and use the entire BSF balance, increased cigarette taxes, and use of tobacco settlement moneys previously earmarked for other purposes.

The biennial GRF appropriations Act passed in June 2001 provided for biennial GRF expenditures of approximately $45.1 billion without increases in any major State taxes. That Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease rental payments related to State obligations. That original appropriations act also provided for transfers to the GRF of $160 million from the BSF and $100

million from the Family Services Stabilization Fund aimed at achieving Fiscal Year and biennium ending positive GRF fund balances, based on then current estimates and projections.

The Ohio economy continued to be negatively affected by the national economic downturn and by national and international events, and in October 2001 OBM lowered its GRF revenue estimates and projected GRF revenue shortfalls of $709 million for Fiscal Year 2002 and $763 million for Fiscal Year 2003. Executive and legislative actions taken to address those shortfalls included:

- Spending reductions and limits on hiring and major purchases. The Governor ordered spending reductions were at the annual rate of 6% for most State agencies, with lesser reductions for correctional and other institutional agencies, and with exemptions for debt service related payments, primary and secondary education and the adjutant general.
- December 2001 legislation, the more significant aspects of which included authorizing the additional transfer of up to $248 million from the BSF to the GRF during the current biennium thereby reducing the estimated BSF balance to $607 million; reallocating to the GRF a $260 million portion of tobacco settlement receipts in Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003; and authorizing Ohio's participation in a multi-state lottery game estimated to generate approximately $40 million annually beginning in Fiscal Year 2003.

Continuing weak economic conditions and lower than anticipated personal income and corporate franchise tax receipts then led OBM in the spring of 2002 to project higher estimated GRF revenue shortfalls of approximately $763 million in Fiscal Year 2002 and $1.15 billion in Fiscal Year 2003. Further executive and legislative actions were taken to ensure positive GRF fund balances for Fiscal Year 2002 and the biennium. In addition to further appropriation reductions for certain departments and other management steps, those actions included legislation providing for: additional transfers to the GRF of the then remaining BSF balance ($607 million) as needed in Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003, and of $50.8 million of unclaimed funds; a $50 million reduction in the Fiscal Year 2002 ending GRF balance to $100 million; increasing the cigarette tax by 31¢ per pack (to a total of 55¢ per pack) estimated by OBM to produce approximately $283 million in Fiscal Year 2003; additional transfers to the GRF of $345 million from tobacco settlement moneys received in Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003 previously earmarked for construction of elementary and secondary school facilities and replacing the moneys for that purpose with authorized general obligation bonds; and extension of the State income tax to Ohio-based trusts and "decoupling" certain Ohio business taxes from federal tax law economic stimulus changes affecting business equipment depreciation schedules to produce approximately $283 million in Fiscal Year 2003.

Fiscal Year 2002 ended with positive GRF balances of $108.3 million (fund) and $619.2 million (cash) based on the remedial steps described above, including transfers of $289.6 million from tobacco settlement moneys and $534.3 million from the BSF (leaving a Fiscal Year 2002 ending BSF balance of $427.9 million, with that entire balance appropriated for GRF use if needed in Fiscal Year 2003).

On July 1, 2002, the Governor issued an executive order directing a total of approximately $375 million in GRF spending cutbacks for Fiscal Year 2003 reflecting prior budget balancing discussions with the General Assembly. Excluded from those department and agency cutbacks ranging up to 15% were elementary and secondary education, higher education, alcohol and drug addiction services, and the adjutant general. Also expressly excluded were debt service and lease rental payments relating to State obligations, and ad valorem property tax relief payments (made to local taxing entities).

Based on continuing reduced revenue collections (particularly, personal income taxes and sales tax receipts) and projected additional Medicaid spending, OBM in late January 2003 announced an additional Fiscal Year 2003 GRF shortfall of $720 million. The Governor ordered immediate additional reductions in spending intended to generate an estimated $121.6 million of GRF savings through the end of the Fiscal Year (expressly exempted were appropriations for or relating to debt service on State obligations).

The Governor also proposed and the General Assembly enacted by March 1, 2003, the following additional revenue enhancements, transfers and expenditure reductions for Fiscal Year 2003 to achieve a positive GRF fund balance at June 30, 2003 as then estimated by OBM: An additional 2.5% reduction in local government fund distributions to most subdivisions and local libraries, producing an estimated $30 million savings; transfers of $56.4 million to the GRF from unclaimed funds and various rotary funds; and a one-month acceleration in sales tax collections by vendors filing electronically to produce $286 million.

To offset the General Assembly's enactment of legislation that did not include proposed additional taxes on cigarettes and liquor, beer and wine, the Governor on March 25, 2003 ordered additional reductions in GRF appropriations spending aggregating $142.5 million for the balance of Fiscal Year 2003. Included were reductions (generally at an annualized rate of 2.5%) of $90.6 million in State foundation and parity aid to school districts and an additional $9.3 million in Department of Education administration spending, $39.2 million in instructional support to higher education institutions, and other selected reductions totaling $3.4 million. The Governor also identified approximately $20 million in excess food stamp administration funds available to offset the need for further expenditure reductions. Expressly excepted from those reductions were appropriations for or relating to debt service on State obligations.

Based on the Administration's continuing monitoring of revenues, and as an anticipated step in the then-ongoing 2004-05 biennial budget and appropriations process, OBM reported revised revenue estimates to the General Assembly on June 11, 2003. Those estimates revised Fiscal Year 2003 revenues downward by an additional $200 million from OBM's January 2003 adjusted baseline, based primarily on updated income and sales tax receipts through May 31. The Governor and OBM addressed this additional Fiscal Year 2003 revenue shortfall through additional expenditure controls and by drawing upon $193 million of federal block grant aid made available to the State prior to June 30 under a federal law effective on May 28, 2003.

The State ended the 2002-03 biennium with a GRF cash and fund balances of $396.5 million and $52.3 million, respectively, and a balance in the BSF of $180.7 million.

Additional appropriations actions during the 2002-03 biennium, affecting most subdivisions and local libraries in the State, related to the various local government assistance funds. The original appropriations act capped the amount to be distributed in Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003 to essentially the equivalent monthly payment amounts in Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001. Subsequent legislation amended the level to the lesser of those prior Fiscal Year amounts or the amount that would have been distributed under the standard formula.

2004-05

The GRF appropriations Act for the 2004-05 biennium was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the Governor in June 2003. The Act provided for total GRF biennial revenue of approximately $48.95 billion and total GRF biennial expenditures of approximately $48.79 billion. That Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease-rental payments related to State obligations.

Among other expenditure controls, the Act included Medicaid cost containment measures including pharmacy cost management initiatives, limited expenditure growth for institutional services and implementation of managed care for higher-cost populations; continued phase-out of certain tangible personal property tax relief payments to local governments; the closing by consolidation of three institutional facilities during the biennium; adjustments in eligibility guidelines for subsidized child care from 185% to 150% of the federal poverty level and freezing certain reimbursement rates; no compensation increases for most State employees in Fiscal Year 2004 and limited one-time increases in Fiscal Year 2005; and continued the limitation on local government assistance fund distributions to most subdivisions and local libraries to the lesser of the equivalent monthly payments in Fiscal Year 2003 or the amount that would have been distributed under the standard formula.

The GRF expenditure authorizations for the 2004-05 biennium reflected and were supported by revenue enhancement actions contained in the Act including:

- A one-cent increase in the State sales tax (to six percent) for the biennium (expiring June 30, 2005), projected to generate approximately $1.25 billion in each Fiscal Year.
- Expansion of the sales tax base to include dry-cleaning/laundry services, towing, personal care and other services, and satellite television, producing in aggregate approximately $102 million annually. On February 12, 2009, an Ohio appeals court overruled a 2007 trial court decision and upheld the inclusion of satellite television in the sales tax base, which produces approximately $54 million annually. The Ohio Supreme Court on December 27, 2010, affirmed the court of appeals decision in favor of the State, and on June 25, 2012 the United States Supreme Court declined to hear this case.
- Moving local telephone companies from the public utility tax base to the corporate franchise and sales tax, projected at the time to produce approximately $29 million annually.

- Elimination of the sales tax exemption for wide area telephone service (WATS) and 800 telecom services coupled with the enactment of a more limited exemption for call centers, projected at the time to produce approximately $64 million annually.
- Adjustments in the corporate franchise tax through the adoption of the Uniform Division of Income for Tax Purposes Act (UDITPA) for apportionment of business income among states, and an increase in the corporate alternative minimum tax, projected at the time to produce in aggregate approximately $35 million annually.

The Act also authorized and OBM on June 30, 2004 transferred $234.7 million of proceeds received from the national tobacco settlement into the GRF. In addition, the Act authorized the draw down during the biennium of federal block grant and Medicaid assistance aid made available to the State under a federal law effective May 28, 2003. OBM drew down $211.6 million and $316.8 million of those federal monies in Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Based on regular monitoring of revenues and expenditures, OBM in March 2004 announced revised GRF revenue projections for Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005 based primarily on reduced revenue collections from personal income taxes. In response to OBM reducing its GRF revenue projection by $247.1 million (1.02%) for Fiscal Year 2004 and by $372.7 million (1.48%) for Fiscal Year 2005, the Governor ordered Fiscal Year 2004 expenditure reductions of approximately $100 million. On July 1, 2004 the Governor ordered additional Fiscal Year 2005 expenditure cuts of approximately $118 million and a reduction of $50 million in State spending on Medicaid reflecting an increased Federal share of certain Medicaid services. Expressly excluded from those reductions were debt service and lease rental payments relating to State obligations, State basic aid to elementary and secondary education, instructional subsidies and scholarships for public higher education, in-home care for seniors and certain job creation programs. The balance of those revenue reductions were offset by GRF expenditure lapses and, for Fiscal Year 2005, elimination of an anticipated $100 million year-end transfer to the BSF while maintaining a one-half percent year-end GRF fund balance.

The State ended Fiscal Year 2004 with a GRF fund balance of $157.5 million. Improving economic conditions had a positive effect on revenue in Fiscal Year 2005. With GRF revenue receipts modestly outperforming estimates for much of the Fiscal Year, OBM in June 2005 increased its GRF revenue estimates by $470.7 million. Final Fiscal Year 2005 GRF revenue came in $67.4 million above that revised estimate. With Fiscal Year 2005 spending close to original estimates, the State made the following Fiscal Year-end allocations and transfers: $60 million to address a prior-year liability in the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program; $40 million to a disaster services contingency fund; $50 million to the State's share of the school facilities construction program; and $394.2 million to the BSF. After these and certain smaller transfers, the State ended Fiscal Year 2005 and the biennium with a GRF fund balance of $127.8 million and a BSF balance of $574.2 million.

2006-07

Consistent with State law, the Governor's Executive Budget for the 2006-07 biennium was released in February 2005 and introduced in the General Assembly. After extended hearings and review, the GRF appropriations Act for the 2006-07 biennium was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the then Governor on June 30, 2005. That Act provided for total GRF biennial revenue of approximately $51.5 billion (a 3.8% increase over 2004-05 biennial revenue) and total GRF biennial appropriations of approximately $51.3 billion (a 5.0% increase over 2004-05 biennial expenditures). Spending increases for major program categories over the 2004-05 actual expenditures were: 5.8% for Medicaid (the Act also included a number of Medicaid reform and cost containment initiatives); 3.4% for higher education; 4.2% for elementary and secondary education; 5.5% for corrections and youth services; and 4.8% for mental health and mental retardation. The Executive Budget, the GRF appropriations Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease rental payments related to State obligations.

The GRF expenditure authorizations for the 2006-07 biennium reflected and were supported by a significant restructuring of major State taxes, including:

- A 21% reduction in State personal income tax rates phased in at 4.2% per year over the 2005 through 2009 tax years. See **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia – 2010-11** and **2012-13** for discussion of postponement of the final installment of this personal income tax reduction until the end of tax year 2010.

- Phased elimination of the State corporate franchise tax at a rate of approximately 20% per year over the 2006 through 2010 tax years (except for its continuing application to financial institutions and certain affiliates of insurance companies and financial institutions).
- Implementation of a new commercial activity tax (CAT) on gross receipts from doing business in Ohio phased in over the 2006 through 2010 fiscal years. The CAT is being levied at its fully phased-in rate of 0.26% on gross receipts in excess of $1,000,000. (See **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES** for a discussion of the use of a portion of the CAT to make compensating payments to school districts and other taxing units in connection with the phase-out of the local tangible personal property tax.) The fully implemented CAT produces about $1.45 billion annually with $139 million of that amount attributable to its application to motor fuels. In September 2009, the Ohio Supreme Court ruled that food sales for off-premise consumption may be included in the CAT base. On December 7, 2012, the Ohio Supreme Court upheld the application of the CAT to gross receipts from the sales of motor fuels but ordered that the proceeds of the CAT derived from those gross receipts – currently estimated by OBM at approximately $140 million annually -- could not in the future be applied to nonhighway purposes. Under provisions enacted in the biennial appropriations Act for the current biennium (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium),** the State is phasing out the CAT on the sale of motor vehicle fuel and replacing it with a "motor fuel receipts tax" (MFRT), computed on the basis of gross motor fuel receipts received by in-State suppliers. In accordance with the Ohio Supreme Court's ruling, MFRT receipts are required to be used for highway purposes.
- A 5.5% State sales and use tax (decreased from the 6.0% rate for the 2004-05 biennium).
- An increase in the cigarette tax from $0.55 per pack (of 20 cigarettes) to $1.25 per pack.

The Governor signed into law on June 5, 2006 legislation enacted by the General Assembly imposing a limitation on most GRF appropriations commencing with the 2008-09 biennium. This statutory limitation initially uses Fiscal Year 2007 GRF appropriations as a baseline (excluding appropriations for debt service, tax relief and refunds, and certain appropriations reflecting moneys received from the federal government) and then applies an annual growth factor equal to the greater of 3.5% or the sum of the inflation rates and rate of State population change. Every fourth fiscal year thereafter becomes a new base year. This legislation was enacted as an alternative to a proposed "tax and expenditure limitation" (TEL) amendment to the Ohio Constitution that was withdrawn from the November 2006 general election ballot. All GRF appropriations since have complied with this limitation.

The State ended Fiscal Year 2006 with a GRF cash balance of $1.529 billion and a GRF fund balance of $1.026 billion. Of that ending GRF fund balance, the State carried forward $631.9 million to cover the expected and planned for variance of Fiscal Year 2007 GRF appropriations over estimated revenue, to offset the one-time cost of accelerating the phase-in of reductions in State personal income tax withholding rates, and to maintain the required 0.5% of Fiscal Year 2007 GRF revenue as an ending fund balance. The remaining approximately $394 million was deposited into the BSF increasing its balance to $1.012 billion (which includes $40 million in receipts collected from a broad tax amnesty initiative and deposited in June 2006). The State ended Fiscal 2007 with a GRF cash balance of $1.433 billion and a GRF fund balance of $215.5 million.

2008-09

Ongoing and rigorous consideration was given by the Governor and the General Assembly to revenues and expenditures throughout Fiscal Years 2008-09, primarily as a result of the Ohio economy being negatively affected by the national economic downturn. Budgetary pressures during this period were primarily due to continuing lower than previously estimated levels of receipts from certain major revenue sources.

Consideration came in three general time frames – winter 2007, fall/winter 2008, and spring 2009. Significant measures were taken including use of the entire Budget Stabilization Fund (BSF) balance and expenditure reductions and spending controls on State agencies and departments.

Consistent with State law, the Governor's Executive Budget for the 2008-09 biennium was released in March 2007 and introduced in the General Assembly. After extended hearings and review, the GRF appropriations Act for the biennium was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the Governor on June 30, 2007. Reflecting the continued implementation of the restructuring of State taxes commenced in 2006-07, that Act was based upon then estimated total GRF biennial revenues of approximately $53.5 billion (a 3.9% increase over the 2006-07 biennial revenue) and total GRF biennial appropriations of

approximately $52.4 billion (a 2.1% increase over the 2006-07 biennial expenditures). Spending increases for major program categories over the 2006-07 actual expenditures were: 2.2% for Medicaid (the Act also included a number of Medicaid reform and cost containment initiatives); 13.2% for higher education; 5.2% for elementary and secondary education; 4.9% for corrections and youth services; and 4.7% for mental health and mental retardation. The Executive Budget, the GRF appropriations Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease rental payments related to State obligations.

The original GRF expenditure authorizations for the 2008-09 biennium reflected and were supported by tax law changes contained in the Act, including:

- Restructuring nonresident tax exemption for Ohio motor vehicle purchases projected to produce approximately $54.0 million for the biennium.
- Restoring local government fund support by committing a specified percentage of all tax revenues deposited into the GRF, with local governments to receive 3.7% of total GRF tax revenues annually and local libraries to receive 2.22% of total GRF tax revenues annually (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13** below for discussion of changes to these allocations).
- Eliminating the $300 per month cigarette and tobacco product importation exemption projected to produce approximately $25.0 million annually.

The GRF appropriations Act also created the Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority to securitize tobacco settlement receipts payable to the State under the November 1998 national tobacco settlement. On October 29, 2007, the Authority issued $5.53 billion of tobacco settlement asset-backed bonds to fund capital expenditures for higher education ($938 million) and common school ($4.112 billion) purposes over three years in lieu of the State issuing GRF-backed general obligation bonds to fund those capital expenditures. The resulting debt service savings to the GRF partially funded the expansion of the homestead exemption property tax relief program in the Act. The Act reprogrammed all prior General Assembly allocations of anticipated tobacco settlement receipts to enable the pledge of 100% of those receipts to the payment of debt service on the Authority's obligations. The State had previously enacted legislation allocating its anticipated share of those receipts through Fiscal Year 2012 and making a partial allocation thereafter through Fiscal Year 2025, with the largest allocations to elementary and secondary school capital expenditures, and with other amounts allocated for smoking cessation and health-related purposes, biomedical research and technology transfer, and assistance to the tobacco growing areas in the State.

Winter 2007. With the Ohio economy expected to be negatively affected by the national economic downturn, in January 2008 OBM reduced its original GRF revenue projections by $172.6 million for Fiscal Year 2008 and $385.1 million for Fiscal Year 2009. Based on those lower GRF revenue estimates and increased costs associated with rising Medicaid caseloads, OBM projected a budgetary shortfall for the 2008-09 biennium of $733 million.

Executive and legislative actions taken in response to those OBM estimates, included:

- The Governor, on January 31, 2008, issued an executive order directing expenditure reductions and spending controls totaling approximately $509 million (of which about $402 million was realized) for the 2008-09 biennium, as well as limitations on major purchases, hiring and travel, based primarily on the transfers of unspent agency appropriations and the June 2008 action described below. Allocation of those reductions has been determined by the OBM Director in consultation with the affected agencies and departments, with annual expenditure reductions ranging up to 10%. An employee reduction plan was also announced aimed at reducing the State's workforce by up to 2,700 through selective elimination of positions, attrition, unfilled vacancies and an early retirement incentive program. Expressly excluded from the cutbacks were appropriations for or relating to debt service on State obligations, State higher education instructional support, foundation formula support for primary and secondary education, Medicaid entitlement programs, and ad valorem property tax relief payments.
- Transfer of unspent agency appropriations then expected to total $120 million in Fiscal Year 2008 and $78 million in Fiscal Year 2009.
- Authorizing expansion of the State-run lottery system to include "keno" games then projected to generate $65 million in Fiscal Year 2009 of which approximately $25 million was realized.

In June 2008, the General Assembly also passed legislation that provided for, among other things, transfers to the GRF (after a selective line-item veto) of up to $63.3 million from the BSF for the State's share of increased Medicaid costs, $55 million from rotary funds and $25 million in uncommitted interest earnings from proceeds of the State's Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds.

Fall/Winter 2008. With the Ohio economy continuing to be negatively affected by the national economic downturn, OBM on September 10, 2008 announced a $540 million further reduction in its GRF revenue projections for Fiscal Year 2009 and a projected Fiscal Year budgetary shortfall of the same amount. Executive actions announced to offset the projected shortfall included:

- Use of additional planned Fiscal Year-end lapses and GRF carry forward totaling $126.4 million.
- Use of balances in various non-GRF "rotary funds" totaling $112 million.
- Transfer to the GRF an additional $40 million of interest earnings on the proceeds of the tobacco securitization referred to above.
- As authorized by June 2008 legislation referred to above, a transfer to the GRF of $63.3 million to pay for previously authorized Medicaid cost expenditures.

The $198.3 million remainder of the projected shortfall was offset by a 4.75% reduction in most agency appropriations, which did not apply to appropriations for debt service or tax relief, Medicaid and disability financial assistance, Department of Education aid to local school districts, the Departments of Rehabilitation and Corrections and Youth Services and selected others.

On December 1, 2008, OBM announced a further $640.4 million reduction in GRF revenue projections for Fiscal Year 2009 expected to result in a projected Fiscal Year shortfall of the same amount. Executive actions announced to offset much of that projected shortfall included:

- Reducing total GRF Medicaid spending by $311.1 million by using cash from non-GRF Medicaid accounts and the corresponding federal share previously planned for use in Fiscal Year 2010.
- Reducing total Medicaid program spending by $21.3 million by enhanced focus on use of other third-party liability sources and other program savings exceeding original estimates.
- Reducing other GRF expenditures by $180.5 million through a further 5.75% reduction in most agency appropriations, which did not apply to appropriations for debt service or tax relief, Medicaid and disability financial assistance, Department of Education aid to local school districts, the Departments of Rehabilitation and Corrections and Youth Services among others. These reductions were in addition to the approximately $1.27 billion of 2008-09 biennium budget adjustments previously undertaken.

The $131.9 million remainder of the shortfall was offset by additional Federal Medical Assistance Payments (FMAP) received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), which increased federal Medicaid match to the GRF by that amount (after taking into account loss of federal match from the two Medicaid related actions outlined above). Based on these expenditure reductions, spending controls and other measures – and before the revised revenue estimates referred to below – OBM was projecting a positive GRF fund balance at June 30, 2009.

Spring 2009. Based on the Administration's continuing monitoring of revenues, and as an anticipated step in the then ongoing 2010-11 biennial budget and appropriations process, OBM reported revised revenue estimates to the General Assembly on June 11, 2009. Those estimates revised Fiscal Year 2009 revenues downward by an additional $912 million over OBM's December 2008 adjusted baseline, based primarily on updated income and sales tax receipts through May 31. To address this additional Fiscal Year 2009 revenue shortfall, the Governor received General Assembly approval for and used the entire remaining BSF balance of $949 million for Fiscal Year 2009. Additional measures taken to address this shortfall included the restructuring of $52.8 million of Fiscal Year 2009 general revenue fund debt service into Fiscal Years 2012 through 2021 and expenditure reductions of $98 million in addition to the expenditure controls ordered by the Governor on April 22.

The State ended Fiscal Year 2009 with GRF cash and fund balances of $734.5 million and $389.1 million respectively, and a $-0- balance in the BSF. Of the ending GRF fund balance, $133.4 million represents the one-half of one percent of Fiscal Year 2009 GRF revenues the State is required to maintain as an ending fund balance.

2010-11

Rigorous consideration was given by the General Assembly to the Governor's Executive Budget proposed for the 2010-11 biennium in light of the difficult economic and fiscal conditions resulting from the national recession. The final GRF appropriations Act for the 2010-11 biennium, which was preceded by three seven-day interim appropriations acts, was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the Governor on July 17, 2009. All necessary debt service and lease-rental payments related to State obligations for the entire 2010-11 biennium were fully appropriated for the three-week interim appropriations period and under that final Act. Reflecting the final implementation of the restructuring of State taxes commenced in 2006-07 and a conservative underlying economic forecast, that Act provided for total GRF biennial appropriations of approximately $50.5 billion (a 3.8% decrease from 2008-09 biennial expenditures) and total GRF biennial revenue of approximately $51.1 billion (a 4.2% decrease from 2008-09 biennial revenues). GRF appropriations for major program categories compared to 2008-09 actual GRF spending reflected increases of 3.4% for Medicaid (excluding ARRA funding referred to below) and 0.7% for corrections and youth services; and decreases of 13.8% for mental health and developmental disabilities, 8.3% for higher education, and 5.15% for elementary and secondary education. Among other expenditure controls, the Act included a number of Medicaid reform and cost containment initiatives and also included the restructuring of $736 million of Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011 general revenue fund debt service into Fiscal Years 2012 through 2025.

Major new sources of revenues or savings reflected in the 2010-11 appropriations Act included:

- $2.4 billion of "Federal Stimulus" funding received under the ARRA, including $1.464 billion for elementary and secondary education, $628 million for Federal Medical Assistance Payments (FMAP), and $326 million for other purposes.
- $933 million in gaming and license revenues from the Ohio Lottery Commission's implementation of video lottery terminals (VLTs) at the seven horse racing tracks in the State. OBM estimated the VLTs would result in an approximate $851 million net increase in revenues for the biennium ($285 million in Fiscal Year 2010 and $566 million in Fiscal Year 2011) after taking into account offsetting effects of the VLTs on other lottery revenues. On September 21, 2009, the Ohio Supreme Court ruled that the statutory provisions in the biennial appropriations Act for the implementation of VLTs were subject to voter referendum and granted petitioners in that case until December 20, 2009 to submit referendum petitions with the required number of signatures. The Ohio Secretary of State on March 26, 2010 confirmed those petitions contained a sufficient number of valid signatures to place the referendum on the November 2, 2010 ballot, but on July 1, 2010 the committee for the petitioners withdrew the referendum from the ballot.
- $259 million from the Ohio Tobacco Use Prevention and Control Foundation Endowment Fund (TUPAC) to be deposited into a special State fund (non-GRF) and then intended to be used for various health care initiatives. On August 11, 2009, a trial court ordered these monies must remain in that endowment fund and be used for the purpose of reducing tobacco use. The State immediately appealed this trial court ruling and on December 31, 2009, the court of appeals ruled in favor of the State and reversed the trial court's order. The Ohio Supreme Court on December 22, 2010, affirmed the court of appeals decision in favor of the State.
- $1.036 billion of "one-time" revenues or savings ($640 million in Fiscal Year 2010 and $396 million in Fiscal Year 2011), including $364 million from the spend-down of carry-forward balances (that required temporary suspension of the one-half of one percent ending fund balance requirement for the 2010-11 biennium), $250 million transferred from a cash account at the Ohio School Facilities Commission, $272 million savings from subjecting State employees to a two-week unpaid "furlough" during each year of the biennium, $84 million from a reduction in State funding to public libraries, and $65 million from the transfer to the GRF of interest on the proceeds of the State's 2007 tobacco securitization.
- $530 million from transfers to the GRF of unclaimed funds and from other non-GRF funds.

In September 2010 the State also received from the federal government an award of $518.6 million of enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Payments funding ("eFMAP"), and $361.2 million of funding was also received by Ohio school districts for teacher salaries and personnel costs for primary and secondary education ("Ed Jobs").

In response to the above-referenced September 21, 2009 decision of the Ohio Supreme Court declaring the VLT provisions in the biennial appropriations Act subject to referendum, the Governor proposed for General Assembly consideration postponing for two years the final installment of the personal income tax reduction then scheduled to take effect in tax year 2009 (for returns filed in 2010). After extended hearings and review, the General Assembly approved, and the Governor signed into law on December 22, 2009, legislation keeping personal income tax rates at 2008 levels through tax year 2010 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13** for discussion of implementation of the final phase of that personal income tax reduction).

The appropriations act for the 2010-2011 biennium created a six-member legislative Budget Planning and Management Commission (BPMC) to "study and make recommendations that are designed to provide relief to the State during the current difficult fiscal and economic period". The BPMC commenced meeting in June 2010, heard testimony, received suggestions and released two reports – one from its three Republican members dated November 30, 2010 and one from its three Democratic members dated December 8, 2010. Both reports contained estimates of "non-recurring" revenues reflected in the 2010-11 budget as enacted ranging from $4.887 billion in the GRF to $8.339 billion for all GRF and non-GRF funds. These estimates included the effect of the postponement of the final installment of the personal income tax reduction**.**

The State ended Fiscal Year 2011 with GRF cash and fund balances of $844.5 million and $430.7 million, respectively. Of that ending GRF fund balance, the State reserved $138.8 million in the GRF reflecting the one-half of one percent of Fiscal Year 2011 GRF revenues the State is required to maintain as an ending fund balance and transferred $45.0 million into disaster services/emergency funds. The remaining $246.9 million was deposited into the BSF. These ending balances reflect the use of approximately $680 million in Fiscal Year 2011 GRF revenue to make payments for Medicaid managed care, the State's share of instruction for higher education, payroll and other commitments that were previously scheduled to be deferred into Fiscal Year 2012.

2012-13

Consistent with State law, the Governor's Executive Budget for the 2012-13 biennium was released in March 2011 and introduced in the General Assembly. After extended hearings and review, the 2012-13 biennial appropriations Act was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the Governor on June 30, 2011. To address the use of non-recurring funding sources in the prior 2010-11 biennium including amounts received under ARRA, the Act included targeted spending cuts across most State agencies and major new Medicaid reform and cost containment measures. Reflecting the tax law changes described below and a conservative underlying economic forecast, that Act provided for total GRF biennial appropriations of approximately $55.78 billion (an 11% increase from the 2010-11 GRF biennial expenditures) and total GRF biennial revenue of approximately $56.07 billion (a 6% increase from 2010-11 GRF biennial revenues). GRF appropriations for major program categories compared to 2010-11 actual GRF spending reflected increases of 30% for Medicaid (increase due in part to absence of ARRA funding in the 2012-13 biennium) and 3% for elementary and secondary education; decreases of 9% for higher education and 8% for mental health and developmental disabilities; and flat funding for corrections and youth services. That Act also reflected the restructuring of $440 million of Fiscal Year 2012 general revenue fund debt service into Fiscal Years 2013 through 2025, approximately three-quarters of which was accomplished by the July 2011 issuance by the Ohio Public Facilities Commission of $488.8 million in refunding bonds, with the remainder accomplished by the September 2011 issuance by the Ohio Building Authority of $149.3 million in refunding bonds.

The Executive Budget, the GRF appropriations Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease rental payments related to State obligations (after the restructuring of Fiscal Year 2012 GRF debt service payments).

Major new sources of revenues or expenditure savings reflected in the 2012-13 appropriations Act included:

- Transfer of the State's spirituous liquor system to JobsOhio. On February 1, 2013, the State granted a 25-year franchise on its spirituous liquor system to JobsOhio Beverage System, a nonprofit corporation the sole member of which is JobsOhio, which is itself a nonprofit corporation created to promote economic development, job creation and retention, job training and the recruitment of business to the State. In exchange for the franchise, the State received a payment of $1.464 billion, $500 million of which was deposited in the GRF, $863.5 million was used to make provision for payment of all debt service on the outstanding State bonds referred to under **STATE DEBT – General –** *Prior Economic*

Development and Revitalization Obligations, and $100 million will be used to fund certain revitalization projects. With that transfer, the State is forgoing deposits to the GRF from net liquor profits (those deposits totaled $153.0 million in Fiscal Year 2011, $92.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $88.0 million in Fiscal Year 2013 through the February 1 granting of the franchise to JobsOhio Beverage System). Litigation filed on April 18, 2011, in the Ohio Supreme Court challenged, under various provisions of the Ohio Constitution, certain aspects of both JobsOhio and the General Assembly's February 2011 law that authorized its creation. Specifically, plaintiffs contested provisions in that law requiring that any challenges to it or to the creation of JobsOhio be filed in the Ohio Supreme Court within sixty days after that law took effect. Plaintiffs also claimed that law is an improper special act conferring corporate powers, that the Governor could not serve on the JobsOhio board of directors, that the provisions for dissolution of JobsOhio violate limitations in the Ohio Constitution on State appropriations and assumption of corporate debt, and that the law created a joint venture under which the State is lending its aid and credit. On August 19, 2011, the Court dismissed the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The 2012-13 Appropriations Act also amended the February 2011 law to remove the Governor from the JobsOhio board of directors, require JobsOhio to comply with Ohio's nonprofit corporation law unless specifically exempted from a provision, and eliminate the exclusive original jurisdiction in the Ohio Supreme Court and relax the deadlines for filing claims. On August 30, 2011, the plaintiffs filed a complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Franklin County, Ohio, raising those same claims. In December 2011, the trial court dismissed the suit for lack of standing, and in June 2012, the Ohio Tenth District Court of Appeals affirmed the lower court's decision. In July 2012, the plaintiffs requested that the Ohio Supreme Court review the Court of Appeals decision, and on January 23, 2013, the Ohio Supreme Court announced that it would hear the plaintiffs' appeal solely on the question of standing. Additionally, in August 2012, JobsOhio filed a separate action directly in the Ohio Supreme Court, asking the Court to issue a writ of mandamus approving the transfer of the liquor enterprise, but the Supreme Court dismissed the action for lack of jurisdiction. Thus, the only JobsOhio litigation matter currently pending is the plaintiffs' appeal to the Ohio Supreme Court of the Tenth District Court of Appeals June 14, 2012, decision on standing. All briefs have been filed by the parties in that appeal and oral arguments were presented to the Supreme Court on November 6, 2013. The case now awaits that Court's decision.

- Sale of five State-owned prison facilities to private operators expected to result in a net payment to the GRF of $75 million. A case filed on August 25, 2011 in the Court of Common Pleas of Franklin County, Ohio, challenged the authorization in the 2012-13 appropriations Act to sell these prison facilities. Specifically, this litigation alleged that the provisions in that Act authorizing the sale of these prisons, as well as that entire Act, were enacted in violation of the "one subject rule" of the Ohio Constitution, that the sale of the prisons would create a joinder of private and public property interests violating the constitutional prohibition against the State entering into a joint venture, and that they violate the constitutional right to referendum on certain laws. On August 31, 2011 the court rendered a non-appealable decision denying a temporary restraining order requested by the plaintiffs. In that August 31, 2011 decision, the court found that the provisions of the appropriations Act authorizing the sale of the prisons were not in violation of the one subject rule, did not violate the prohibition against the State entering into a joint venture, and do not fit within the exceptions to the right to referendum. The State announced on September 1, 2011 that, based on the proposals it received for five prisons, it opted to sell only one of those facilities and that this would accomplish most of the desired financial result for the 2012-13 biennium. On December 21, 2011, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their case without prejudice, and on July 9, 2012, the original and additional plaintiffs filed a new case in the Court of Common Pleas of Franklin County again raising the one subject rule and joinder of private and public property claims contained in the original case, but adding a claim for reinstatement and back pay of Department of Corrections employees affected by the prison sales. On November 20, 2012, the trial court granted defendants' motions to dismiss and ruled that plaintiffs failed to state a claim for which relief can be granted. On December 18, 2012, plaintiffs filed an appeal in the Tenth District Court of Appeals, and on October 10, 2013, the appellate court rendered a decision reversing only the trial court's dismissal of the one-subject-rule claim and ordering the case remanded to the Court of Common Pleas for further proceedings. On November 5, 2013, the plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of that Court of Appeals decision which was denied by that Court, on January 16, 2014. In March, both

the plaintiffs and defendants filed discretionary appeals of the Court of Appeals decision with the Ohio Supreme Court; the Supreme Court has not yet indicated whether it will accept those appeals.

- Reduction of local government fund allocations by $111 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $340 million in Fiscal Year 2013. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2014, allocations are made by committing a set percent of annual tax revenues deposited into the GRF (beginning with Fiscal Year 2013 GRF tax revenues).
- Reduction of public library fund allocations to 95% of Fiscal Year 2011 levels resulting in expenditure reductions of $52.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $102.8 million in Fiscal Year 2013. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2014, allocations to public libraries are made by committing a set percent of annual tax revenues deposited into the GRF (beginning with Fiscal Year 2013 GRF tax revenues).
- Accelerated phase-out of reimbursement payments to local governments and school districts in connection with the elimination of the tangible personal property tax resulting in an increased share (estimated at $293.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $597.7 million in Fiscal Year 2013) of the Commercial Activity Tax being deposited into the GRF (see **TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES – Property Tax**).
- Accelerated phase-out of reimbursement payments to local governments and school districts for electric power generation deregulation and natural gas deregulation resulting in a larger share (estimated at $141.6 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $147.4 million in Fiscal Year 2013) of the kilowatt-hour tax and the entire (approximately $66.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and $66.0 million in Fiscal Year 2013) natural gas consumption tax being reallocated to the GRF.
- $235 million from transfers to the GRF of unclaimed funds and from other non-GRF funds and $12 million from a tax amnesty program.

The 2012-13 appropriations Act also reflected the following tax law changes:

- Implementation of the previously postponed final 4.2% annual decrease in State personal income tax rates (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia – 2010-11**).
- Eliminated the estate tax beginning January 1, 2013, currently levied at a rate of 6% on estates over $338,333 and 7% on estates over $500,000. In Fiscal Year 2010, estate tax collections totaled $285.8 million of which $230.8 million was distributed to the local government jurisdictions from which it was collected and with $55.0 million retained by the State and deposited into the GRF.
- Established the InvestOhio income tax credit program under which investors in small businesses based in Ohio who hold their investments for at least two years may receive 10% income tax credits limited to a maximum of $10 million per investor per biennium with no more than $100 million of those credits to be issued over two years.

The 2012-13 biennial appropriations Act created a Medicaid reserve fund and authorized the OBM Director to transfer up to $130 million from the GRF, if necessary, to provide for the payment of Medicaid costs above the enacted level of appropriations. That Act also created a $104 million Unemployment Compensation Contingency Fund to pay interest on federal advances to the State Unemployment Compensation Fund, $70.7 million of which was used to make the interest payment due in September 2011, with the remaining amount applied to the September 2012 interest payment of $65.8 million. The September 2012 interest payment was also funded by a $25 million GRF supplemental appropriation and a contribution from the State's Unemployment Compensation administration fund. The Act also made changes to State construction bidding procedures and includes additional authorizations for joint purchasing by and cooperation among local governments, all designed to create opportunities for cost savings.

Separate legislation passed by the General Assembly and signed by the Governor on June 29, 2011, is expected to reduce the State prison population by, among other changes, directing some low-level offenders to community-based programs.

On March 14, 2012, the Governor announced a series of policy proposals resulting from a "mid-biennium review" (2012 MBR), with a stated focus on job creation as a priority. The Governor's 2012 MBR included proposals for General Assembly consideration in the areas of: *energy* (including shale oil and gas production opportunities in the Marcellus and Utica fields in the State, and modernizing the State's oil and gas severance tax; electric generation and transmission; coal; cogeneration, alternative fuels and renewables; energy efficiency;

and regulatory reform); *personal income tax reduction* (proposing that any new revenue from shale oil and gas production and the MBR proposal to modernize the State's oil and gas severance tax system will be deposited into an income tax reduction fund and be used to reduce personal income tax rates by a commensurate amount); *bank and financial institutions tax reform* (to modernize Ohio's taxes on banks and financial institutions by replacing the corporate franchise and dealers in intangibles tax with a new financial institutions tax that more accurately reflects modern banking practices, closes loopholes and reduces the overall tax burden on most banks but is revenue neutral to the State); *education* (including proposals for strengthening Ohio's "third grade reading guarantee", career education, a new school performance measuring system, expansion of digital and online learning, flexibility for teacher evaluations, new standards for dropout recovery schools, assessments of all publicly funded early childhood programs, and supporting adoption of a school reform plan for the City of Cleveland schools); *workforce development* (creating job opportunities for the developmentally disabled; an improved workforce development program; allowing those undergoing training with an employer to continue collecting unemployment benefits; linking energy companies with trained workers; and matching skilled veterans to the most in demand jobs); and achieving more *management efficiency* with associated State and local government budgetary savings (including replacing the separate Offices of the State Architect and Engineer and the Office of Energy Services with an Ohio Facilities Construction Commission (OFCC) to administer the design and construction of state public facilities, with the Ohio School Facilities Commission retained as an independent agency within the OFCC and sharing employees and facilities). Those 2012 MBR proposals were considered by the General Assembly commencing in March in twelve separate pieces of legislation, and the General Assembly in May and June passed seven pieces of legislation addressing the subjects of energy (not including the 2012 MBR proposed changes to the State's oil and gas severance tax), tax reform (not including the 2012 MBR personal income tax reduction proposal), education, workforce development, and management efficiency for both state and local governments.

As further implementation of the 2012 MBR, the General Assembly enacted and the Governor signed into law on December 20, 2012, a new financial institutions tax that will first apply to tax year 2014. This new tax will apply to many companies that are currently subject to Ohio's corporate franchise tax (primarily banks and other corporations classified as financial institutions) and also generally subjects "dealers in intangibles" (e.g., mortgage brokers, stockbrokers, finance and loan companies that are not classified as financial institutions) to the commercial activity tax. This new financial institutions tax will replace the current corporate franchise tax on financial institutions and the current dealers in intangibles tax. The proceeds of those current taxes are, and the proceeds from the new financial institutions tax will be, deposited in the GRF. Based on revenue targets and mechanisms established in this legislation, OBM projects the effect of these tax changes to be revenue neutral to the GRF.

The State ended Fiscal Year 2013 with GRF cash and fund balances of $2.64 billion and $2.28 billion, respectively. Of that ending GRF fund balance, the State deposited $995.9 million into the Budget Stabilization Fund (BSF) increasing its balance to $1.48 billion which is the statutory designated five percent of Fiscal Year 2013 GRF revenues; carried forward $963.2 million to offset the one-time cost of accelerating the phase-in of reductions in State personal income tax withholding rates (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances - Current Biennium**), and transferred $120 million into the Unemployment Compensation Contingency Fund to pay interest on federal advances to the State Unemployment Compensation Fund and $51.3 million into disaster services/emergency funds. The remaining $147.8 million was reserved in the GRF reflecting the one-half of one percent of Fiscal Year 2013 GRF revenues the State is required to maintain as an ending fund balance.

Current Biennium

Consistent with State law, the Governor's Executive Budget for the 2014-15 biennium was released in February 2013 and introduced in the General Assembly. After extended hearings and review, the 2014-15 biennial appropriations Act was passed by the General Assembly and signed (with selective vetoes) by the Governor on June 30, 2013. Reflecting a stated focus on job creation and continued spending restraint, and based on a conservative economic forecast, that Act provides for total GRF biennial appropriations of approximately $62.0 billion (a 15.1% increase from the 2012-13 GRF biennial expenditures) and total GRF biennial revenue (not including $963.2 million carry-forward from the 2012-13 biennium) of approximately $61.1 billion (a 7.7% increase from 2012-13 GRF biennial revenues). GRF appropriations for major program categories compared to 2012-13 actual GRF spending reflect increases of 22.1% for Medicaid, 8.9% for elementary and secondary education and 5.3% for higher education; 5.1% for mental health and developmental

disabilities (due in part to merger of the Departments of Mental Health and Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services); and 2.1% for corrections and youth services. The Act also implemented a new school funding formula (see **SCHOOLS AND MUNICIPALITIES – Schools**) and allocates a portion of State public higher education funding to institutions based on their graduation rates. The Act also moved the administration of cultural facilities projects from the Ohio Cultural Facilities Commission into the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission to achieve efficiencies and budgetary savings. Subsequent to the passage of the Act, the seven member State Controlling Board on October 21 voted 5 to 2 to increase federal Medicaid appropriations by approximately $562 million in fiscal year 2014 and approximately $2.0 billion in fiscal year 2015. These additional federal appropriations were authorized to support the federally-authorized expansion of the Medicaid program to cover those with incomes up to 138 percent of the federal poverty level using 100 percent federal funds in fiscal years 2014 and 2015. On October 22, six State Representatives and two local right to life organizations filed an action in the Ohio Supreme Court against the Controlling Board and the Ohio Department of Medicaid requesting that Court vacate the Controlling Board's October 21 action. The Controlling Board and State Department of Medicaid filed their initial answer to the complaint on November 5 and, after all evidence and briefs of the parties were submitted on the expedited schedule set for this case, the Court on December 20 issued its decision upholding the Controlling Board's action.

The Executive Budget, the GRF appropriations Act and the separate appropriations acts for the biennium included all necessary debt service and lease rental payments related to State obligations.

The biennial appropriations Act also reflects the following tax reductions and related adjustments of major State taxes (primarily the personal income and sales and use taxes), resulting in an estimated net reduction in GRF revenues of $1.16 billion in Fiscal Year 2014 and $771 million in Fiscal Year 2015, including:

- A 10% reduction in State personal income tax rates phased-in over three years (8.5% in calendar year 2013, 0.5% in calendar year 2014, and 1.0% in calendar year 2015), coupled with a freeze on the indexing of the State income tax brackets and the personal exemption for tax years 2013 through 2015 until these rate reductions are fully implemented.
- Creation of a non-refundable earned income tax credit equal to 5% of the federal earned income credit that is limited to 50% of liability for gross income that exceeds $20,000.
- A new deduction for small business income of 50% of annual business income up to $250,000.
- Elimination of the $20 personal income tax exemption for filers with a gross income greater than $30,000 and the gambling loss deduction.
- An increase in the State sales and use tax by one-quarter percent (from 5.5% to 5.75%) beginning September 1, 2013.
- Authorization of full membership for the State in the streamlined sales tax project for the collection of State sales taxes on out-of-state companies for catalog and internet purchases.
- Expansion of the State sales tax base to include digital goods such as e-books, music and video downloads and repeal of the exemption for magazine purchases.
- Elimination of the 12.5% property tax roll back for owner-occupied residential property for new voter-approved local property tax levies.
- Reinstituting income requirements for eligibility for new applicants for the State's homestead tax exemption (this exemption was expanded in 2007 to include all senior citizens and disabled Ohioans regardless of income).
- Establishing a variable minimum for the commercial activity tax for businesses with gross receipts greater than $1 million and an exemption from the CAT for grain handlers.

On March 12, 2014, the Governor announced a series of initiatives across a range of topics resulting from a "mid-biennium review" for 2014-15 (2014 MBR), with the stated purpose of keeping Ohio moving forward. The Governor's 2014 MBR includes a range of proposals, subject to approval by the General Assembly, in the areas of: *elementary and secondary education* (including proposals for dropout prevention and recovery and making technical and vocational education accessible by more students as early as the seventh grade); *higher education* (including proposals for reforming Ohio's dual credit programming to encourage more students to earn college credit while in high school; extending to two-year community colleges a funding formula tied to successful student outcomes; tying state funding for technical centers to the percentage of their students that find a job and other outcome-based benchmarks; increased use of technology and distance learning; increasing

enrollment of international students and their retention in Ohio post-graduation; providing community colleges the option to offer a guaranteed tuition rate; and providing veterans college credit for their military training and experience); *income tax reductions and other tax adjustments* (including proposals to lower income tax rates across all income levels by 8.5% over the next three years; increasing the state's earned income tax credit for low-income Ohioans from 5 to 15 percent of the amount of the federal earned income tax credit; increasing the state income tax personal exemptions for those with annual incomes up to $80,000; raising the tax on cigarettes by 60 cents to $1.85 per pack with equivalent taxes on other tobacco products including e-cigarettes; increasing the oil and gas severance tax to 2.75% of producer gross receipts while eliminating that tax for small conventional gas producers and exempting from that tax up to $8 million of gross receipts per well during the first three years to help producers recoup their start-up drilling costs, with approximately 20 percent of severance tax revenue directed to local governments in shale oil and gas producing regions of the state; and updating the commercial activity tax rate from its initial 0.26% rate established in 2005 to 0.30%); *workforce* (aligning the three main federal workforce programs through a single, integrated plan to provide faster and improved training; and expediting professional licensing and certification for veterans and their spouses); and *human services* (including increased access to crisis intervention and safe places for those with mental illness and addictions; allocating $26.9 million of non-GRF funds to support tobacco prevention and cessation programs; and expanding drug and substance abuse prevention in schools and prioritizing statewide funding for prevention initiatives). The 2014 MBR also proposes increasing appropriations to the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction by $53.5 million to address a rise in the prison population, and reducing debt service appropriations over the biennium by $92 million based on a number of factors including later than anticipated bond sales and continued low interest rates while continuing all necessary appropriations for debt service and lease rental payments for State obligations. The Governor's 2014 MBR proposals are being considered by the General Assembly in fourteen separate pieces of legislation.

OBM is currently projecting a positive GRF fund balance at the end of Fiscal Year 2014. As discussed above, the State is effectively precluded by its Constitution from ending a Fiscal Year or a biennium in a "deficit" position. OBM continually monitors and analyzes revenues and expenditures and related developments (including pending litigation) and prepares at the end of each month a financial report, the most recent of which is accessible via OBM's home page at http://obm.ohio.gov with copies also available upon request to OBM.

Cash Flow

Because GRF cash receipts and disbursements do not precisely coincide, temporary GRF cash flow deficiencies often occur in some months, particularly the middle months, of a Fiscal Year. Statutory provisions provide for effective management by permitting the adjustment of payment schedules (as was done during some prior Fiscal Years) and the use of the Total Operating Fund (TOF). The State has not done and does not do external revenue anticipation borrowing.

The TOF includes the total consolidated cash balances, revenues, disbursements and transfers of the GRF and several other specified funds (including the BSF). The TOF cash balances are consolidated only for the purpose of meeting cash flow requirements, and, except for the GRF, a positive cash balance must be maintained for each discrete fund included in the TOF. The GRF is permitted to incur a temporary cash deficiency by drawing upon the available consolidated cash balance in the TOF. The amount of that permitted GRF cash deficiency at any time is limited by statute to 10% of GRF revenues for the then preceding Fiscal Year.

The State plans for and manages monthly GRF cash flow deficiencies within each Fiscal Year. GRF cash flow deficiencies have been within the TOF limitations discussed above.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

STATE DEBT

General

The incurrence or assumption of debt by the State without a popular vote is, with limited exceptions, prohibited by the State Constitution. The State may incur debt to cover casual deficits or to address failures in revenues or to meet expenses not otherwise provided for, but limited in amount to $750,000. The Constitution expressly precludes the State from assuming the debts of any county, city, town or township, or of any corporation. (An exception in both cases is for debts incurred to repel invasion, suppress insurrection, or defend the State in war.) The Constitution provides that "Except the debts above specified . . . no debt whatever shall hereafter be created by, or on behalf of the state."

By 20 constitutional amendments approved from 1921 to present, Ohio voters have authorized the incurrence of State general obligation debt and the pledge of taxes or excises to its payment, all related to the financing of capital facilities, except for four that funded bonuses for veterans, one to fund coal technology research and development, and one to fund other research and development activities. Currently, tax supported general obligation debt of the State is authorized to be incurred for the following purposes: highways, local infrastructure, coal development, natural resources, higher education, common schools, conservation, research and development, site development and veterans compensation. Although supported by the general obligation pledge, highway debt is also backed by a pledge of and has always been paid from the State's motor fuel taxes and other highway user receipts that are constitutionally restricted in use to highway related purposes.

State special obligation debt, the owners or holders of which are not given the right to have excises or taxes levied by the General Assembly to pay principal and interest, is authorized for purposes specified by Section 2i of Article VIII of the Constitution.

The Treasurer of State (Treasurer) currently issues the special obligations authorized under that Section 2i for parks and recreation and mental health facilities, and for facilities to house branches and agencies of State government and their functions, including: State office buildings and facilities for the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) and others, the Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Department of Public Safety (DPS) and the Bureau of Workers' Compensation (BWC); correctional and juvenile detention facilities for the Departments of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) and Youth Services (DYS), and various cultural facilities. Debt service on all these special obligations is paid from GRF appropriations, with the exception of debt issued for ODOT and DPS facilities (paid from highway user receipts) and for BWC facilities (paid from the BWC Administrative Cost Fund). All of those debt service payments are subject to biennial appropriations by the General Assembly pursuant to leases or other agreements entered into by the State.

Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Revenue (GARVEE) Bonds. In addition to its issuance of highway bonds, the State has financed selected highway infrastructure projects by issuing bonds and entering into agreements that call for debt service payments to be made from federal transportation funds allocated to the State, subject to biennial appropriations by the General Assembly. The highest annual State payment under those agreements in the current or any future fiscal year is $177.4 million in Fiscal Year 2014. In the event of any insufficiency in the anticipated federal allocations to make payments on State bonds, the payments are to be made from any lawfully available moneys appropriated to ODOT for the purpose.

Certificates of Participation (COPs). State agencies also have participated in buildings and equipment, information systems and non-highway transportation projects that have local as well as State use and benefit, in connection with which the State has entered into lease-purchase agreements with terms ranging from 7 to 20 years. Certificates of Participation (COPs) have been issued in connection with those agreements that represent fractionalized interests in and are payable from the State's anticipated lease payments. The maximum annual payment from GRF appropriations under those existing agreements is $34.8 million in Fiscal Year 2015 and the total GRF-supported principal amount outstanding is $191.4 million. Payments by the State are subject to biennial appropriations by the General Assembly with the lease terms subject to renewal if appropriations are made. The approval of the OBM Director and either the General Assembly or the State Controlling Board is required if COPs are to be publicly offered in connection with those agreements.

Revenue Bonds. Certain State agencies issue revenue bonds that are payable from revenues from or relating to revenue producing facilities, such as those issued by the Ohio Turnpike Commission. By judicial interpretation, such revenue bonds do not constitute "debt" under the constitutional provisions described above. The Constitution authorizes State bonds for certain housing purposes (issued by the Ohio Housing Finance

Agency) to which tax moneys may not be obligated or pledged. See the discussion of expanded housing finance authority, and permitted pledges to it, below under **Additional Authorizations**.

Tax Credits in Support of Other Long Term Obligations. The State has authorized the issuance of fully refundable tax credits in support of "credit-collateralized bonds" issued from time to time by the Columbus-Franklin County Finance Authority to provide funding for the Ohio Capital Fund (OCF) to promote venture capital investment in Ohio and any additional bonds that may be issued to refinance those outstanding bonds or provide additional funding for that purpose. Those tax credits may be claimed by the bond trustee for the purpose of restoring the bond reserve fund for those credit-collateralized bonds in the event it is drawn upon and not restored from other sources. Those credits may not be claimed after June 30, 2036, and the maximum amount of tax credits that may be claimed is $20 million in any fiscal year and $380 million in total. Proceeds of the OCF bonds fund investments in venture capital funds to promote investment in seed and early-stage Ohio-based business enterprises.

Prior Economic Development and Revitalization Obligations. Prior to the February 1, 2013 granting of a 25-year franchise on the State's spirituous liquor system to JobsOhio, there were outstanding $725.0 million of State bonds and notes secured by a pledge of the State's profits from the sale of spirituous liquor. In connection with the granting of that franchise, provision was made for the payment of all the debt service on those bonds and notes which are defeased and no longer outstanding obligations of the State under the terms of the trust indentures under which they were issued (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13)**. Those bonds and notes were originally issued to fund a statewide economic development program that assisted in the financing of facilities and equipment for industry, commerce, research and distribution, including technology innovation, by providing loans and loan guarantees. Under its franchise agreement with JobsOhio, the State may not issue additional obligations secured by a pledge of profits from the sale of spirituous liquor during the 25-year term of that franchise.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Variable Rate Debt and Interest Rate Swaps

The State currently has $586,225,000 in outstanding general obligation variable rate debt as follows with liquidity provided by the State for all of these issues:

Dated Date	Outstanding	Purpose	Series	Rate Period	Final Maturity
11/29/01	$63,900,000	Infrastructure	2001B	Weekly	8/1/2021
2/26/03	90,145,000	Infrastructure Refunding	2003B	Weekly	8/1/2017
3/20/03	46,705,000	Infrastructure Refunding	2003D	Weekly	2/1/2019
12/15/03	67,000,000	Common Schools	2003D	Weekly	3/15/2024
3/3/04	54,165,000	Infrastructure Refunding	2004A	Weekly	2/1/2023
4/1/05	121,850,000	Common Schools	2005A/B	Weekly	3/15/2025
6/7/06	142,460,000	Common Schools	2006B/C	Weekly	6/15/2026

As part of its debt management, the State is also party to the following interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of $449,375,000:

Type	Outstanding Notional Amount	Related Bond Series	State Pays	State Receives	Effective Date	Termination Date
Floating-to-Fixed	$63,900,000	Infrastructure 2001B	4.630%	SIFMA[1]	11/29/2001	8/1/2021
Floating-to-Fixed	67,000,000	Common Schools 2003D	3.414%	LIBOR[2]	9/14/2007	3/15/2024
Floating-to-Fixed	54,165,000	Infrastructure 2004A Refunding	3.510%	LIBOR[2]	3/3/2004	2/1/2023
Floating-to-Fixed	121,850,000	Common Schools 2005A/B	3.750%	LIBOR[2,3]	3/15/2007	3/15/2025
Floating-to-Fixed	142,460,000	Common Schools 2006B/C	3.202%	LIBOR[2]	6/15/2006	6/15/2026

[1] Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) weekly variable rate index.
[2] Variable interest rate based on a percentage of one-month London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a fixed increment.
[3] Variable interest rate based on 62% of 10-year LIBOR beginning September 15, 2014.

For all its swap agreements, the State has established minimum uncollateralized counterparty rating thresholds of AA-/Aa3. Under each of these agreements, the counterparty is required to progressively post collateral securing the State's position if the counterparty's credit ratings fall below these minimum thresholds.

Constitutional Limitation on Annual Debt Service

A 1999 constitutional amendment provides an annual debt service "cap" applicable to most future issuances of State general obligations and other State direct obligations payable from the GRF or net State lottery proceeds. Generally, new obligations may not be issued if debt service for any future Fiscal Year on those new and the then outstanding bonds of those categories would exceed 5% of the total of estimated GRF revenues (excluding GRF receipts from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009) plus net State lottery proceeds for the Fiscal Year of issuance. Those direct obligations of the State include general obligation and special obligation bonds that are paid from the State's GRF, but exclude (i) general obligation debt for third frontier research and development, development of sites and facilities, and veterans compensation, and (ii) general obligation debt payable from non-GRF funds (such as highway bonds that are paid from highway user receipts). Pursuant to the implementing legislation, the Governor has designated the OBM Director as the State official responsible for making the 5% determinations and certifications. Application of the 5% cap may be waived in a particular instance by a three-fifths vote of each house of the Ohio General Assembly and may be changed by future constitutional amendments.

The following table presents a current summary of State debt authorizations and obligations, including the Series R Highway Bonds. The General Assembly has appropriated sufficient moneys to meet debt service requirements for the current biennium (ending June 30, 2015) on all of the obligations included in this and the accompanying tables.

	Authorized by General Assembly	Issued(a)	Outstanding(b)
Obligations Payable from the GRF			
General Obligations			
Coal Development(c)	$251,000,000	$210,000,000	$20,040,000
Infrastructure(d,e)	3,750,000,000	3,299,986,136	1,785,451,998
Natural Resources(f)	461,000,000	348,000,000	115,820,000
Common School Facilities(e)	4,770,000,000	4,170,000,000	2,981,590,000
Higher Education Facilities	3,534,000,000	2,910,000,000	2,071,935,000
Conservation(g)	500,000,000	350,000,000	228,655,000
Research & Development(h)	1,200,000,000	661,000,000	474,515,000
Site Development	150,000,000	150,000,000	104,795,000
Veterans Compensation(i)	200,000,000	83,910,000	73,385,000
		Total:	$7,856,186,998
Special Obligations			
DAS Facilities	$1,831,000,000	$1,696,000,000	$655,980,000
DRC Prison Facilities	2,119,000,000	1,884,500,000	535,160,000
DYS Facilities	351,000,000	312,000,000	126,670,000
Cultural & Sports Facilities	593,000,000	504,690,000	127,105,000
Higher Education Facilities	4,817,590,000	4,817,590,000	-0-
Mental Health Facilities	1,581,000,000	1,467,085,000	180,100,000
Parks & Recreation Facilities	572,000,000	408,000,000	99,280,000
		Total:	$1,724,295,000
Obligations Payable from Non-GRF Sources			
Highway User Receipts			
G.O. Highway(j)	$3,115,000,000	$2,693,410,000	$861,635,000
ODOT Facilities	255,800,000	155,800,000	-0-
DPS Facilities	143,000,000	140,285,000	13,240,000
Net Liquor Profits			
Economic Development(k)	n.a.	$629,740,000	$-0-
Revitalization Projects(k)	400,000,000	315,000,000	-0-
Other			
ODOT Highway Infrastructure(l)	n.a.	$1,832,295,000	$846,010,000
BWC Facilities(m)	214,255,000	214,255,000	-0-

(a) Excludes refunding bonds; includes bonds refunded.
(b) Excludes bonds refunded; includes refunding bonds.
(c) Not more than $100,000,000 may be outstanding at any time.
(d) Not more than $3,750,000,000 may be issued with the annual issuance currently limited to no more than $150,000,000 in any Fiscal Year plus any obligations unissued from previous Fiscal Years. See **Recent Debt Authorizations** below for additional $1,875,000,000 constitutional authorization for this purpose approved by the voters in May 2014.
(e) Includes adjustable rate bonds.
(f) Not more than $50,000,000 may be issued in any Fiscal Year and not more than $200,000,000 may be outstanding at any time.
(g) Not more than $50,000,000 may be issued in any Fiscal Year and not more than $400,000,000 may be outstanding at any time.
(h) Not more than $1,200,000,000 may be issued with the annual issuance now limited to no more than $175,000,000 in any Fiscal Year plus any obligations unissued from previous Fiscal Years.
(i) Constitutional authorization was self-implementing and did not require further General Assembly authorization. No more obligations 00 may be issued under this authorization.
(j) Not more than $220,000,000 may be issued in any Fiscal Year plus any amount unissued from previous Fiscal Years, and not more than $1.2 billion may be outstanding at any time.
(k) These revenue obligations issued to fund economic development and revitalization projects under Chapters 166 and 151 of the Ohio Revised Code have been defeased and are no longer outstanding obligations of the State since provision for payment of all debt service was made in connection with the granting of the franchise on the State's spirituous liquor system to JobsOhio (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13**).
(l) Debt service on these "GARVEE" bonds is paid from federal transportation grants apportioned to the State (Title 23 of the U.S. Code).
(m) Debt service is paid from appropriations from the BWC Administrative Cost Fund.

The following table shows total Fiscal Year debt service on outstanding State obligations currently payable from the GRF:

Annual Debt Service Requirements on State Obligations Paid from the GRF

	General Obligations			Special Obligations			Total GRF Debt Service		
FY	Education(a)	Infra-structure(b)	All Other(c)	DAS Facilities	DRC Facilities	All Other(d)	Principal	Interest	Total
2014	$565,610,861	$221,211,821	$145,264,109	$86,106,947	$102,992,246	$116,911,837	$813,266,268	$424,831,554	$1,238,097,822
2015	592,971,492	225,701,822	164,067,278	91,951,248	99,361,462	111,980,131	864,728,397	421,305,037	1,286,033,434
2016	584,822,120	220,119,579	163,331,312	91,596,842	81,245,669	104,483,284	861,968,600	383,630,204	1,245,598,804
2017	568,637,389	208,980,063	170,732,747	86,902,155	74,092,725	84,931,121	849,815,000	344,461,200	1,194,276,200
2018	547,552,667	196,572,376	145,521,894	87,319,911	65,170,394	77,402,890	811,400,000	308,140,132	1,119,540,132
2019	557,823,538	179,042,575	129,210,750	76,595,198	56,846,750	62,571,520	789,660,000	272,430,331	1,062,090,331
2020	556,293,059	168,316,575	116,553,633	69,196,567	44,404,000	50,714,172	768,430,000	237,048,006	1,005,478,006
2021	548,933,609	158,819,559	92,644,277	68,961,844	44,542,638	35,879,559	748,090,000	201,691,486	949,781,486
2022	512,699,362	150,588,637	71,371,520	59,027,731	44,284,825	23,714,287	693,705,000	167,981,362	861,686,362
2023	449,139,216	141,911,551	59,848,970	53,304,107	40,223,550	23,723,401	630,880,000	137,270,795	768,150,795
2024	350,050,344	124,152,387	38,495,116	43,114,623	38,223,769	18,438,020	502,470,000	110,004,259	612,474,259
2025	293,041,008	115,813,682	19,360,217	37,582,732	33,657,800	11,733,151	423,500,000	87,688,591	511,188,591
2026	223,903,321	103,031,847	13,137,551	14,577,663	9,937,331	4,402,150	298,435,000	70,554,863	368,989,863
2027	167,769,198	86,967,215	8,359,753	14,579,496	9,939,431	1,424,750	231,925,000	57,114,843	289,039,843
2028	138,223,632	86,743,383	4,856,800	14,574,258	9,940,556	0	207,610,000	46,728,630	254,338,630
2029	138,460,718	77,020,506	0	14,582,025	9,947,181	0	203,135,000	36,875,430	240,010,430
2030	138,683,905	57,651,177	0	9,882,918	6,852,306	0	185,385,000	27,685,306	213,070,306
2031	138,960,877	57,441,566	0	6,506,831	6,855,338	0	190,890,000	18,874,611	209,764,611
2032	114,662,913	31,743,450	0	6,508,581	3,559,500	0	145,275,000	11,199,444	156,474,444
2033	47,452,725	22,767,000	0	4,075,144	3,555,750	0	72,580,000	5,270,619	77,850,619
2034	23,829,750	11,949,000	0	0	3,559,500	0	37,465,000	1,873,250	39,338,250
							$10,330,613,266	**$3,372,659,953**	**$13,703,273,219**

(a) Consists of common schools and higher education general obligation bonds and includes estimated debt service on adjustable rate bonds for common schools.
(b) Includes estimated debt service on adjustable rate bonds.
(c) Includes natural resources, coal development, conservation, research and development, site development and veteran's compensation general obligation bonds.
(d) Includes lease-rental bonds for mental health, parks and recreation, cultural & sports facilities and Department of Youth Services. Also includes lease-rental bonds previously issued for higher education facilities.

The following table shows total Fiscal Year debt service on certain outstanding State obligations currently payable from the indicated non-GRF revenues, including the Series R Highway Bonds:

Annual Debt Service Requirements on State Obligations Paid from Non-GRF Revenues

	Highway User Receipts				
FY	**Highway G.O.**	**ODOT/DPS Facilities(a)**	**Total**	**BWC Facilities(b)**	**GARVEE Federal Transportation Grants(c)**
2014	$ 132,542,874	$2,442,269	$134,985,142	$15,951,100	$177,397,396
2015	140,205,176	2,408,680	142,613,856	0	172,314,021
2016	119,839,303	2,405,725	122,245,028	0	157,408,471
2017	101,109,703	2,403,175	103,512,878	0	131,790,134
2018	86,307,480	2,407,200	88,714,680	0	106,211,472
2019	85,432,333	2,411,300	87,843,633	0	102,528,412
2020	84,126,862	1,565,700	85,692,562	0	98,766,846
2021	83,239,842	1,568,250	84,808,092	0	63,802,253
2022	81,500,702	0	81,500,702	0	19,797,200
2023	80,115,331	0	80,115,331	0	19,799,663
2024	78,687,152	0	78,687,152	0	19,801,750
2025	62,560,270	0	62,560,270	0	19,801,125
2026	34,964,550	0	34,964,550	0	0
2027	34,138,800	0	34,138,800	0	0
2028	33,305,300	0	33,305,300	0	0
2029	17,407,800	0	17,407,800	0	0

(a) Lease rental payments are paid from highway user receipts for these Ohio Department of Transportation and Department of Public Safety facilities.

(b) Debt service paid from appropriations from the BWC Administrative Cost Fund.

(c) Debt service paid from federal transportation grants apportioned to the State under Title 23 of the U.S. Code.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

The following table shows the principal amount of those obligations that are currently scheduled to be outstanding as of July 1 of the indicated years, including the Series R Highway Bonds:

	Obligations Payable from the GRF			Non-GRF Obligations
Year	Education(a)	Other GO(b)	Special Obligations(c)	Highway User Receipts(d)
2014	$5,001,560,000	$2,802,661,998	$1,713,125,000	$874,875,000
2015	4,635,560,000	2,531,498,600	1,485,560,000	769,885,000
2020	2,698,725,000	1,254,435,000	618,185,000	424,165,000
2025	958,885,000	482,475,000	131,340,000	107,435,000

(a) Includes obligations for common school and higher education capital facilities.
(b) Includes natural resources, coal development, infrastructure improvement, conservation, research and development, site development and veterans compensation general obligation bonds.
(c) Includes lease-rental obligations for various state capital facilities.
(d) Includes general obligations for highways and lease-rental obligations for ODOT and DPS facilities.

The following tables show certain historical debt information and comparisons. These tables include only outstanding obligations of the State for which debt service is paid from the GRF.

Fiscal Year	Principal Amount Outstanding	Outstanding Debt Per Capita	Outstanding Debt as % of Annual Personal Income
1980	$1,991,915,000	$184	1.84%
1990	3,707,054,994	342	1.83
2000	6,308,680,025	556	1.93
2009	8,486,621,212	735	2.07
2010	8,586,655,636	744	2.05
2011	8,996,752,848	779	2.02
2012	9,760,505,915	845	2.11
2013	9,263,358,266	801(a)	1.96(b)

Fiscal Year	Debt Service Payable	Total GRF Revenue and Net State Lottery Proceeds	Debt Service as % of GRF Revenue and Lottery Proceeds	Debt Service as % of Annual Personal Income
1980	$187,478,382	$4,835,670,223	3.88%	0.17%
1990	488,676,826	12,230,681,298	4.00	0.24
2000	871,313,814	20,711,678,217	4.21	0.27
2009	1,075,937,540*	26,809,692,000**	4.01	0.26
2010	710,284,236*	24,108,466,000**	2.95	0.17
2011	755,023,015*	26,777,133,000**	2.82	0.17
2012	692,776,090*	27,956,513,000	2.48	0.15
2013	1,204,775,861	30,361,815,000	3.97	0.25(b)

(a) Based on July 2013 population estimate.
(b) Based on preliminary 2013 personal income data.

* Reduction is due in large part to the restructuring of certain GRF debt service payments resulting in net savings of $52.8 million in Fiscal Year 2009, $416.8 million in Fiscal Year 2010, $336.9 million in Fiscal Year 2011, and $449.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012.

** Excludes federal funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Recent Debt Authorizations

Only a portion of State capital needs can be met by direct GRF appropriations, so additional State borrowing for capital and other purposes has been and will continue to be required. In April 2014, the General Assembly approved $2.39 billion in new capital appropriations for the 2015-16 capital biennium, with $2.07 billion of those new capital appropriations to be funded by GRF-supported debt authorizations, $100 million to be funded from non-GRF debt authorizations and the remaining $217.1 million to be funded from cash. All of the following additional GRF-supported borrowing authorizations to fund those appropriations are reflected in the preceding tables:

General Obligation

- $500,000,000 for capital improvements for elementary and secondary public schools.
- $300,000,000 for local infrastructure projects.
- $506,000,000 for higher education facilities.
- $58,000,000 for natural resources facilities.
- $100,000,000 for conservation purposes.
- $5,000,000 for coal development purposes.

Special Obligation

- $126,000,000 for prisons and local jails.
- $34,000,000 for youth services facilities.
- $120,000,000 for State administrative facilities.
- $75,000,000 for cultural facilities (including both arts and sports facilities).
- $40,000,000 for mental health facilities (including local projects).
- $139,000,000 for parks and recreation facilities.

The above GRF-supported debt authorizations include funding for $12.6 million of emergency capital appropriations of a possible $50 million emergency capital authorization, the balance of which is expected by OBM to lapse on June 30 without reauthorization.

In addition to the above $500 million general obligation debt authorization for elementary and secondary public school improvements, the General Assembly also appropriated $100 million for those elementary and secondary public school capital improvements from State lottery profits fees and revenues expected from the implementation of video lottery terminals (VLTs) at Ohio's seven horse racing tracks as authorized by legislation enacted by the General Assembly in 2009. (See **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia – 2010-11**.) On October 21, 2011, a complaint was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Franklin County, Ohio, challenging the 2009 law authorizing those VLTs on a number of bases, including that its authorization of those VLTs as part of the State Lottery exceeds the authorization for a state lottery under the Ohio Constitution. The trial court on May 30, 2012 granted defendants' motions to dismiss the case after finding that the plaintiffs did not have standing to bring this action, and the plaintiffs appealed this trial court ruling to the Tenth District Court of Appeals of Franklin County, Ohio. Since the trial court dismissed the case based on plaintiffs' lack of standing, it did not decide the plaintiffs' challenges to the 2009 law. On March 14, 2013, the Court of Appeals upheld the trial court's dismissal of the case based on the plaintiffs' lack of standing and therefore did not decide the merits of plaintiffs' claims. On July 24, 2013, the Ohio Supreme Court announced that it would accept the case for review but has postponed that review until it decides an unrelated case involving the question of an activist group's standing to challenge issues relating to JobsOhio (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia – 2012-13**).

Recent and current constitutional authorizations are:

- 2014 - authorizes an additional $1.875 billion of general obligation debt for public infrastructure as a ten-year extension of the existing local government infrastructure program authorized in 2005, with an increase in the annual issuance amount from $150 million to $175 million in the first five Fiscal Years and $200 million in each Fiscal Year thereafter.

- 2010 - authorizes the issuance of $700 million of State general obligation debt to renew and continue programs for research and development in support of Ohio industry, commerce, and business, with those obligations not subject to the 5% debt service cap described above. The authorization is in addition to the below-referenced 2005 constitutional amendment for the same purpose. The amount of all State general obligations that may be issued for, and the amounts of proceeds from those State

general obligations that may be committed to, those research and development purposes, are limited to no more than $450 million total for the period including State Fiscal Years 2006 through 2011, no more than $225 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and no more than $175 million in any Fiscal Year thereafter, plus any amounts that in any prior Fiscal Year could have been but were not issued.

- 2009 – authorized the issuance of State general obligation debt to provide compensation to persons who have served in active duty in the United States armed forces at any time during the Persian Gulf, Afghanistan, and Iraq conflicts, with those obligations not subject to the 5% direct obligation debt service cap described above. Not more than $200 million in obligations could have been issued no later than December 31, 2013.

- 2008 – authorizes the issuance of State bonds for land conservation and revitalization purposes (including statewide brownfields clean-up). For each of the two purposes, the authorization is for not more than $50 million in principal amount to be issued in any Fiscal Year and not more than $200 million to be outstanding at any time. The bonds for conservation purposes are general obligations, and those for revitalization purposes are special obligations payable from revenues and receipts designated by the General Assembly (previously a portion of the State's net liquor profits; see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13**). The authorization is in addition to the 2000 constitutional amendment for the same purposes.

- 2005 – authorizes the issuance over ten years of $500 million of State general obligation debt in support of research and development, and $150 million of State general obligation debt for the development of sites for industry, commerce, distribution and research and development, with those obligations not subject to the 5% debt service cap described above. Also authorizes an additional $1.35 billion of general obligation debt for public infrastructure as a ten-year extension of the existing local government infrastructure program, with an increase in the annual issuance amount from $120 million to $150 million in the last five Fiscal Years, which continues to be subject to that 5% debt service cap.

- 2000 – authorizes the issuance of State bonds for land conservation and revitalization purposes (including statewide brownfields clean-up). For each of the two purposes, the amendment authorizes not more than $50 million in principal amount to be issued in any Fiscal Year and not more than $200 million to be outstanding at any time. The bonds for conservation purposes are general obligations, and those for revitalization purposes are special obligations payable from revenues and receipts designated by the General Assembly (previously a portion of the State's net liquor profits; see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2012-13**).

- 1999 – authorizes State general obligation debt to pay costs of facilities for a system of common schools throughout the state and for state-supported and state-assisted institutions of higher education. The amendment also provides for the 5% direct obligation debt service cap described above.

- 1995 – authorizes additional highway bonds and extended the local infrastructure bond program. For the latter, it authorized an additional $1.2 billion of State full faith and credit obligations to be issued over 10 years, with not more than $120 million to be issued in any Fiscal Year. The highway finance portion authorizes not more than $1.2 billion to be outstanding at any time and not more than $220 million to be issued in any Fiscal Year.

- 1994 – pledges the State's full faith and credit and taxing power to meet certain guarantees under the State's tuition credit program, a program that provides for the purchase of tuition credits which are guaranteed to cover a specified amount when applied to tuition and other eligible higher education costs. Under the amendment, to secure the tuition guarantees, the General Assembly shall appropriate money sufficient to offset any deficiency that occurs in the trust fund, at any time necessary to make payment of the full amount of any tuition payment or refund required by a tuition payment contract.

- 1990 – authorizes greater State and political subdivision participation in the provision of individual and family housing. This supplements the previous constitutionally authorized loans-for-lenders and other housing assistance programs, financed in part with State revenue bonds. The amendment authorizes the General Assembly to provide for State assistance for housing in a variety of ways, including State borrowing for the purpose by the issuance of obligations secured by a pledge of all or such portion of State revenues or receipts as it authorizes (but not by a pledge of the State's full faith and credit).

- 1985 – authorizes the issuance of general obligation debt to finance grants or make or guarantee loans for research and development of coal technology that will encourage the use of Ohio coal. Those grants or loans are available to any individual, association, or corporation doing business in the State or to any educational or scientific institution located in the State. Not more than $100 million may be outstanding at any time.

ECONOMY AND EMPLOYMENT

Although manufacturing (including auto-related manufacturing) in Ohio remains an integral part of the State's economy, the greatest growth in Ohio's economy in recent years has been in the non-manufacturing sectors. Ohio's preliminary 2012 economic output, as measured by gross state product (GSP), totaled $509.4 billion, 3.27% of the national GSP and seventh largest among the states. The State ranks fifth within the manufacturing sector as a whole ($87.2 billion) and sixth in durable goods ($47.5 billion). As a percent of Ohio's preliminary 2012 GSP, manufacturing was responsible for 17.1%, with 21.5% attributable to the goods-producing sectors and 33.5% to the business services sectors, including finance, insurance and real estate. Ohio is the ninth largest exporting state with 2013 merchandise exports totaling $50.5 billion. The State's leading export products are machinery (including electrical machinery), motor vehicles and aircraft/spacecraft, which together accounted for 45.7% of that total.

Payroll employment in Ohio, in a diversifying employment base, decreased in 2001 through 2003, increased in 2004 through 2006, decreased in 2007 through 2010, and increased in 2011 through 2013. In recent years, there has been a shift toward the services industry, with manufacturing employment decreasing since its 1969 peak. The "non-manufacturing" sector employs approximately 87% of all non-farm payroll workers in Ohio. The changing mix of employment sectors nationally and in Ohio are shown in the following tables.

Ohio Nonfarm Payroll Jobs by Industry Type
Not Seasonally Adjusted (in 000)

	1980	1990*	2000*	2010*	2013*
Mining & Logging*	31	18	13	11	12
Construction	167	192	246	169	185
Manufacturing	1,264	1,060	1,021	621	662
Trade, Transportation & Public Utilities*	1,180	963	1,115	948	981
Financial Activities	n.a.	101	107	78	75
Information*	204	255	305	277	283
Services	831	1,172	1,549	1,672	1,779
Leisure & Hospitality*	n.a.	400	483	475	516
Government	690	722	785	780	759
TOTAL	4,367	4,882	5,624	5,030	5,253

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, National and State Current Employment Statistics.
* Reflects change in the bases for industry classification from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System (SIC) to the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Data for 1990 and 2000 has been adjusted to reflect this change.

Distribution of Nonfarm Payroll Jobs by Industry Type (%)

	1980		1990*		2000*		2010*		2013*	
	Ohio	U.S	Ohio	U.S.	Ohio	U.S.	Ohio	U.S.	Ohio	U.S.
Mining & Logging*	**0.7**	1.1	**0.4**	0.7	**0.2**	0.5	**0.2**	0.5	**0.2**	0.6
Construction	**3.8**	4.8	**3.9**	4.8	**4.4**	5.2	**3.4**	4.2	**3.5**	4.3
Manufacturing	**29.0**	22.4	**21.7**	16.2	**18.2**	13.1	**12.3**	8.8	**12.6**	8.8
Trade, Transportation & Public Utilities*	**27.0**	28.2	**19.7**	20.7	**19.8**	19.9	**18.8**	18.9	**18.7**	19.0
Information*	n.a.	n.a.	**2.1**	2.5	**1.9**	2.8	**1.5**	2.1	**1.4**	2.0
Financial Activities	**4.7**	5.7	**5.2**	6.0	**5.4**	5.8	**5.5**	5.9	**5.4**	5.8
Services	**19.0**	19.8	**24.0**	23.8	**27.5**	28.0	**33.2**	32.2	**33.9**	33.1
Leisure & Hospitality*	n.a.	n.a.	**8.2**	8.5	**8.6**	9.0	**9.4**	10.0	**9.8**	10.4
Government	**15.8**	18.0	**14.8**	16.8	**14.0**	15.8	**15.5**	17.3	**14.4**	16.0

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, National and State Current Employment Statistics. The distribution percentages are as calculated by OBM.

* Reflects change in the bases for industry classification from the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification System (SIC) to the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Data for 1990 and 2000 has been adjusted to reflect this change.

Ohio and U.S. unemployment rates have been as follows:

Average Monthly Unemployment Rates (Seasonally Adjusted)

Year	Ohio	U.S.
1980	8.5%	7.1%
1990	5.7	5.6
2000	4.0	4.0
2003	6.2	6.0
2004	6.1	5.5
2005	5.9	5.1
2006	5.4	4.6
2007	5.6	4.6
2008	6.6	5.8
2009	10.2	9.3
2010	10.0	9.6
2011	8.7	8.9
2012	7.4	8.1
2013	7.4	7.4
2014 January	6.9	6.6
February	6.5	6.7
March	6.1	6.7
April	5.7	6.3

Source: Ohio Department of Job and Family Services, Labor Market Information.

The following are the private sector employers that had the highest number of full-time equivalent employees (estimated and rounded) in Ohio in 2013:

OHIO'S TOP 25 PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYERS – 2014

Company	Estimated Employment Headcount	Sector
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	49,700	Retail General Merchandiser
Cleveland Clinic Health System	41,400	Health Care
Kroger Company	39,000	Retail Food Stores
Catholic Healthcare Partners	28,900	Health Care
Catholic Health Initiative	25,800	Health Care
University Hospitals Health System	24,000	Health Care
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	23,200	Financial Services
Giant Eagle, Inc	19,500	Retail Food Stores
Ohio Health	19,260	Health Care
General Electric Company	15,000	Aerospace/Electrical Equipment
Cincinnati Children's Hospital	14,000	Health Care
Honda Motor Company	13,700	Motor Vehicles
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.	13,550	Finance, Insurance
ProMedica Health System	13,400	Health Care
Procter & Gamble Company	13,300	Soaps and Cosmetics
Meijer, Inc.	13,150	Retail General Merchandiser
United Parcel Service, Inc.	13,050	Transportation Air Delivery
Bob Evans Farms, Inc.	12,300	Restaurants
Sears Holding Corporation	10,500	Retail Department
L Brands, Inc	12,250	Retail Department
Kettering Health Network	10,000	Health Care
Summa Health System	10,000	Health Care
UC Health	10,000	Health Care
General Motors Corporation	10,100	Motor Vehicles
PNC Financial Services Group	9,500	Finance, Bank

Boldface indicates headquartered in Ohio.
Source: Development Services Agency, Office of Research, April 2014.

POPULATION

Ohio's 2010 decennial census population of 11,536,504 indicated a 1.6% population growth over 2000 and ranked Ohio seventh among the states in population. The following tables show selected census figures.

Ohio Population — Total and by Age Group

Year	Total	Rank Among States	Decennial Growth Rate	1-19 Years	20-64 Years	65 and Over
1970	10,652,017	6	9.7%	4,124,400	5,539,600	993,500
1980	10,797,630	6	1.4	3,502,900	6,125,200	1,169,500
1990	10,847,115	7	0.5	3,141,000	6,299,100	1,407,000
2000	11,353,140	7	4.7	3,216,000	6,629,400	1,507,800
2010	11,536,504	7	1.6	3,067,126	6,847,363	1,622,015

* July 2013 Census population estimate is 11,570,808.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Web Site, Population Estimates.

Population of Ohio Metropolitan Areas(a)

	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010
Cleveland	2,063,729	1,898,825	2,202,069(b)	2,250,871(b)	2,077,240(b)
Cincinnati	1,106,821	1,100,983	1,526,092(c)	1,646,395(c)	2,130,151(d)
Columbus	1,017,847	1,093,316	1,345,450(e)	1,540,157(e)	1,836,536(e)
Dayton	852,531	830,070	951,270(f)	950,558(f)	979,835(f)
Akron	679,239	660,328	657,575	694,960	703,200
Toledo	643,443	656,940	614,128	618,203	651,429
Youngstown-Warren	537,124	531,350	600,895(g)	594,746(g)	565,773(g)
Canton	393,789	404,421	394,106	406,934	404,422
Lorain-Elyria	256,843	274,909	(b)	(b)	(b)
Hamilton-Middletown	226,207	258,787	291,479	332,807	(e)
Lima	210,074	218,244	154,340	155,084	106,331
Mansfield	129,997	131,205	174,007(g)	175,818(g)	124,475
Steubenville	96,193	91,564	142,523(h)	132,008(h)	124,454(h)

(a) SMSAs in 1970 & 1980, MSAs in 1990 and 2000 (PMSA's for Cleveland, Cincinnati, Akron, and Hamilton-Middletown).
(b) Lorain-Elyria included with Cleveland.
(c) Includes 12 counties (two in Indiana and six in Kentucky).
(d) Includes 15 counties (three in Indiana and seven in Kentucky); Includes Hamilton-Middleton.
(e) Newark added.
(f) Springfield added.
(g) Includes three counties.
(h) Weirton added; includes two counties in West Virginia.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Web Site, Metropolitan Area Population Estimates.

AGRICULTURAL AND RESOURCES BASES

With 13.6 million acres (of a total land area of 26.4 million acres) in farmland and an estimated 73,700 individual farms, agriculture combined with related agricultural sectors is an important segment of Ohio's economy. Ohio's 2011 crop production value of $6.5 billion represented 3.1% of the U.S. total value. Ohio accounts for more than 4.0% of total U.S. cash receipts for the following commodities: sweet corn, corn, maple products, green peppers, chicken/eggs, and soybeans. In 2011, Ohio's agricultural sector output (consisting of crops, livestock, poultry and dairy, and services and forestry) totaled $11.0 billion with agricultural exports (primarily soybeans, feed grains and wheat, and their related products) estimated at a value of $5.0 billion.

The availability of natural resources, such as water and energy, is of vital nationwide concern. Ohio has large quantities of these important natural resources. With Lake Erie and the Ohio River on its borders, and many lakes and streams throughout the State, water is readily available for all uses. Additionally, Ohio has sizable coal resources, ranking seventh among the states in coal reserves and tenth in coal production in 2011.

STATE EMPLOYEES AND RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

State Employees

Since 1985, the number of regular State employees (excluding employees who are not paid by State warrant such as state university employees) has ranged from a high of 68,573 in 1994 to low of 53,159 at the end of Fiscal Year 2013. The State engages in collective bargaining with five employee unions representing 20 bargaining units, and generally operates under three-year agreements. The State's current collective bargaining agreements expire in April through June 2015.

Retirement Systems

The State has established five public retirement systems to provide retirement, disability retirement and survivor benefits, and other post-employment benefits such as retiree health care. None of these benefits are guaranteed by the State or subject to bargaining under the State's current public employee collective bargaining law.

The Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), the largest of the five, covers both State and local public employees. The State Teachers Retirement System (STRS) and School Employees Retirement System (SERS) primarily cover school district and public higher education employees, respectively. The Highway Patrol Retirement System (HPRS) covers State troopers, and the Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund (OP&F) covers local safety forces. Full financial information for each retirement system can be found on its website in that system's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The State and its five retirement systems are preparing for implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans, and GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting for Financial Reporting for Pensions.

The retirement systems were created by and operate pursuant to State law. As reflected in the 2012 pension reform acts discussed below, the General Assembly has the power to amend the structure and benefit levels, impose or revise contribution rates or amounts, and to make other changes. The systems have never been subject to the funding and vesting requirements of the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). Federal law requires employees hired on or after April 1, 1986 to participate in the Medicare program, with matching employer and employee contributions, each now 1.45% of the wage base. Otherwise, State employees covered by a State retirement system are not currently covered under the federal Social Security Act. Congress has from time to time considered legislation relating to public sector retirement funds and to other aspects of public employee retirement.

Funding for the retirement systems is provided by a combination of public employer and employee contributions based on percentages of each employee's compensation, with the employees' contributions being deducted from their paychecks. Those contribution percentages are either established in State law or by the retirement system board subject to a maximum contribution amount established in State law. With the exception of contributions for PERS law enforcement and public safety personnel, and the increased employee contributions for STRS, OP&F and HPRS included in the 2012 pension reform acts described below, the current contribution percentages for each system (set forth in the table on the next page) reflect the maximums permitted under State law.

In 1968, the State created the Ohio Retirement Study Commission (ORSC) to advise and inform the General Assembly on all matters relating to the benefits, funding, investment, and administration of the five statewide retirement systems. The ORSC is composed of nine voting members: three members of the House appointed by the Speaker; three members of the Senate appointed by the President; and three members appointed by the Governor (one representing the State, one representing local governments, and the third representing public education institutions). The five executive directors of the retirement systems also serve as nonvoting members of the ORSC.

Under State law, each retirement system's board is required to establish a period of not more than thirty years to amortize its unfunded actuarial accrued pension liability. If in any year the period required to amortize that unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) exceeds thirty years, the board must prepare and submit to the ORSC and the applicable committees in the Ohio General Assembly, a plan to reduce that amortization period to not more than thirty years. For the most recent reporting period as shown in the summary table below, the number of years to fully amortize UAAL is twenty-six years for PERS, twenty-nine years for SERS, thirty years for HPRS, forty years for STRS and forty-seven years for OP&F. Prior to the 2012 pension reform acts described below, the board of each of the five retirement systems had approved and

submitted to the ORSC and the applicable Ohio General Assembly committees a plan to reduce or maintain its amortization period at not more than thirty years. Pursuant to this continuing requirement, the OP&F board increased (effective January 1, 2014) contributions to its pension fund by reducing from 2.85% to 0.5% the amount of employer contributions directed to health care and redirecting the 2.35% difference to pensions, and the STRS board has voted to redirect (effective July 2014) its current allocation of 1% of its 14% employer contribution from health care to its pension fund. The OP&F board has also recommended other changes, including accelerating and increasing employee contribution rates which require approval of the Ohio General Assembly to further reduce its pension UAAL amortization period.

After extensive review, the General Assembly in September 2012 enacted, and the Governor signed into law effective January 7, 2013, five pension reform acts to implement with modifications plans previously submitted by the five retirement systems to reduce or maintain their UAAL periods to or at not more than thirty years. The reform act for PERS made changes including, among others, increasing the years of service and eligibility age necessary to retire with full benefits, increasing from three to five the number of years used in determining "final average salary" for purposes of calculating retirement benefits, reducing the post-retirement cost of living adjustment, and increasing the minimum salary threshold required to earn full-time service credit for public employee eligibility to participate in the system. The other reform acts made similar changes to STRS, SERS, OP&F and HPRS, and enacted phased increases in the employee contribution rate for STRS (from 10% to a maximum of 14% by July 2016) and OP&F (from 10% to a maximum of 12.25% by July 2015). The HPRS board was authorized to increase employee contributions up to a maximum of 14% from the current 10% beginning in July 2013, and it has implemented this authorization by increasing the employee contribution rate to 11.5% for 2014 and to 12.5% for 2015 and thereafter. With the exception of PERS, the reform acts also authorize each retirement system's board to adjust certain pension benefits levels within limits without General Assembly approval. As reflected above, these reform acts did not change the requirement that each system establish a period of not more than thirty years to amortize its pension UAAL and prepare and submit to the ORSC and the Ohio General Assembly a plan to reduce that amortization period if it exceeds thirty years.

The State makes its employer contributions based on a percent of salary for each State employee that is an active member of a state retirement system. Currently, about 95% of State employees are members of PERS, about 3.1% are in HPRS and about 1.4% are in STRS. The following table summarizes State employer and employee contributions to those retirement systems with State employee members ($ in millions):

State	**PERS**		**STRS**		**HPRS**		
Fiscal	Employer/Employee		Employer/Employee		Employer/Employee		Total
Year	Amount	Pct. of Salary(a)	Amount	Pct. of Salary	Amount	Pct. of Salary	Contributions
2008	$422.5/$289.4	14.0%/10.0%	$8.3/$5.9	14.0%/10.0%	$23.3/$9.5	25.5%/10.0%	$759.0
2009	430.0/300.4	14.0/10.0	8.2/5.8	14.0/10.0	24.6/9.7	25.5/10.0	778.8
2010(b)	406.5/283.0	14.0/10.0	7.4/5.3	14.0/10.0	24.4/9.3	26.5/10.0	735.8
2011(b)	414.4/289.0	14.0/10.0	7.2/5.1	14.0/10.0	25.2/9.5	26.5/10.0	750.3
2012(c)	392.3/273.8	14.0/10.0	6.6/4.7	14.0/10.0	25.0/9.4	26.5/10.0	711.8
2013(c)	385.8/269.1	14.0/10.0	6.2/4.4	14.0/10.0	26.1/9.8	26.5/10.0	701.3

(a) Reflects PERS state and local contribution rates only. PERS law enforcement employer/employee contribution rate was 16.7%/10.1% in Fiscal Year 2006, increasing gradually to 17.87%/11.1% in Fiscal Year 2010, and public safety was 16.7%/9.0% in Fiscal Year 2006, increasing gradually to 17.87%/10.5% in Fiscal Year 2010.

(b) Decline in contributions for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011 over Fiscal Year 2009 is attributed primarily to a two week unpaid "furlough" on State employees in each of those years (see **FISCAL MATTERS - Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2010-11**). Fiscal Year 2011 contributions include 27 pay periods.

(c) Decline in contributions for Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013 is attributed to a reduction in the State workforce.

Source: Contributions based on percent of payroll expenses from State of Ohio accounting system records.

The State also has funded and continues to fund a subsidy to the OP&F system to pay for survivor benefits provided in law and not otherwise funded. The aggregate subsidies were $41.3 million in the 2010-11 biennium and $41.1 million in the 2012-13 biennium, and are appropriated at $41.0 million in the 2014-15 biennium. All State employer contributions are subject to appropriation in each State budget and are included in the appropriations for each department or agency's personnel costs.

The following table summarizes State and local membership and financial data for each of the retirement systems for the most recent year reported by the particular system ($ in millions):

	PERS	**STRS**	**SERS(a)**	**OP&F**	**HPRS**
Valuation as of:	**12/31/12**	**07/01/13**	**06/30/13**	**01/01/13**	**12/31/12**
Active Members	333,175	169,945	121,642	27,289	1,645
State Employees as a Percent of Active Members	15	0.4	0	0	100
Retirants and Beneficiaries	195,779	149,221	70,771	27,243	1,497
Employer/Employee Contributions (% of Salary) (b)	14.0/10.0(c)	14.0/11.0	14.0/10.0	(d)	26.5/10.0
Active Member Payroll	$12,194.0	$10,765.6	$2,746.8	$1,913.4	$98.1
Market Value of Assets (MVA)	$67,854.9	$64,706.0	$11,300.5	$10,602.8	$642.6
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) (e)	$67,854.8	$62,590.8	$11,007.0	$10,278.0	$658.4
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (f)	$83,878.1	$94,366.7	$16,860.0	$16,007.9	$966.3
Funding Ratio (AVA to AAL %, (MVA to AAL %))	80.9 (80.9)	66.3 (68.6)	65.3 (67.0)	64.2 (66.2)	68.1 (66.5)
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	$16,023.3	$31,775.9	$5,853.0	$5,729.9	$307.9
UAAL to Active Member Payroll %	131.4	295.2	213.1	299.5	313.8
UAAL Funding Period (years)(g)	26	40	29	47	30

(a) SERS information excludes Medicare Part B reimbursement which is considered a post-employment healthcare benefit reported in accordance with GASB Statement 43 for all data except MVA.

(b) For PERS and SERS, the maximum employer and employee contribution rates under law are 14.0% and 10.0%. For STRS and HPRS, the maximum employer and employee contributions rates are 14.0/14.0% and 27.5/14.0%, respectively. Each system's board annually determines the portion of the employer contribution, if any, that is directed to fund post-employment health care benefits.

(c) PERS state employer/employee contribution rate is 14.0/10.0%, local is 14.0/10.0%, law enforcement is 18.1/12.1%, and public safety is 18.1/11.5%. PERS state and local employer and employee contribution rates increased to their current statutory maximum of 14.0% and 10.0%, respectively, in calendar year 2008.

(d) Police is 19.5/10.0% and fire 24.0/10.0%. The maximum employer and employee contribution rates under law are 19.5/12.25% for police and 24.0/12.25% for fire.

(e) Recognizes assumed long-term investment returns fully each year (8.25% for OP&F, 8.00% for PERS and HPRS, and 7.75% for STRS and SERS). Differences between actual and assumed investment returns, subject to each system's market corridor limitation, are phased-in over a closed four-year period.

(f) Reflects an individual entry age normal actuarial cost method.

(g) UAAL funding period for PERS, SERS and HPRS is calculated based on a closed period as a level percent of payroll, except for the portion of PERS members who participate in the member directed plan which uses a level dollar of payroll. STRS and OP&F are calculated based on an open period as a level percent of payroll.

Note: Valuation results reflect all legislative changes described above enacted in September 2012.

Sources: Retirement systems' CAFRs and annual actuarial valuations.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

The following table summarizes financial and funding information for each of the retirement systems for the five years previous to the current year information provided above as reported by the particular system ($ in millions):

Retirement System Valuation Year-End	Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)(a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)(b)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)(c)	Funding Ratio (AVA to AAL)	Market Value of Assets (MVA)	Funding Ratio (MVA to AAL)	Active Member Payroll	UAAL Percent of Active Member Payroll
PERS								
12/31/11	$65,436.1	$84,529.7	$19,093.6	77.4%	$61,846.7	73.2%	$12,399.0	154.0%
12/31/10	$63,649.1	$80,485.0	$16,836.0	79.1%	$63,649.1	79.1%	$12,450.0	135.2%
12/31/09	$57,629.4	$76,555.0	$18,925.6	75.3%	$57,733.8	75.4%	$12,548.3	150.8%
12/31/08	$55,315.2	$73,465.7	$18,150.5	75.3%	$49,388.6	67.2%	$12.801.1	141.8%
12/31/07	$67,151.3	$69,733.6	$2,582.2	96.3%	$70,043.6	100.4%	$12,583.4	20.5%
STRS								
07/01/12	$59,489.5	$106,301.8	$46,812.3	56.0%	$60,693.6	57.1%	$10,879.1	430.3%
07/01/11	$58,110.5	$98,766.2	$40,655.7	58.8%	$63,116.7	63.9%	$11,097.6	366.3%
07/01/10	$55,946.3	$94,720.7	$38,774.4	59.1%	$54,140.4	57.2%	$11,057.3	350.7%
07/01/09	$54,902.9	$91,441.0	$36,538.1	60.0%	$50,095.7	54.8%	$10,800.8	338.3%
07/01/08	$69,198.0	$87,432.4	$18,234.3	79.1%	$66,837.4	76.4%	$10,460.5	174.3%
SERS(d)								
06/30/12	$10,284.0	$16,372.0	$6,088.0	62.8%	$10,331.7	63.1%	$2,788.2	218.4%
06/30/11	$10,397.0	$15,943.0	$5,546.0	65.2%	$10,619.2	66.6%	$2,852.4	194.4%
06/30/10	$10,787.0	$14,855.0	$4,068.0	72.6%	$9,071.9	61.1%	$2,842.7	143.1%
06/30/09	$9,723.0	$14,221.0	$4,498.0	68.4%	$8,134.1	57.2%	$2,787.4	161.4%
06/30/08	$11,241.0	$13,704.0	$2,463.0	82.0%	$10,793.5	78.8%	$2,651.8	92.9%
OP&F								
01/01/12	$10,309.0	$16,346.7	$6,037.7	63.1%	$9,688.4	59.3%	$1,897.4	318.2%
01/01/11	$10,681.0	$15,384.4	$4,703.4	69.4%	$10,075.5	65.5%	$1,868.5	251.7%
01/01/10	$10,794.1	$14,830.7	$4,036.7	72.8%	$9,056.8	61.1%	$1,895.2	213.0%
01/01/09	$9,309.2	$14,307.1	$4,998.0	65.1%	$7,757.6	54.2%	$1,900.9	262.9%
01/01/08	$11,212.9	$13,727.8	$2,514.9	81.7%	$11,895.5	86.7%	$1,831.4	137.3%
HPRS								
12/31/11	$623.4	$1,047.7	$424.3	59.5%	$603.4	57.6%	$93.1	455.7%
12/31/10	$631.0	$981.4	$350.4	64.3%	$647.1	65.9%	$94.8	369.7%
12/31/09	$620.4	$940.1	$319.7	66.0%	$595.0	63.3%	$94.8	337.2%
12/31/08	$603.3	$904.5	$301.3	66.7%	$502.7	55.6%	$94.3	319.5%
12/31/07	$700.9	$866.3	$165.4	80.9%	$719.6	83.1%	$93.8	176.3%

(a) Recognizes assumed long-term investment returns fully each year (8.25% for OP&F, 7.75% for SERS, and 8.0% for the remaining systems). Differences between actual and assumed investment returns, subject to each system's market corridor limitation, are phased-in over a closed four-year period, except for OP&F which phases-in over five-year period.

(b) Reflects an individual entry age actuarial cost method.

(c) UAAL is calculated based on an open period as a level percent of payroll, except for SERS for which UAAL is calculated based on a closed period of time.

(d) Excludes Medicare Part B reimbursement which is considered a post-employment health care benefit reported in accordance with GASB 43 for all data except MVA.

Sources: Retirement systems' CAFR's and annual actuarial valuations.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Each of the State's public retirement systems also offers post-employment health care benefits to its members. Contributions to and benefits under these health care programs are not vested and, as reflected by the recent actions of the OP&F and STRS boards described above, are subject to future adjustment by their respective boards. In this regard, PERS adopted, beginning in 2004, a series of health care preservation plans to adjust benefits and contributions by employers, employees, and retirees. Financial reporting of their health care plans is in compliance with GASB Statement 43 -- Financial Reporting for Post-Employment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans.

The following table presents a summary of assets and actuarial accrued liabilities for post-employment healthcare benefits for each of the State's public retirement systems ($ in millions):

	PERS	STRS	SERS	OP&F	HPRS
Valuation as of:	**12/31/12**	**01/01/14**	**06/30/13**	**01/01/13**	**12/31/12**
Value of Assets (a)	$12,193.3	$3,471.9	$379.2	$935.6	$99.8
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	$19,182.3	4,664.4	$2,918.3	$4,234.8	$411.5
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (c)	$6,989.0	1,192.6	$2,539.1	$3,299.2	$311.7
Funding Ratio (Assets to AAL %)	63.6%	74.4%	13.0%	22.1%	24.3%
Employer Contribution (% of Salary) (d)	4.0%	1.0%	0.1%(e)	3.62%	3.65%

(a) For PERS and HPRS, investment returns are recognized fully each year with the differences between actual and assumed investment returns (assumed at 5%), subject to each system's market corridor limitation, phased-in over a closed four-year period. For STRS, SERS and OP&F, reflects market value.

(b) Reflects an individual entry age normal actuarial cost method.

(c) UAAL is calculated based on an open period as a level percent of payroll.

(d) Each system's board annually determines the portion of the employer contribution, if any, that is directed to fund post-employment health care benefits. This amount has typically ranged from 1.0% to 7.0% of salary. For OP&F, reflects overall effective rate. See discussion above for recent adjustments by OP&F and STRS boards to portion of employer contribution directed to fund health care benefits.

(e) SERS also collects a health care surcharge from employers for employees who earn less than an actuarially determined minimum compensation amount. This amount is in addition to the amount allocated to health care from the employer contributions.

Sources: Retirement systems' annual actuarial valuations.

The following table presents a summary of assets and actuarial accrued liabilities for post-employment healthcare benefits for the three years previous to the current year information provided above for each of the State's public retirement systems ($ in millions):

Retirement System Valuation Year-End	Value of Assets(a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)(b)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability(c)	Funding Ratio (Assets to AAL)	Employer Contribution (% of Salary)(d)(e)
PERS					
12/31/11	$12,115.0	$31,020.2	$18,905.0	39.1%	4.0%
12/31/10	$11,267.5	$26,928.8	$15,661.3	41.8%	5.0%
12/31/09	$10,936.0	$31,558.0	$20,622.0	34.7%	5.5%
STRS					
01/01/13	$3,121.6	$4,254.1	$1,132.5	73.4%	1.0%
01/01/12	$2,968.2	$5,094.4	$2,126.3	58.3%	1.0%
01/01/11	$3,108.5	$8,631.3	$5,522.8	36.0%	1.0%
SERS					
06/30/12	$355.1	$2,691.5	$2,336.4	13.2%	0.2%
06/30/11	$355.7	$2,410.1	$2,054.4	14.8%	0.6%
06/30/10	$325.0	$2,369.1	$2,044.1	13.7%	0.5%
OP&F					
01/01/12	$780.1	$3,698.8	$2,918.6	21.1%	6.75%
01/01/11	$717.7	$3,295.3	$2,577.6	21.8%	6.75%
01/01/10	$573.4	$3,232.4	$2,659.0	17.7%	6.75%
HPRS					
12/31/11	$99.0	$424.1	$325.1	23.3%	1.75%
12/31/10	$104.7	$406.9	$302.2	25.7%	1.75%
12/31/09	$100.8	$287.6	$186.8	35.0%	3.5%

(a) For PERS & HPRS, recognizes investment returns fully each year (PERS assumed at 6.5% in 2009-2010, 5.0% in 2011 and HPRS assumed at 6.5% in 2009, 5.0% in 2010-2011) with the differences between actual and assumed investment returns, subject to each system's market corridor limitation, phased-in over a closed four-year period. For STRS, SERS and OP&F, reflects market value.

(b) Reflects an individual entry age normal actuarial cost method.

(c) UAAL is calculated based on an open period as a level percent of payroll.

(d) Each system's board annually determines the portion of the employer contribution, if any, that is directed to fund post-employment health care benefits. This amount has typically ranged from 1.0% to 7.0% of salary. For PERS, reflects overall effective rate.

(e) SERS also collects a health care surcharge from employers for employees who earn less than an actuarially determined minimum compensation amount. This amount is in addition to the amount allocated to health care from the employer contributions.

Sources: Retirement systems' annual actuarial valuations.

TAX LEVELS AND TAX BASES

The variety of taxes and excises levied by the State is indicated in several tables in this Appendix. According to the Federation of Tax Administrators, citing the U.S. Census Bureau as its source, the State ranked 34th in state taxes per capita in 2012. Three major tax bases in the State, personal income (taxed by the State and municipalities and, with voter approval, by certain school districts), retail sales and use (taxed by the State and counties and transit authorities), and real and tangible personal property (taxed by local governments), are described below. In addition, the State completed the phase-in over fiscal years 2006 through 2010 of its commercial activity tax (CAT) on taxable gross receipts in excess of $1,000,000 from doing business in Ohio, and the phase out over the same general period of its corporate franchise tax (except for application to financial institutions and certain affiliates of insurance companies and financial institutions which was eliminated and replaced with a new financial institutions tax effective tax year 2014). The initial rate for the CAT was 0.06% effective July 1, 2005, with that rate increased annually in approximately equal amounts (about 0.05%) until levied at the current rate of 0.26%. Beginning calendar year 2014, the State established a variable minimum tax on the CAT for businesses with taxable gross receipts greater than $1 million (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Current Biennium**). As described further below, the receipts from the CAT are directed in part to make compensating payments to school districts and other local taxing units in connection with the phase-out of the tangible personal property tax in 2006 through 2009.

The State also imposes a tax on the use, distribution, or sale of motor vehicle fuel. This "gasoline" tax was raised two-cents per gallon effective July 1, 2005 to 28 cents per gallon (one cent of this tax is specifically directed to local highway-related infrastructure projects).

Sales and Use Tax

Under the State's current biennial appropriations Act, the State sales and use tax rate is increased one-quarter percent from 5.5% to 5.75% beginning September 1, 2013 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances --Current Biennium**). Prior to this increase, the rate had been 5.5% since July 1, 2005. The sales and use tax is levied uniformly across counties on retail sales of tangible personal property that are not specifically exempt. Retail sales include the rental and storage of tangible personal property, the rental of hotel rooms, and certain specified services including, but not limited to, repair and installation services, data processing, computer, and electronic information services, telecommunication and certain personal care services.

Counties and transit authorities each are authorized to levy permissive sales and use taxes at rates of 0.25% to 1.5% in quarter-percent increments. The highest potential aggregate of State and permissive local sales taxes will be 8.75% after the State rate increase described above and the highest currently levied by any county will be 8%. The State collects the combined state and local tax and returns the local share directly to the counties and transit authorities.

Personal Income Tax

Under the State's current biennial appropriations Act, State personal income tax rates, applying generally to federal adjusted gross income, are being reduced 10% over a three-year period (8.5% in calendar year 2013, an additional 0.5% in calendar year 2014, and an additional 1.0% in calendar year 2015). The indexing of the State income tax brackets and the personal exemption are suspended for tax years 2013 through 2015 until these rate reductions are fully implemented. That legislation also established a deduction for pass-through entity business income of 50% of annual adjusted gross income up to $250,000 (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances -- Current Biennium)**. The 2012 personal income tax rates ranged from 0.587% on incomes of $5,200 or less with increasing bracketed base rates and percentages up to a maximum on incomes over $208,500 of $9,476 plus 5.925% on the amount over $208,500. Previously, personal income tax rates were reduced 4.2% annually in each of the years 2005 through 2008 and, after the postponement discussed under **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2010-11**, again in 2011, resulting in an aggregate 21% decrease from the 2004 rates.

The Constitution requires 50% of State income tax receipts to be returned to the political subdivisions or school districts in which those receipts originate. There is no present constitutional limit on income tax rates.

Municipalities and school districts, and joint economic development districts and zones, may also levy certain income taxes. Any municipal rate (applying generally to wages and salaries and net business income)

over 1%, and any school district income tax (applying generally to the State income tax base for individuals and estates), requires voter approval. Most cities and villages levy a municipal income tax. The highest municipal rate in 2011 was 3%. A school district income tax is currently approved in 184 districts. Each joint economic development district or zone (there were approximately 35 of them in 2009) may also levy an income tax (which like municipal income taxes applies generally to wages and salaries and net business income) with the rate of that tax limited to the highest income tax rate of a municipal member of the district or zone). Effective July 1, 2005, there may also be proposed for voter approval municipal income taxes to be shared with school districts, but those taxes may not be levied on the income of nonresidents.

Since 1970 the ratio of Ohio to U.S. aggregate personal income has declined, with Ohio's ranking among the states moving from fifth in 1970 to seventh in 1990, moving between seventh and eighth in 1994 through 2003, and eighth since 2004. This movement, portrayed below, in significant measure reflects "catching up" by several other states and a trend in Ohio toward more service sector employment.

Personal Income ($ in Billions)

		U.S.	Ohio	Ohio Percent of U.S.	State Rank*
1970	Total	$832.2	$43.6	5.2%	5
	per capita	4,084	4,088	100.1	15
1980	Total	2,292.9	108.2	4.7	6
	per capita	10,091	10,022	99.3	21
1990	Total	4,831.3	202.5	4.2	7
	per capita	19,354	18,638	96.3	21
2000	Total	8,554.9	326.1	3.8	7
	per capita	30,319	28,695	94.6	24
2010	Total	12,423.3	418.5	3.4	8
	per capita	40,163	36,274	90.3	32
2011	Total	13,179.6	446.1	3.4	8
	per capita	42,298	38,657	91.4	30
2012	Total	13,729.1	462.4	3.4	8
	per capita	43,735	40,057	91.6	30
2013**	Total	14,081.2	472.8	3.4	8
	per capita	44,543	40,865	91.7	30

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.
*Excludes District of Columbia.
**Preliminary

In addition to personal income, the retail sales base is an important indicator of sales and use tax receipts.

Retail Sales ($ in Billions)

Fiscal Year	Ohio Retail Sales(a)	U.S. Retail Sales(b)	Ohio Percent of U.S.
1980	$39.01	$979.25	4.0%
1990	66.95	1,914.04	3.5
2000	117.72	3,213.82	3.6
2009	128.66	4,160.21	3.1
2010	129.81	4,190.84	3.1
2011	137.52	4,465.99	3.1
2012	147.55	4,760.59	3.1
2013	154.11	4,978.46	3.1

(a) Calculated by Global Insight based on data from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.
(b) U.S. Census Bureau Web Site.

Property Tax

The following table lists, for informational purposes only, the non-exempt real and tangible personal property tax base in the State and taxes levied on that base (on a calendar year basis). Only local taxing subdivisions, and not the State, currently tax the real and tangible personal property included in this table. Reported figures for 2013 show that these property taxes represent 3.48% of Ohio personal income.

		Assessed Value (a)	Percent of True Value (b)	Taxes Charged
1980	Real(c)	$56,457,842,607	27.1%	$2,343,384,488(e)
	Tangible(d)	15,649,200,844	39.2	765,047,826
	Public Utility(c)	8,670,052,613	83.3	411,321,235
1990	Real	93,857,482,000	35.0	4,593,147,000(e)
	Tangible(d)	18,473,055,000	28.0	1,149,643,000
	Public Utility(c)(f)	12,934,191,000	88.6	799,396,000
2000	Real	167,857,657,350	35.0	8,697,809,112(e)
	Tangible(d)	23,298,302,564	25.0	1,720,740,378
	Public Utility(c)(f)	13,635,709,860	67.0	967,674,709
2010	Real	238,264,394,249	35.0	14,486,087,962(e)
	Tangible(d)	320,961,400	5.0(b)	18,432,832
	Public Utility(c)(f)	10,096,712,600(g)	52.9	747,237,219
2011	Real	231,189,983,505	35.0	14,602,588,295(e)
	Tangible(d)	-0-	-0-(b)	-0-
	Public Utility(c)(f)	10,526,028,040(g)	51.0	804,746,979
2012	Real	225,314,466,955	35.0	14,767,601,611(e)
	Tangible(d)	-0-	-0-(b)	-0-
	Public Utility(c)(f)(h)	11,105,363,530(g)	52.4	872,521,025
2013	Real	226,356,619,274	35.0	15,138,100,663(e)
	Tangible(d)	-0-	-0-(b)	-0-
	Public Utility(c)(f)(h)	11,899,256,920 (g)	53.1	948,094,817

(a) Increases in assessed value of "Real" are in part products of reappraisals.
(b) Regular annual reductions for "Tangible" (except for most public utility tangible) reached 0% in 2009; only telecommunication and telephone personal property was taxable in 2009 and 2010.
(c) Includes public utility personal property owned and located within Ohio and railroad real property; excludes public utility real property.
(d) Includes machinery, inventories, fixtures; effective tax year 2007 includes telephone company property. Excludes public utility tangible property. Effective tax year 2009 includes only telephone company property.
(e) Includes the statutory 10% rollback (12.5% for owner-occupied residences) and elderly/handicapped partial exemption amounts, paid by the State to local taxing entities to compensate for statutory reductions in local tax collections. Effective for tax year 2005 and thereafter, the 10% rollback was eliminated for real property used in business, with exceptions for certain property used in farming or for housing. The 12.5% rollback for owner-occupied residences was eliminated for new voter-approved tax levies (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Current Biennium**).
(f) Beginning in 1990, the true value of most public utility property is based on annual composite allowances that vary according to the type and age of property.
(g) Beginning in 2001, the statutory assessment rate for electric and gas utilities decreased from 88% to 25%.
(h) Calculated using 2011 assessment rates on the breakdown of electrical property.
Source: Ohio Department of Taxation.

Under State legislation effective July 1, 2005, the tangible personal property tax (including inventories) has been phased out over tax years 2006 through 2009, with that tax generally eliminated beginning in tax year 2009. That legislation provided for the State to make distributions to school districts and other local taxing units from revenue generated by the State commercial activity tax (CAT). Distributions are generally based on the taxable value of tangible personal property as reported in 2004 and property tax levies in effect for 2005. In Fiscal Year 2012, the State began phasing-out tangible personal property tax replacement payments to schools and local governments with replacement payments to schools reduced by two percent of each district's total resources in Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013 for a total reduction of four percent; and replacement payments to local governments reduced by two percent of total resources for tax years 2011, 2012, and 2013 for a total reduction of six percent. Under current law, replacement payments will then continue thereafter at the 2013 amounts.

Beginning July 2007, the State's homestead exemption program, which takes the form of a credit on local residential real property tax bills, was expanded to allow all senior citizens and disabled Ohioans, regardless of income, to exempt from tax the first $25,000 of the market value of their home. Previously eligibility was restricted and benefits were tiered based on income. Under the State's current biennial appropriations Act, eligibility for new applicants is to be based on income (see **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current**

Finances -- Current Biennium). The total cost of the homestead exemption program in Fiscal Year 2012 was $406.7 million and in Fiscal Year 2013 was $431.9 million.

Property tax relief payments by the State to local subdivisions totaled $3.36 billion for the 2010-11 biennium, $3.41 billion for the 2012-13 biennium, and are appropriated at $3.68 billion for the 2014-15 biennium.

SCHOOLS AND MUNICIPALITIES

Schools

Litigation was commenced in the Ohio courts in 1991 questioning the constitutionality of Ohio's system of school funding and compliance with the constitutional requirement that the State provide a "thorough and efficient system of common schools". On December 11, 2002, the Ohio Supreme Court, in a 4-3 decision on a motion to reconsider its own decision rendered in September 2001, concluded (as it had in its 1997 and 2000 opinions in that litigation) that the State did not comply with that requirement, even after again noting and crediting significant State steps in recent years.

In its prior decisions, the Ohio Supreme Court stated as general base threshold requirements that every school district have enough funds to operate, an ample number of teachers, sound and safe buildings, and equipment sufficient for all students to be afforded an educational opportunity.

With particular respect to funding sources, the Court concluded in 1997 and 2000 decisions that property taxes no longer may be the primary means of school funding in Ohio.

On March 4, 2003, the plaintiffs filed with the original trial court a motion to schedule and conduct a conference to address compliance with the orders of the court in that case, the State petitioned the Ohio Supreme Court to issue a writ prohibiting that conference on compliance, and the trial court subsequently petitioned the Ohio Supreme Court for guidance as to the proper course to follow. On May 16, 2003, the Ohio Supreme Court granted that writ and ordered the dismissal of the motion before the trial court. On October 20, 2003 the United States Supreme Court declined to accept the plaintiffs' subsequent petition requesting further review of the case.

In the years following this litigation, the General Assembly took several steps, including significantly increasing State funding for public schools, as discussed below. In addition, at the November 1999 election electors approved a constitutional amendment authorizing the issuance of State general obligation debt for school buildings and for higher education facilities (see discussion under **STATE DEBT**). December 2000 legislation addressed certain mandated programs and reserves, characterized by the plaintiffs and the Court as "unfunded mandates."

Under the financial structure in place before the 2009-10 biennium, Ohio's 613 public school districts and 49 joint vocational school districts receive a major portion (but less than 50%) of their operating moneys from State subsidy appropriations (the primary portion of which is known as the Foundation Program) distributed in accordance with statutory formulae that take into account both local needs and local taxing capacity. The Foundation Program amounts steadily increased in recent years, including small aggregate increases even in those Fiscal Years in which appropriations cutbacks were imposed.

School districts also rely upon receipts from locally voted taxes. In part because of provisions of some State laws, such as that partially limiting the increase (without further vote of the local electorate) in voted property tax collections that would otherwise result from increased assessed valuations, some school districts have experienced varying degrees of difficulty in meeting mandated and discretionary increased costs. Local electorates have largely determined the total moneys available for their schools. Locally elected boards of education and their school administrators are responsible for managing school programs and budgets within statutory requirements.

The State's school subsidy formulas that were used until the 2009-10 biennium were structured to encourage both program quality and local taxing effort. Until the late 1970's, although there were some temporary school closings, most local financial difficulties that arose were successfully resolved by the local districts themselves by some combination of voter approval of additional property tax levies, adjustments in program offerings, or other measures. For more than 20 years, requirements of law and levels of State funding have sufficed to prevent school closings for financial reasons, which in any case are prohibited by current law.

To broaden the potential local tax revenue base, local school districts also may submit for voter approval income taxes on the district income of individuals and estates. Many districts have submitted the question, and income taxes are currently approved in 184 districts.

Biennial school funding State appropriations from the GRF and Lottery Profits Education Fund (but excluding federal and other special revenue funds) for recent biennia were:

- 2002-03 - $15.2 billion (a 17% increase over the previous biennium before the expenditure reductions discussed under **Fiscal Matters – Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2002-03**).
- 2004-05 - $15.7 billion (a 3.3% increase over the previous biennium before the expenditure reductions discussed under **Fiscal Matters – Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2004-05**).
- 2006-07 - $16.4 billion (a 4.5% increase over the previous biennium before the expenditure reductions discussed under **Fiscal Matters – Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2006-07**).
- 2008-09 - $17.2 billion (a 5.1% increase over the previous biennium before the expenditure reductions discussed under **Fiscal Matters – Recent and Current Finances - Recent Biennia - 2008-09**).
- 2010-11 - $17.0 billion (a 1.6% decrease over the previous biennium. These amounts are exclusive of the $1.463 billion of appropriations to school districts for the 2010-11 biennium from "Federal Stimulus" funding received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009).
- 2012-13 - $16.6 billion (a 2.3% decrease over the previous biennium)

State appropriations for school funding for the 2014-15 biennium are $18.3 billion (a 10.6% increase from those appropriations in the previous biennium), representing an increase of 6.8% in Fiscal Year 2014 over Fiscal Year 2013 and an increase of 6.5% in Fiscal Year 2015 over Fiscal Year 2014.

The amount of lottery profits transferred to the Lottery Profits Education Fund (LPEF) totaled $738.8 million in Fiscal Year 2011, $771.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012, $803.1 million in Fiscal Year 2013, and is appropriated at $891.5 million in Fiscal Year 2014. The 2010-11 biennial appropriations Act authorized the implementation of video lottery terminals (VLTs) at Ohio seven horse racing tracks. See **FISCAL MATTERS - Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia - 2010-11** for discussion of litigation concluded in the Ohio Supreme Court declaring that the authorization of those VLTs is subject to voter referendum and subsequent withdrawal of that referendum by the committee for the petitioners. Ohio participation in the multi-state lottery commenced in May 2002. A constitutional provision requires that net lottery profits be paid into LPEF be used solely for the support of elementary, secondary, vocational and special education purposes, including application to debt service on general obligation bonds to finance common school facilities.

The 2014-15 biennial appropriations Act also enacted a new funding formula for the distribution of State funding to local school districts based on a per pupil amount. This per pupil formula is similar to the "Building Blocks" school funding formula in place through Fiscal Year 2009 until its replacement with the "Evidence Based Model" for the 2010-11 biennium. The Evidence Based Model was repealed in July 2011 and a temporary formula was put in place for the 2012-13 biennium that allocated funding to each school district based on the per pupil funding it received for Fiscal Year 2011, adjusted by its share of a statewide per pupil adjustment amount that was indexed by the district's relative tax valuation per pupil.

Under the new formula, the State Department of Education will compute and pay to each school district education aid based on the per pupil funding it received for Fiscal Year 2009 (calculated to be $5,745 in Fiscal Year 2014 and $5,800 in Fiscal Year 2015) multiplied by each school district's "state share index" which uses a three year average of adjusted property valuation per pupil and median income of that school district to calculate the percentage of the per-pupil amount that is to be paid by the State and the amount assumed to be contributed by the school district through local sources. Additional funds are provided for students with exceptional needs, including those with special needs and the disabled, and limited English proficiency, and for economically disadvantaged and gifted students. Funding is also provided based on the number of K-3 students at each school district to be used to help school districts comply with Ohio's 3rd grade reading guarantee. The current biennial appropriations Act also established the "Straight A Fund" to provide school districts with grants to develop and implement creative and innovative instructional models to inspire learning and student growth.

Legislation was enacted in 1996 to address school districts in financial straits. It is similar to that for municipal "fiscal emergencies" and "fiscal watch" discussed below under **Municipalities**, but is particularly

tailored to certain school districts and their then-existing or potential fiscal problems. Newer legislation created a third, more preliminary, category of "fiscal caution". A current listing of school districts in fiscal emergency or watch status can be found on the Auditor of State's website at http://www.auditor.state.oh.us.

Municipalities

Ohio has a mixture of urban and rural population, with approximately three-quarters urban. There are 932 incorporated cities and villages (municipalities with populations under 5,000) in the State. Five cities have populations of more than 100,000 and 16 cities exceed 50,000 in population.

A 1979 act established procedures for identifying and assisting those few cities and villages experiencing defined "fiscal emergencies." A commission composed of State and local officials, and private sector members experienced in business and finance appointed by the Governor, is to monitor the fiscal affairs of a municipality facing substantial financial problems. That act requires the municipality to develop, subject to approval and monitoring by its commission, a financial plan to eliminate deficits and cure any defaults and otherwise remedy fiscal emergency conditions and to take other actions required under its financial plan. It also provides enhanced protection for the municipality's bonds and notes and, subject to the act's stated standards and controls, permits the State to purchase limited amounts of the municipality's short-term obligations (used only once, in 1980).

As noted in the discussion above under **FISCAL MATTERS – Recent and Current Finances – Recent Biennia -- 2002-03** and **2004-05**, the amount of distributions in those biennia to most local governments, including municipalities, from the several State local government revenue assistance funds were and are generally capped at the equivalent monthly amounts in Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001.

The fiscal emergency legislation has been amended to extend its potential application to counties (88 in the State) and townships. This extension is on an "if and as needed" basis and is not aimed at particularly identified existing fiscal problems of those subdivisions. A current listing of governments in each status can be found on the Auditor of State's website at http://www.auditor.state.oh.us.

(THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

(THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

EXHIBIT A

PROPOSED TEXT OF LEGAL OPINION
FOR SERIES R HIGHWAY BONDS

June 11, 2014

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated
New York, New York

As representative of the several Underwriters named in the
Bond Purchase Agreement, dated May 29, 2014, with the State of
Ohio, acting by and through the State Treasurer of Ohio

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have served as bond counsel in connection with the issuance by the State Treasurer of Ohio (the "Treasurer") of $249,005,000 General Obligation Highway Capital Improvements Bonds, Series R (the "Bonds") of the State issued by the State of Ohio (the "State"). In such capacity, we have examined such law and such certified proceedings, certifications and other documents as we have deemed necessary to render this opinion.

The Bonds are issued under authority of and pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII of the Ohio Constitution, Chapter 151 of the Ohio Revised Code, particularly Sections 151.01 and 151.06 thereof, other authorizations by the Ohio General Assembly, and an order of the Treasurer given on May 29, 2014 (the "Order").

Regarding questions of fact material to our opinion, we have relied on the transcript of proceedings for the Bonds (the "Transcript") and other certifications of public officials and others as we have deemed necessary without undertaking to verify the same by independent investigation.

Based on this examination, we are of the opinion that, as of the date hereof, under existing federal and Ohio statutes, as now judicially construed, together with existing regulations, rulings and court decisions:

1. The Bonds are valid and legally binding general obligations of the State, and the full faith and credit, revenue and taxing power of the State (except for the "net state lottery proceeds" described in the next succeeding opinion paragraph) are pledged to the payment of the principal of and interest and any premium (collectively, the "Debt Service") on the Bonds.

2. The Debt Service, together with principal of and interest and any premium on bonds or other obligations heretofore or hereafter issued pursuant to Section 2m of Article VIII of the Ohio Constitution and Chapter 151 of the Ohio Revised Code, as amended (collectively, the "Obligations"), are payable from and secured by the pledge of all excises, taxes and other sources of revenue of the State, except "net state lottery proceeds," as defined in Section 151.03 of the Ohio Revised Code, but including the fees, excises or license taxes relating to the registration, operation or use of vehicles on the public highways or to fuels used for propelling such vehicles, all referred to in Section 5a of Article XII of the Ohio Constitution (such excises, taxes and other sources of revenue of the State, excluding the stated exception, collectively, the "Pledged Excises and Taxes").

3. The State covenants in Section 151.01(M) of the Ohio Revised Code and in the Order that it and the applicable officers and agencies of the State, including the General Assembly of the State, will, so long as any Obligations are outstanding in accordance with their terms, maintain statutory authority for and cause to be levied, collected and applied sufficient Pledged Excises and Taxes so that the same will be sufficient in amounts to pay Debt Service on the Bonds when due. Except as provided in Section 2 of Article XII of the Ohio Constitution with respect to ad valorem taxes on real and tangible personal property, the Ohio Constitution does not at present impose any limitation upon the amount or rate of Pledged Excises and Taxes which may be levied to pay Debt Service on the Bonds. Provision has been made by law for the appropriation and setting aside each year in the Highway Capital Improvement Bond Service Fund (as defined in Section 151.06 of the Ohio Revised Code) of a sufficient amount of the Pledged Excises and Taxes, without other or further appropriation, to pay when due Debt Service on the Bonds.

4. Interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations; and (ii) interest on, and any profit made on the sale, exchange or other disposition of, the Bonds are exempt from all Ohio state and local taxation, except the estate tax, the domestic insurance company tax, the dealers in intangibles tax, the tax levied on the basis of the total equity capital of financial institutions, and the net worth base of the corporate franchise tax.

The opinions stated above are based on an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. In rendering all such opinions, we assume, without independent verification, and rely upon (i) the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in the proceedings and documents we have examined and (ii) the due and legal authorization, execution and delivery of those documents by, and the valid, binding and enforceable nature of those documents upon, any parties other than the Director and the State.

In rendering those opinions with respect to the treatment of the interest on the Bonds, we further assume and rely upon compliance with the covenants in the proceedings and documents we have examined, including those of the Director for itself and the State. Failure to comply with certain of those covenants subsequent to issuance of the Bonds may cause interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to their date of issuance.

We express no opinion and make no representation as to any other tax consequence regarding the Bonds, except as set forth above.

Please be advised that the rights of the holders of the Bonds and the enforceability thereof are limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights heretofore or hereafter enacted, general principles of equity (whether considered at law or in equity) governing specific performance, injunctive relief and other equitable remedies, and the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases.

This opinion is given as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to revise or supplement this opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention, or any changes in law that may hereafter occur. We bring to your attention the fact that our legal opinions are an expression of our professional judgment and are not a guarantee of a result.

Respectfully submitted,